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## ABSTRACT

This project investigates the effect of rounding errors in the calculation of ventilation flow rates. The flow rates are determined from the concentrations of contaminant which are measured in a tracer decay experiment.

As shown in the present dissertation, the introduction of rounding errors leads to substantial changes in the final flows. Experimental errors are expected to be larger than rounding errors and therefore, they would produce greater errors in the computed flows.

The aim of this research is to find a way of measuring these errors, and as shown later, the condition number used in this report is not a satisfactory measure of these errors.
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0: INTRODUCTION AND OBJECTIVES:

```
Why is ventilation and air movement important?
Because of:
    (i) Energy,
(ii) Contaminants and air quality.
```

The measurement of ventilation is most easily accomplished
by considering a building as a single cell, in which the
air is fully mixed. In this case, measurement is easily
achieved by using a tracer gas. This is the single zone
theory.
The single zone theory is satisfactory if the ventilation
rate only is to be studied.
However, in large buildings, it is advantageous, and often
necessary to know how fresh and contaminated air is
distributed internally. For this, the building needs to be
considered as a set of interconnected zones, rather than
as a single zone. This is the multizone theory, as
described in Sinden's work.
If the flows between zones are known, the distribution of
contaminant can be calculated from the theory.

However, interzone flows are often not known, in which case it is necessary to calculate or measure them.

This investigation is concerned with some of the problems of obtaining interzone flows from measurements.

One of the most commom method of measurement is the tracer decay technique, in which tracer gas is introduced into one (or more) of the zones, and then the changes in the tracer gas concentration are measured over a period of time. Sinden's theory can then be used to obtain values for the interzone flows. However, Waters and Simons discovered that the results were often inconsistent, and this inconsistency was thought to be due to the sensitivity of the derived flows to errors in the measured concentrations.

This problem was further studied by Waters and Simons who pointed out that there may be problems due to certain combinations of interzone flows creating redundancy in the solution.

The objective of this study is to examine the extent to which errors in the measured concentrations create errors
in the flows which are computed from the concentrations.

The method which has been adopted is to take two hypothetical buildings, each of three zones, in which the flows are specified. Calculation are then performed to determine, accurately, the tracer concentration which would be measured in an ideal tracer decay experiment.

These concentrations are then used to compute the interzone flows. Clearly, these should be the same as the flows chosen originally.

Errors are introduced into the concentrations, and the effect on the solution is explored.

CHAPTER 1

REVIEW OF NATURAL VENTILATION FUNDAMENTALS

## 1-0. REVIEW OF NATURAL VENTILATION FUNDAMENTALS:

1-1. Definitions:

VENTILATION - The introduction of fresh air and the removal of contaminated air.

ADVENTITIOUS VENTILATION or INFILTRATION - The uncontrolled entry of fresh air through openings and cracks in the building structure and fabric.

1-2. Functions of ventilation:
(a) To provide a continuous supply of oxygen for breathing
(b) To remove products of respiration and occupation
(c) To remove contaminants arising from domestic and industrial activities (e.g. Water vapour, noxious gases, heat, etc)
(d) To provide combustion air for fossil fuel appliances (e.g. gas cookers, central heating boilers, etc.)

In general, all functions are provided simultaneously, though the provision of combustion air is sometimes provided separately, (e.g. balanced fuel gas appliances). The ventilation requirement is based upon that function
which requires most fresh air, and is usually expressed as the minimum rate of fresh air, in litres per second, below which the rate must not fall.

1-3. Ventilation and Heat Loss:

Ventilation has the effect of transferring heat between the inside and the outside of the building. There are three conditions to consider, which may be identified approximately by reference to a graph of building heat transfer against temperature.

Region A $\quad$| Ventilation rate must be reduced to |
| :--- |
| conserve energy. |

Region $B \quad$| Ventilation rate must be increased to |
| :--- |
| reduce cooling requirement or to control |
| internal temperature. |

| Ventilation rate must be reduced to |
| :--- |
| conserve energy. |

In the United Kingdom, Region $A$ is of greatest importance, and it can be seen that there is a direct clash between the provision of ventilation for health and safety and the elimination of ventilation for energy conservation.


## BUILDING ENERGY BALANCE

1-4. Prediction of Contaminant Concentrations and Minimum
Ventilation Rates:

Let $F=$ Flow rate of fresh air into the room
$q=$ Injection rate of contaminant
$C_{r}=$ Concentration of contaminant in the room
$C_{s}=$ Concentration of contaminant in the supply air
$C_{i}=$ Initial concentration of contaminant in the room at the time $t=0$.

Assuming a fully mixed single zone, the equation for $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{r}}$
is then:

$$
C_{r}=\left(\frac{F C_{s}+q}{F+q}\right)\left(1-e^{-[(F+q) t / V]}\right)+C_{i} * e^{-[(F+q) t / V]}
$$

The equilibrium concentration is obtained by setting the exponential terms to zero.

If $q$ is injected, then:

$$
C_{T}=\frac{F C_{s}+q}{F+q}
$$

However, if $q$ is generated by direct replacement of a constituent of the air, for example carbon dioxide replacing oxygen, then:

$$
C_{r}=\frac{F C_{s}+q}{F}
$$

1-5. Ventilation requirements:
(a) Oxygen provision and carbon dioxide removal.

