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SYNOPSIS

The heat losses from small houses, due to transmission and ventilation, are estimated. The estimation is based up on the house owner's daily readings of electricity and water meters, and their notes on behaviour influencing the energy use. Consideration is taken to heat supply from insolation and from people. Hot water losses are calculated from use of water and use of household machinery. Besides the estimation of the heat losses, \( Q \), wind and temperature in the area is registered. The three parameters, local wind velocity, \( v_L \), temperature difference between inside and outside, \( \Delta \theta \); and specific heat loss, \( Q/\Delta \theta \), are adapted to following relation

\[
\frac{Q}{\Delta \theta} = A + B \cdot \Delta \theta^{1/2} + C \cdot v_L + D \cdot v_L^{4/3} \tag{1}
\]

According to this model, the heat losses consist of transmission heat loss, wind and temperature influenced ventilation (through ducts, window and doors) and wind and temperature influenced infiltration (through cracks).

When the constants \( A, B, C \) and \( D \) have been solved for the different houses, the relation (1) is used for estimating possible energy saving by wind reduction. It is found that a wind reduction to 0.5 - 2.0 m/s will give an energy saving between 3.3 and 9.4 % during the year. Some of this saving may also be achieved by tightening the houses.
SYMBOLS

\( v \) = wind velocity, m/s
\( \Delta \theta \) = temperature difference, K
\( U \) = coefficient of thermal transmittance, W/m²K
\( h \) = surface transfer coefficient, W/m²K
\( \delta \) = thickness, m
\( \lambda \) = coefficient of thermal conductivity, W/m²K
\( V \) = air flow, m³/s
\( \Delta p \) = pressure difference, Pa
\( Q \) = energy per day, Wh/day
\( A \) = area, m²
\( q \) = gravitation constant, m/s²
\( z \) = distance, m
\( \Delta \rho \) = density difference, kg/m³
\( q_r \) = energy ratio
\( \tau \) = transmission factor
\( \psi \) = shadowing factor
\( \phi \) = reduction factor
\( \rho \) = density, kg/m³
\( c_r \) = heat capacity, Ws/kgK
\( t \) = time, h

Index

\( c \) = outside
\( i \) = inside
\( c \) = convection
\( t \) = transmission
\( l \) = local
\( v \) = ventilation
\( w \) = wind
\( inf \) = infiltration
\( V \) = vertical
\( H \) = horizontal
\( s \) = solar
HEAT LOSSES FROM SMALL HOUSES DUE TO WIND INFLUENCE

A lot of research work has been carried out, concerning the wind effects on air infiltration into buildings. The air infiltration is equivalent to the ventilation rate in natural ventilated houses and has usually been stated as the number of air-changes per hour. A common way to measure this ventilation rate has been to use tracergas techniques.

An assumed relation between wind velocity and ventilation rate is that the ventilation rate is linear to the wind velocity. So did Bahnfleth among others adapt his measuring data to the relation

\[ q = A_1 \cdot v + B_1 \cdot \Delta \theta \]  

But other relations are also assumed. Peterson for instance used the relation

\[ q = A_2 \cdot v^{0.8} + B_2 \cdot \Delta \theta \]  

In both these assumptions consideration has been taken to the thermal draft, caused by the density difference between outside and inside air. In the two examples above, the wind and temperature induced ventilation rates are added up. An addition of the wind and temperature induced pressures is also often done.

Investigations of the wind influence on total heat loss of the buildings are more rare. A work of Frank is, however, dealing with the relation between wind velocity and energy consumption for a number of buildings. Frank used old measurement data on the weekly consumption of energy and mean wind velocity during the actual week. The results he found were of the type shown in figure 1.

