VENTILATION THROUGH OPENINGS ON ONE WALL ONLY

by P R Warren

SUMMARY
The main mechanisms giving rise to the natural ventilation of spaces with openings to outside air in one wall only are reviewed. Expressions which enable the magnitude of the ventilation rates due to each of these mechanisms to be calculated are derived from theoretical and wind tunnel studies. The results of measurements on a full-scale building are reported and compared with predictions.
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by P R Warren

NOMENCLATURE

A  area of opening (subscripts 1,2 see below) (m$^2$)
b  breadth of rectangular opening (m)
c  concentration (subscripts i,e)
f$_1$,f$_2$  functions of $\eta$
G  mass flow rate (kg/s)
g$_1$,g$_2$  functions of $\eta_r$
H  vertical distance between centres of two openings (m)
h  height of rectangular opening (m)
I  integral, defined in the text
k,k$_p$  wave number (= n/U$_R$ ; = n$_p$/U$_R$) (m$^{-1}$)
n,n$_p$  frequency, frequency at peak value of pressure spectrum (Hz)
p  pressure (subscripts 1,2,R) (N/m$^2$)
p', $\hat{p}$  fluctuating component of pressure; rms value of p' (N/m$^2$)
U  mean velocity (subscripts L,R,T see below) (m/s)
u  velocity in x-direction within mixing layer (m/s)
V  volume (m$^3$)
v  velocity in y-direction within mixing layer (m/s)
Ar  Archimedes number (= $\Delta \rho g h / \rho U^2$)
Cd  discharge coefficient
Cp  pressure coefficient (= (p-po)/$\frac{1}{2}$po $U_T^2$)
F  flow number (=Q/AU) (subscripts L,R,T indicate $U_L$ etc)
Gr  Grashof number (= $\Delta \rho g h^3 / \rho \nu^2$)
M  aspect ratio of rectangular opening (= h/b)
Re  Reynolds number (= UR/$\nu$)
Nomenclature - continued

\( \alpha \)  arbitrary constant relating to width of mixing layer

\( \beta \)  angle of flow direction in plane parallel to wall

\( \gamma \)  angle of wind direction (\( = 0 \), perpendicular to wall)

\( \Delta \)  difference between two values of same variable

\( \varepsilon \)  ratio of opening area (\( = A_1/A_2 \))

\( \eta, \eta_r \)  similarity variable; value of \( \eta \) in plane of opening

\( \theta \)  absolute temperature (deg K)

\( \nu \)  kinematic viscosity (m\(^2\)/s)

\( \rho \)  density (kg/m\(^3\))

\( \phi \)  angle of opening of window 'vane'

**SUBSCRIPTS**

\( 1,2 \)  identification number of opening

\( i,e \)  value \( p \) and \( v \) at inner and outer edges of mixing layer

\( L,R,T \)  value of \( U \), and dimensionless quantities \( Ar, F \) which depend upon \( U \), local to the opening (L), at a chosen reference point remote from the building (R), and at a reference point in the free wind at a height equal to that of the building (T).

\( o \)  value of \( p \) and \( \rho \) taken for reference in defining \( Cp \)
VENTILATION THROUGH OPENINGS ON ONE WALL ONLY

by P R Warren

INTRODUCTION

Under the action of the steady pressures generated by wind and temperature differences, air flows through openings, both purpose-made and adventitious, in the outer skin and between spaces within a building. The general principles underlying this form of ventilation were stated many years ago (1,2) and have subsequently been developed and incorporated in computer-based methods for the prediction of flow through buildings consisting of complex arrangements of spaces (3,4). However there are situations when 'through' ventilation of this type cannot occur, in particular when a space within a building is well-sealed with respect to the rest of the building and has openings in one external wall only. Typical examples are cellular offices or classrooms in which internal doors are kept closed for reasons of privacy or noise, and for which the only large openings available for ventilation in summer are in the one external wall. Langdon and Loudon (5) have shown that such rooms tend to have higher summer temperatures and give rise to greater user dissatisfaction than through-ventilated rooms exposed to the same conditions of solar gain etc. The purpose of the work described in part in this paper is to provide a basis for the choice and sizing of openings to give the design summertime ventilation rate in rooms of this type.
\[
\frac{G}{\rho bh} \left[ \frac{\theta}{gh\Delta \theta} \right]^{\frac{1}{3}} = \text{function} \left( \frac{\Delta \theta gh^3}{\theta_v^2}, \left( \frac{h}{D} \right) \right)
\]  