One of the buildings had an energy consumption that increased with approximately 90% as the wind velocity increased from calm condition to the mean wind velocity during the measuring period, 3.2 m/s. The other buildings got percentual increases of the same order, between 38 - 95%. In Frank's investigation, that dealt with multifloor buildings, no consideration was taken to the thermal draft.
1. **Wind influence**

The wind influences the heat losses from a building not only by increased air-infiltration. One can briefly divide the wind influence into four parts,

- influence on the U-values
- influence on the air-infiltration
- influence on the ventilation
- influence on the mechanical ventilation

1.1 **Influence on the U-values**

The transmission heat losses through a building's walls and windows depend on the U-values of the building's different construction materials. The U-value of a building material can be expressed as

\[
\frac{1}{U} = \frac{1}{h_o} + \sum \frac{\delta_k}{\lambda_k} + \frac{1}{h_i}
\]  

where \( h_o \) and \( h_i \) are the transfer coefficients for the outer and inner surfaces respectively.
\[ \delta_k \] is the thickness of the k:th material layer with the conductivity \( \lambda_k \).

The transfer coefficients consist of a radiation and a convection part. The convection part of \( h_o \) can be approximated as

\[ h_{o,\sigma} = 10 \cdot \nu \]  

where \( \nu \) is the air velocity along the surface.

A raised wind velocity will thus increase the convective part of the outer transfer coefficient. The heat loss from the building will then get higher. This is, however, only true if the surface temperature is higher than that of the air. During clear nights well insulated building parts may have a surface temperature that's lower than the outdoor air temperature. In this case, the heat losses will decrease with rising wind velocity. In figure 2 calculated percentage changes in the heat loss from windows and walls are presented. The figure is valid for windows and walls with the nominal U-values 2,0 and 0,25 W/m²K respectively. The different curves are for two cloudiness-conditions.

1.2 Influence on the air-infiltration

The wind induced pressures on a building's surfaces are, together with the temperature dependent pressures, the main forces governing the natural ventilation of a building. A higher wind velocity will increase the over- and underpressures on the building, and with that the ventilation rate. In a building with mechanical ventilation system, the wind induced natural ventilation will intend to give a ventilation rate that diverges from the desired. The wind influence on the air infiltration depends on both the induced pressure, the number of openings and cracks in the building, their distribution and their flow characteristics. The pressures induced are highly dependent on wind direction, surrounding terrain and buildings and the turbulence of the wind.

Air flow through an opening will follow the relation

\[ V = k_1 \cdot \Delta P^n \]  

\[ (5) \]
where $k_1$ is a constant depending on the size of the opening

$n$ is an exponent depending both on the shape of the opening and the acting pressure $\Delta P$

Since the acting pressure, $\Delta P$, is proportional to the dynamic pressure of the free wind, $\frac{1}{2} \rho v'^2$, the air flow through the openings in the building can be expressed as

$$v = k_2 v'^2 n$$

where $k_2$ is a constant depending on both the constant $k_1$ and the transfer between dynamic pressure and acting pressures on the building.
1.3 Influence on the insulation

Insulation in walls and roof has to be well installed. Otherwise there may be a possible air-movement through the insulation space. This air movement will increase the heat transmission through the wall or roof. Nominal values on insulation materials conductivity are determined in laboratory tests, where the air movement through the insulation is minimized. Air movement, through the insulation space, can be caused either by the temperature difference between the insulations both sides or by wind. To reduce the wind influence it's important to have a windtight layer on the outside of the insulation.

1.4 Influence on the mechanical ventilation

The pressures on the building's surfaces, induced by wind, may also affect the mechanical ventilation. With an exhaust-air system, the exhaust air-flow is influenced by the outside pressure at the place of the air-intake. Supply and exhaust-air systems are disturbed if the inlet and outlet openings are placed in zones with different outside pressure.

2. A model for heat losses from a small house

A model for the heat losses has to contain the two parts governing the heat loss, i.e. transmission and ventilation. In this model, the ventilation part has been divided into two parts. One called ventilation, with which is meant the air-flow through ventilation ducts, windows, doors and other bigger openings. The other called infiltration and consisting of the air-flow through cracks.

2.1 Transmission

If the insulation is assumed to be unaffected by wind, and the outer transfer coefficients to have a negligible importance, the transmission heat loss from the building can be expressed as

\[ Q_T = \sum_{k} U_k \cdot A_k \cdot \Delta \theta \]  

(7)
where $U_k$ is the U-value of the $k$:th building part with the area $A_k$

Since $U_k$ and $A_k$ can be considered as constant, the transmission heat loss, $Q_t$, can be expressed as

$$Q_t = A \cdot \Delta \theta$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

where $A$ is a constant.