(2)

The first independent dimensionless group is the Grashof Number, \(\text{Gr}\), and the second is the aspect ratio, \(M\), of the opening. If the temperature differences are small in comparison with the absolute temperatures, equation (2) may be written in terms of volume flow rate, and \(\theta\) may be regarded as the mean between the internal and external absolute temperatures. The volume flow rate, \(Q\), is therefore given by,

\[
Q = A \cdot \text{function}(\text{Gr}, M). \left( \frac{\Delta \theta gh}{\theta} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}
\]

(3)

If the following assumptions are made,

(i) viscous forces are small in comparison with those due to buoyancy, i.e. \(\text{Gr}\) is large, and

(ii) the vertical component of velocity at the opening is small in comparison with horizontal components i.e. the flow consists of two-dimensional horizontal layers,

then, as Shaw (6) and Brown and Solvason (7) have shown,

\[
\text{function}(\text{Gr}, M) = \frac{1}{3} \text{Cd}.
\]

(4)

\(\text{Cd}\) is the discharge coefficient for the opening, which if the latter is sharp-edged will approach the theoretical value of 0.61 at high Grashof numbers. Experimental results by Shaw confirm that this value is appropriate for openings typical of the size of open windows, for a range of \(\Delta \theta\) less than 20 deg C.
Multiple Openings

Brown and Solvason (7) have noted that the analysis for a single opening can be extended to deal with openings of various dimensions separated by different vertical distances. The simplest arrangement consists of two openings of areas, $A_1$ and $A_2$, with their centres at a height $H$ apart. The flow rate is then given by,

$$Q = \sqrt{2A}Cd \left[ \frac{\varepsilon}{(1 + \varepsilon)(1 + \varepsilon^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right] \left[ \frac{g\Delta \theta H}{\theta} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

If the areas are equal, $\varepsilon$ equals 1 and equation (6) reduces to,

$$Q = \frac{1}{2}ACd \left[ \frac{g\Delta \theta H}{\theta} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

WIND

The structure of the wind is complex, depending inter alia on the stability of the atmosphere and the roughness of the terrain. In general in the lower atmosphere the wind is turbulent and the mean value of its speed, its turbulence characteristics, and to a certain extent its direction vary with height. The resulting flow when the wind interacts with a bluff body, such as a building, is inevitably highly complex and can only be determined by wind tunnel or full-scale studies. Certain broad characteristics may, however be noted. Air deflected across the windward faces separates at sharp corners creating regions of reversed flow. Downstream a wake is formed. The surface of the building is subject to fluctuating pressures related not only to the turbulence in the wind upstream of the building but to the turbulence created by the building itself. Close to the surface
is sealed the density of air within the space will also vary about a mean value, $\rho_o$. If the process is considered to be adiabatic the rate of change of mass of the air within the space and in consequence the rate at which air is transferred through the opening is given by,

$$G = \frac{V_o \rho_o}{P_i^\gamma} \frac{dp_i}{dt}$$  \hfill (11)

where $p_i$ is the pressure within the space. In order to make a simple estimate of the magnitude of the flow rate the following assumptions are made.

i) All of the pressure variation occurs at a single frequency, $n$.

The amplitude of the fluctuating component of the external pressure at the opening, $p_e'$, is therefore given by,

$$p_e' = \sqrt{2} \rho_o \frac{U_i^2}{\gamma} C_p e$$  \hfill (12)

ii) The mass of external air which enters during each cycle mixes perfectly, and hence the outgoing air on the other half of the cycle contains 'contaminated' air from within the space.

iii) That the internal pressure follows the external pressure without any lag or attenuation, ie the effects of inertia are ignored.

The use of these assumptions, together with equation (11) leads to the following expression for an equivalent volume flow rate,

$$Q = \sqrt{2} \left[ \frac{C_p \rho_o}{\gamma \rho_o} \right] \cdot n U_i^2 V$$  \hfill (13)
analysis difficult. However the typical window dimension is generally very much smaller than the typical dimension of a building. In consequence the flow in the vicinity of an opening will be parallel to the plane of the wall. Neglecting, initially, the fact that it is turbulent and considering the mean flow at the opening itself, the flow resembles the 'mixing' layer formed when a uniform stream is exposed to a region of zero velocity at one edge. Although it is only a two-dimensional approximation it is suggested that this flow, which is comparatively well understood be used as a first step in the determination of the turbulent exchange of fluid across the opening.

Figure 2 shows the assumed pattern of flow. Outside of the mixing layer the free stream has a uniform velocity equal to the mean velocity at the opening, $U_L$. At the inner edge of the layer the longitudinal velocity, $u$, is zero. At the magnitude of Reynolds number based on the opening dimensions the flow will be turbulent within the layer. A number of theoretical solutions based upon the classical phenomenological theories of turbulence have been derived for the distribution of mean velocity, $u$, and concentration of any species, $c$, within the layer, notably by Tollmien (11) and Görtler (12). In general good agreement with experimental results can be obtained with any of these solutions since they each contain at least one arbitrary constant. All are based upon the assumption of similar profiles for velocity and concentration. Thus $u$ and $c$ may be expressed as functions of suitably chosen similarity variable, $\eta$, where

$$\frac{u}{U_L} = f_1(\eta) \quad \frac{c}{c_i} = f_2(\eta) \quad \eta = \frac{(y - y_i)}{\alpha x}$$

$y_i$ is the value of $y$ at the inner edge of the layer and is a constant which
The value of \( \eta_r \) may be fixed by considering the principle of continuity applied to the enclosed space. If it is completely sealed OB is a mean streamline and there can be no net transfer of fluid across it, and \( \eta_r = \eta_{ro} \), say. Thus the flow returning into the space across BC must be equal to the entrained flow along the edge OC, thus,

\[
\int_0^b v_i \, dx = \alpha b U_L \int_0^{\eta_{ro}} f_1(\eta) \, d\eta = \alpha b U_L g_2(\eta_{ro})
\]  \hspace{1cm} (18)

where,

\[ g_2(\eta_{ro}) \text{ is defined as } \int_0^\eta f_1(\eta) \, d\eta \]

Thus,

\[ g_2(\eta_{ro}) = \frac{v_i}{\alpha U_L} = (I_1 - I_2) \]

and hence \( \eta_{ro} \) may be determined and the position of the layer fixed.

In order to proceed further it is necessary to propose suitable expressions for the functions \( f_1(\eta) \) and \( f_2(\eta) \). There is little to choose for this present purpose between the various theoretical solutions and for ease of manipulation the functions proposed by Abramovitch (13) will be used:

\[ f_1(\eta) = \left[ 1 - (1-\eta)^{3/2} \right]^2 ; \quad f_2(\eta) = (1-\eta) \]

The functions \( g_1(\eta_r) \) and \( g_2(\eta_r) \) and the integrals \( I_1 \) and \( I_2 \) may now be determined, leading to,

\[ \eta_{ro} = 0.62 ; \quad v_i = 0.134 \alpha U_L ; \quad g_1(\eta_{ro}) = 0.056. \]

It remains to assign a suitable value to the constant \( \alpha \). Liepmann and Laufer (14) determined experimentally a value of 0.23 for a uniform steady
the effect of a flow parallel to the partition. The flow was created by conventional air-conditioning fans and would be expected to be highly turbulent. For high velocities the rate of heat transfer was independent of Grashof No. indicating that it was dependent on the flow only. These results have been employed to deduce values of $F_L$ for the three sizes of openings used. Using the previously determined value for $\eta_{ro}$ of 0.62, and $g_1(\eta_{ro})$ of 0.056, in conjunction with equation (16) values of $\alpha$ have been determined and are listed with their corresponding values of $F_L$ in Table 1.