2.2 Ventilation

When there's an air flow through ducts and similar, the exponent $n$ in equation (6) can be taken as $1/2$. The pressure $\Delta p$, causing the air-flow, may be caused by either temperature difference between inside and outside, $\Delta \theta$, or wind-velocity in the vicinity of the building, $v$. The temperature difference gives cause to a pressure difference between inside and outside that may be written as

$$\Delta p = g \cdot z \cdot \Delta \rho$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

Where $g$ is the gravitation constant

- $z$ is the distance from the neutral level (the level where inside and outside pressures are equal, see figure 3)
- $\Delta \rho$ is the density difference between outdoor and indoor air

Since the density difference $\Delta \rho$ is approximately proportional to the temperature difference, $\Delta \theta$, the ventilation air flow will be proportional to $\Delta \theta^{1/2}$. Thus the ventilation heat loss due to thermal forces can be expressed as

$$Q_{v, \theta} = B \cdot \Delta \theta^{1/2} \cdot \Delta \theta = B \cdot \Delta \theta^{3/2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

where $B$ is a constant.

The ventilation air-flow caused by wind may be expressed in accordance with equation (6) with the exponent
The ventilation heat loss due to wind influence can then be expressed as

\[ Q_{v,w} = C \cdot v_z \cdot \Delta \theta \]  \hspace{1cm} (12)

where \( C \) is a constant.

Figure 3.

2.3 Infiltration

The variation in the temperature-difference between inside and outside, \( \Delta \theta \) is relatively small between different days. The exponent \( n \), in equation (5), is therefore of less importance. The temperature-dependent part of the infiltration through cracks can be included in equation (10). The wind influenced infiltration flow will, in accordance with equation (4) and with the exponent \( n = 2/3 \), be

\[ v = k_2 \cdot v_z^{2 \cdot 2/3} = k_2 \cdot v_z^{4/3} \]  \hspace{1cm} (13)
The exponent $2/3$ is a good approximation for characterizing the air flow through a building's all cracks, see Peterson. The heat loss due to infiltration will now be

$$Q_{\text{inf}, \omega} = D \cdot v_L^{4/3} \cdot \Delta \theta$$

where $D$ is a constant.

Summing up the four parts above will give the building's total heat loss, due to transmission and ventilation, as

$$Q = Q_L + Q_{\nu, \theta} + Q_{\nu, \omega} + Q_{\text{inf}, \omega} = A \cdot \Delta \theta + B \cdot \Delta \theta^{3/2} + C \cdot v_L \cdot \Delta \theta + D \cdot v_L^{4/3} \cdot \Delta \theta$$

3. Measuring method

The heat loss due to transmission and ventilation, $Q$, in relation to wind velocity, $v_L$, and temperature difference, $\Delta \theta$, has been investigated. The investigation was made in an area of 79 small houses, in the south of Sweden. Twenty electrically heated houses, of two different types, were involved, ten of each type. The houses, which had two floors and a living area of 150 $m^2$ and 190 $m^2$ respectively, were spread on a hill, unprotected from the surrounding flat country in W-, SW and S-directions, see figure 4.

The families in the houses made daily notes on their total use of electricity and water, use of household machinery (such as washing machines) and other things important for the energy use. Figure 5 shows one family's notes for three days. (The total time of the investigation was between 23 - 27 days, depending on house). Out of the notes, a simple estimation of the houses heat loss, due to transmission and ventilation, was made.