**TABLE 1 Values of $F_L$ and determined from Brown & Solvason (7)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opening Dimensions (m)</th>
<th>$F_L$</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.15 x 0.15</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>1.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.23 x 0.23</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>1.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.30 x 0.30</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Single Opening with a Vane

The mechanisms due to wind discussed so far have been applicable to plane openings. A vane will interact with the local airflow creating an exchange of air across the opening. Again the complex nature of the flow precludes theoretical analysis. Using dimensional analysis the main independent variable may be identified.

$$F_L = \text{function (} \phi, \beta, M, Re_L \text{)}.$$  
The sense of $\phi$ and $\beta$ is indicated in Figure 3.
If we assume that the aspect ratio, $M$, and the Reynolds number, $Re$ will not be important. In the case of the Reynolds number this is effectively reiterating assumptions that have already been made when discussing the separate action of these two mechanisms. Thus,

$$F_R = \text{function}(Ar_R)$$

(20)

When temperature difference is the predominant effect, i.e., when $Ar_R$ is large, the ventilation flow rate will tend to that predicted by equation (5), which on division by $U$ gives,

$$F_R = \frac{1}{3}J(\phi)Cd.(Ar_R)^{\frac{1}{4}}$$

(21)

When wind dominates, $Ar_R \to 0$, and $F_R$ becomes independent of $Ar_R$. This suggests a useful basis of judgement in the analysis of measurements made in full size buildings, where control over the main variables, wind speed, direction and temperature difference is not possible. To isolate those results mainly influenced by wind the results may be plotted in the form $F_R$ against $Ar_R^{\frac{1}{4}}$. Measurements dominated by temperature will tend to lie along the straight line defined by equation (21), whereas those largely due to wind will lie between the $F_R$ axis and the line, equation (21).

WIND TUNNEL STUDIES

Experimental Arrangement

The working section of a small open jet wind-tunnel, described by Sexton (16), was altered so that it was totally enclosed with height 0.8 m and width 1.1 m. A small test chamber was constructed to have one wall in common with the side wall of the wind-tunnel. Its dimensions were 0.5 x 0.5 m in section. The depth away from the tunnel wall could be varied between 0.5 and 1.0 m,
as the slot width increases. The longitudinal velocity created at the inner edge of the mixing layer can be shown theoretically to reduce entrainment, and as a consequence the exchange of air.

It was not possible to model the turbulence likely to occur in full scale situations, but the effect of increasing the turbulence in the wind tunnel stream was investigated. A biplane grid consisting of 46 x 7 mm slats (set with their larger side perpendicular to the flow), in a square pattern at 148 mm centres was inserted in the working section 1.5 m upstream of the centre of the openings in the chamber wall. The grid was sized to give a lateral scale of turbulence $L(u)$ at the test chamber opening of 25 mm, using the results of Baines and Peterson (17). The intensity of the longitudinal component of turbulence was measured as 9.0%. The results for the measurements are given in column 3 of Table 3 and may be compared with those without enhanced turbulence. The overall effect is to increase the value of $F_L$ by some 60% for the slots and approximately 40% for the square openings, reflecting the higher contribution of three-dimensional effects to the exchange rate in the case of the latter.

Single Opening with a Vane

The effect of an opening vane was studied by inserting small models of openable casement windows in the test chamber wall. Three model windows were used, each of area 0.026 m$^2$, but with aspect ratios of 1.0, 1.6 and 2.5. Measurements of ventilation rate were made for a range of wind tunnel speeds from 1.0 to 5.0 m/s, for the three values of $\beta$, 0, 90 and 180°, and, at each of these, for values of window opening, $\phi$, of 10, 30, 60 and
inside and outside of the rooms were recorded. The expected accuracies of measurement were \( \pm 10\% \) for ventilation rate, and \( \pm 0.5 \text{ m/s} \) for wind speed and \( \pm 0.2^\circ\text{C} \) for the temperatures.

Vertically sliding window - Room A

With a window opening of 0.15 m, giving a single rectangular area of 0.15 m\(^2\), measurements were made for a wide range of \( U_R, \gamma, \) and \( \Delta\theta \). In order to compare the full scale results with the values predicted for particular mechanisms it is necessary, where possible, to separate the results dominated by particular mechanisms from each other. In order to separate wind and temperature the procedure suggested in a previous section has been adopted and the results have been plotted in the form \( F_R \sim \frac{\Delta v}{R} \) in Figure 5a. By inspection those results predominantly affected by wind were isolated, and plotted in Figure 5a, against wind direction. \( \gamma \).