3.1 Heat supply

Three sources contribute to the heat supply of a building. Besides the input of electricity (where also electricity to household equipment as stoves and ovens can be considered as heat supply to the building) it's
the insolation through the windows and the heat emitted from persons. The electric heat supply was calculated due to the family's notes on the used electricity. As supply for one day, the difference between the used electricity 7 am the following day and 7 am the actual day was used. The insolation through the windows was calculated from data on house design (window-sizes and direction) and insolation from a nearby situated airport (10.5 km away). At the airport the solar radiation to a horizontal surface was registered as energy amount per hour and per day. Since the insolation to vertical and horizontal surfaces may be assumed as linear to the cloudiness, $M$, it's possible to find a relation between the ratio $Q_V/Q_H$ and the cloudiness for different vertical directions and months of the year, see figure 6. $Q_V$ and $Q_H$ is the insolation to a vertical and to a horizontal surface respectively.
Consideration to shadowing was taken by the use of a shadowing factor $\psi$. This factor was set as either 1, for unshadowed facade, or 0.5 for partly shadowed facades. Use of venetian blinds and curtains was assumed to give a reduction of the insolation through southeast- and southwest orientated windows during days with more than 50% clouds, i.e. $M = 0.5 - 1.0$. This reduction was expressed with the factor $\phi$, set to 0.5 at above mentioned conditions and 1.0 otherwise. Transmission through the window-panes was taken in consideration by the use of a transmission factor $\tau$. This was set to be 0.75 for 2-pane windows and 0.65 for 3-pane windows. Now the insolation through a window during the day can be calculated as

$$Q_{b} = q_{b} \cdot \tau \cdot \psi \cdot \phi \cdot Q_{H} \text{ Wh/day} \quad (16)$$
Where $q_s$ is the ratio $Q_V/Q_H$ see figure 6

$Q_H$ is the registered insolation to a horizontal surface at the airport

With known direction and size of the building's windows this procedure made it possible to estimate the total heat supply during the day due to insolation. The person heat, i.e. the heat emitted from people in the house, was calculated from the family's notes on number of people in the house during the day. Different people emit varying amounts of heat, depending on both the size and the age. As an average 90 W per person
was used when estimating the persons' contribution to the heat supply.

3.2 Heat losses

The main heat losses from the building, and also the heat losses we are interested to estimate, are the transmission and ventilation heat losses. Since these are difficult to measure directly, we have calculated them as the difference between heat supply and heat losses due to other reasons than transmission and ventilation. These heat losses are hot water losses to the drain, when using washing- and dishing machines and during normal water consumption, warm-air losses, when using kitchen fan and drying cabinet, and heat losses due to window opening. The last two losses, warm air losses and heat losses due to window opening, are of course ventilation losses. But they are ventilation losses, not mainly dependent on the building and the weather conditions, but on human activities. They are therefore included among the heat losses above.

Hot water losses, from use of washing- and dishing machines, were calculated from producers datas on their products. Datas giving water consumption and used temperatures. Machinery used in the households were known trough an inquiry to the families at the start of the investigation. The water, not used in machines, was assumed to consist of 30 % hot water. 90 % of its energy was taken as heat loss to the drain. Heat losses, due to use of kitchen fan and drying cabinet, were also calculated out of producers datas, giving air flows and temperatures. Finally, heat losses due to window opening, were calculated with use of results from an investigation by Widegren-Dafgård. According to these results, the air flow through a window opening, with height 1 m and with 0,3 m, is approximately 0,05 m3/s. Thus the heat loss during day can be calculated as

\[
Q_w = 0,05 \cdot \rho \cdot c_p \cdot \Delta \theta \cdot t \text{ Wh/day} \quad (17)
\]

where \( \rho \) and \( c_p \) are density and heat capacity for air respectively

\( \Delta \theta \) is temperature difference between inside and outside

\( t \) is the window opening time, according to family notes, see figure 5
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Heat supply</th>
<th>Heat losses (kWh/day)</th>
<th>Heat loss</th>
<th>Specific heat loss Q/\theta°</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jan 12</td>
<td>105.0</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>69.7</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>118.1</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>92.3</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>89.6</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>78.7</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>83.3</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>83.2</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>97.0</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>90.5</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>75.9</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>93.1</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>64.8</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>70.3</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>75.3</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>69.8</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>73.9</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>76.6</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When the heat supply and heat losses are calculated for every house and day, see table 1.
In table 1 giving results for one of the 20 houses, the specific heat loss, \( \frac{Q}{\Delta \theta} \), is also calculated. Wind direction and velocity, as well as the outdoor temperature, was registered at a place in the south part of the area, see figure 4. A cup-anemometer on 5 m height, was used for wind registrations. Supplementary outdoor temperatures were also registered at a place north of the area. Wind velocities at street level were measured with a handhold anemometer. These velocities were related to simultaneous cup-anemometer recordings, giving velocity ratios shown in figure 7.

![Figure 7.](image)

These velocity ratios were determined at westwind, which was the dominating wind direction during the investigation period. They were later used to calculate local wind velocities, \( v \), from the cup-anemometer recordings. For every house and day, we now had three
parameters, the specific heat loss during the day, $Q/\Delta\theta$, the mean local wind velocity during the day, $v_L$, and the mean outdoor-temperature during the day, $\Delta\theta$. These parameters are given for some days for one of the houses in table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Temperature difference $\Delta\theta$ [K]</th>
<th>Wind-velocity $v_L$ [m/s]</th>
<th>Specific heat loss $Q/\Delta\theta$ [kWh/K, day]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jan 12</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>3.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>4.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>4.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>3.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>3.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>4.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>3.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>3.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>3.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>4.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>4.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>3.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>3.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>4.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>4.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>4.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>4.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. **Results**

The calculated parameters above have been analyzed using a relation according to equation (15). This can be circumscribed as

\[ \frac{Q}{\Delta \theta} = A + B \cdot \Delta \theta^{1/2} + C \cdot v_z + D \cdot v_z^{4/3} \]  

(18)

Putting the calculated parameters for one house into equation (18) gives an equation system with as many equations as measuring days. Changing this equation system into a matrix equation will make it possible to solve the unknown matrix \( x \), containing the constants \( A, B, C \) and \( D \). With these constants known, we have a relation giving the specific heat loss for different wind velocities and temperature differences. Figure 8 shows this relation at three temperature differences for one of the houses.

\[ \frac{Q}{\Delta \theta} \text{ kWh/K,day} \]

\[ \Delta \theta = 15 \text{ K} \]

\[ \Delta \theta = 20 \text{ K} \]

\[ \Delta \theta = 25 \text{ K} \]

\[ \frac{Q}{\Delta \theta} = 11.6 - 1.3 \Delta \theta + 0.29 v_L + 0.22 v_L^{4/3} \]

Figure 8.
The solution of the constants $A, B, C$ and $D$, gives curves for the wind depending specific heat loss, that, for most of the houses, has a minimum. This minimum lies at wind velocities between 0.5 - 2.0 m/s. One can partly explain this minimum by the reduction of transmission heat loss with rised wind velocity that's possible during clear nights. The minimum may also be a result of the mathematical adjustment of the relation to the measuring datas.

The most surprising result is that the constant $B$ is negative for all the 20 houses. Since the thermal forces increase with temperature difference, one would expect the specific heat loss due to this ventilation to increase with increased temperature difference. The constant $B$ would then be positive. The only plausible explanation to the negative value of $B$ is that the habits of the families change with outside temperature. With low outside temperature, and consequently high temperature difference $\Delta \theta$, people seem to be more inclined to keep their house tight.

Despite consideration has been taken to window opening, there is still a variation in opening width and opening time that's unknown. And above all there's an unknown variation in door-opening. Malik found in an investigation similar tendencies. He found that an occupied house acted as if it had two different porosities. In cold weather the house was tighter than in mild. He thought that in mild weather, windows and doors are opened rather frequently and are closed carelessly, where as in winter, people make sure their windows and doors are closed tight.

The percentage change of the specific heat loss, $Q/\Delta \theta$, is shown in figure 9 for all the 20 houses. The temperature difference is here 20K. Equations of the type (18) may be used to determine the possible energy saving from reducing wind influence. It can be calculated as the proportional difference between average heat loss during the investigation period and the heat loss at the wind velocity giving minimum specific heat loss, see figure 8 and 9. Since the average wind- and temperature conditions, during the investigation period, January and February, were approximately the same as the average conditions in this part of Sweden during heating season, the calculated possible energy-saving is a good estimation for the whole year. Table 3 gives the calculated possible energy saving in percents.
The possible energy-saving is between 3.3-9.4%. This energy saving may either be achieved by reducing the wind velocity to 0.5-2.0 m/s, or by tightening the house.
Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>House nr</th>
<th>Possible energysaving %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 10.
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