Measurements were also made with the same open area, but arranged in the form of top and bottom openings of equal area, and separated by a vertical distance of 1.16 m. These results were also analysed by plotting them in the form \( F_R \sim \frac{\Delta v}{R} \), in Figure 5b. As might be expected there are rather more results in this instance clearly due mainly to temperature difference. The results predominantly affected by wind are plotted against wind direction in Figure 5b.

Side-mounted casement window - Room B

Measurements were made with a single casement window, of aspect ratio 1.9, area when fully open of 0.60 m\(^2\), and height 1.06 m, at the following values of \( \phi \): 4, 12, 20, 27, 34 and 67\(^\circ\). Again the results due mainly to wind have been separated from the remainder and plotted against wind direction. Figure 6 shows the results.
$\text{Ar}_L^{1/3}$ for all of the results for the double opening. Also included is the straight line representing ventilation due to temperature difference alone. At high values of $\text{Ar}_L^{1/3}$ the results group around this line; at low values the results deviate and tend to become independent of the Archimedes number, just as suggested earlier when the simultaneous action of wind and temperature difference was discussed. The result also implies that given the appropriate data, or design conditions, whichever of the two effects is calculated to give the largest ventilation rate may be assumed to be acting alone.

The results for the single side-mounted casement window have been similarly expressed in terms of the local windspeed $U_L$. However the wind-tunnel studies $\beta$ must be taken into account. Direct comparison is restricted by the experimental arrangement to the three values of $\beta$; 0, 90 and 180°. From the 1:25 scale model these were found to occur for the following ranges of $\gamma$:

(i) $\beta = 0^\circ; \ 20^\circ < \gamma < 70^\circ$
(ii) $\beta = 90^\circ; \ 150^\circ < \gamma < 210^\circ$
(iii) $\beta = 180^\circ; \ 290^\circ < \gamma < 340^\circ$

Unfortunately the results are not evenly distributed with respect to $\gamma$ and the majority lie within range (i) corresponding to $\beta = 0^\circ$. However the results within this range have been averaged for each of the six values of $\phi$ and plotted for comparison, in Figure 8, against the wind-tunnel result for a side-mounted casement with an aspect ratio of 1.6, the nearest to that of the full size window. The agreement is remarkably good and lends confidence to the use of the wind tunnel technique for further investigations, provided that the ventilation is occurring due to the presence of the vane.
The action of mean pressure difference if more than one opening is available will be to increase or give a higher flow rate than that given by equation (22). This equation will there tend to give the minimum likely rate and therefore the most suitable for design purposes since this fixes a maximum required area which can always be reduced.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

1 An analysis of the mechanisms that can give rise to the natural ventilatic of rooms with openings on one side only indicates that the following are the most important:
   a) Difference between internal and external air temperature
   b) Turbulent diffusion
   c) The interaction of an opening vane with the local air flow
   d) Mean pressure difference acting across openings, if more than one is present.

2 Measurements on a full scale building have lead to a simple formula which gives the minimum ventilation rate due to (b) and (c) above.

3 There is evidence from the full scale measurements that when temperature and wind are acting simultaneously the ventilation rate will be equal to the larger of the two individual calculated.

4 A simple model technique for investigating the performance of windows using a wind-tunnel has been developed and gives excellent results for exchange processes dominated by opening vane. The technique needs to be improved to deal with situations in which turbulence also plays a part.


TABLE 3  The effect of a turbulence-producing grid on a flow number \( F_L \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opening type and size</th>
<th>( F_L ) No grid</th>
<th>( F_L ) Grid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SQUARE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.127 x 0.127 m</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.178 x 0.178 m</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.254 x 0.254 m</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SLOT</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.025 x 0.508 m</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.051 x 0.508 m</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.102 x 0.508 m</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.178 x 0.508 m</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.254 x 0.508 m</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.330 x 0.508 m</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.406 x 0.508 m</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Free stream turbulence</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( u/U_L )</td>
<td>0.8% (meas)</td>
<td>9.0% (meas)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( L_y(u) )</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24 mm (calc)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4(a)  Plan view and window arrangement of the experimental building
(b) Variation of local flow velocity at the windows of room A with wind direction, $\gamma$
Figure 6  Variation of dimensionless flow rate, $F_R$, with wind direction, for a single side-mounted casement window at angles of opening, $\phi$, of 4, 12, 20, 27, 34 and 67°