Table 1 (appendix 1), gives values for an adult male. The carbon dioxide requirement is based on a threshold limit value of 0.5 percent. Notice that the oxygen replacement is much less. Values for an adult female are about 75 percent of those for a male.
(b) Body odour.

The subjective assessment of body odour is highly complex. Two problems are of interest:
(i) The sense of smell fatigues with time. Thus new smells are more noticeable than persistent ones.
(ii) Subjective assessments are affected by the volume of space per person.

Current information and recommendations are still based on work published in 1936 by Yaglou.
(c) Tobacco smoke.

The troublesome products of tobacco smoke are:
(i) The smoke itself and its odour.
(ii) Carbon monoxide, which is toxic.
(iii) Acrolein, which is an irritant.

The current recommended fresh air rate to remove products is $20 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ of fresh air per cigarette smoked. The average smoker smokes 1.3 cigarettes per hour, and so the fresh air requirement is $26 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ per hour, or 7 litres per second per smoker.
(d) Humidity.

There are numerous activities which generate moisture within buildings. Some typical sources and rates are given in table 2 (appendix 1).
(e) Air for fuel burning appliances.
(i) Open-flued domestic fuel burning appliances.

The typical requirement is 0.8 to 1.1 itres/second for each kilowatt of heat output.
(ii) Flueless appliances.

The typical requirements are given in table 3 (appendix 1).
(f) Other contaminants.

These are evaluated from a suitable criterion for the maximum possible concentration, usually a threshold limit value, or TLV.

1-6. General Recommendations:

The most usual contaminants can be evaluated in terms of a typical room. Diagram 1(appendix 1), shows the result of this for a room of a particular height. The required
flow rate, $F$, is often converted to an air change rate, by means of the equation.

$$
\mathrm{n}=\frac{\mathrm{F}}{\mathrm{~V}}
$$

Where n is the ventilation rate.

## CHAPTER 2

THE MEASUREMENT OF NATURAL VENTILATION RATES
SINGLE ZONE THEORY

2-0. THE MEASUREMENT OF NATURAL VENTILATION RATES - SINGLE ZONE THEORY:

The most commonly used means for determining the rate of ventilation for a room or building is the tracer gas technique. The basic concepts of this method have many variations which are used in practice.

In using this technique, a series of assumptions have to be made about how the building is acting in practice with respect to its method of ventilation. As a whole, the building will act as a series of cells, each of which are interconnected and/or connected to the outside air by one or a series of openings. The cells themselves can be of any size, from a whole room to the space between a suspended floor and ceiling. The openings between cells can be equally diverse, varying from doorways or windows to construction joints and holes in the fabric due to the penetration of services. An air flow will occur between these cells through the apertures, when a pressure difference is set up accross them, this can be the result of wind action on the exterior of the building, a temperature differential between cells or a difference in the relative humidity
level. In industrial buildings, it may well be the situation that there is one main cell which is the production area itself. This main cell may be divided into a number of hypothetical cells, which have little effect on the performance in terms of ventilation, of the main cell. Such small cells could be two or three offices, conveniences, and a rest area for the employees.

To determine the ventilation rate, consideration has to be made as to whether the method should be applied to each room within the building individually, and so establish how each of the major cells is reacting in relation to the cells around it and to the outside, or whether the building is assumed to act as one large cell dependant mainly upon the external conditions applied to it.

1. The tracer gas technique:

This is based on the principle of releasing a known gas, called a tracer gas, into the air of a building and then monitoring the concentration of the gas over a period of time. The rate of change in the concentration of the
tracer gas in an air space is expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Where: } V=\text { volume of the ventilated space } \\
& C=\text { concentration of the tracer gas in the } \\
& \text { ventilated space at time } t \\
& C_{0}=\text { concentration of the tracer gas in the } \\
& \text { outside air } \\
& v=\text { rate at which the air leaves the } \\
& \text { ventilated space, in volume per unit } \\
& \text { time } \\
& \bar{v}=\text { rate at which the external air enters } \\
& \text { the ventilated space } \\
& G \text { = net rate of generation of tracer gas in } \\
& \text { the ventilated space }
\end{aligned}
$$

The equation is based upon the assumption that the tracer gas is uniformly mixed throughout the air space in question, and that there is no transfer of the tracer from one part of that space to another during the time of measurement, which means that the incoming air must mix perfectly with the air within the ventilated space, and any generation of the gas in the space must also mix perfectly. Such assumptions cannot be guaranteed in any situation, unless an artificial
stirring of the air is introduced during the measurement period. This will, however, affect the overall
ventilation rate by creating a much more even pressure throughout the ventilated space. It is generally assumed that after initial mixing to a uniform concentration throughout the space, then this does ventilate at a constant rate throughout.

There are several methods of using a tracer gas to monitor the ventilation rate of a building or room. For the purpose of this research, only the rate of decay method will be considered.
-2. Rate of decay method:

A fixed quantity of the tracer gas is released into the room or rooms, and mixed evenly throughout to produce as near a uniform concentration within the space as possible. The rate at which the tracer gas concentration decays is a measure of the ventilation rate. The actual rate of decay of the tracer should be exponential in form, as shown in figure $2-2 a$, because, assuming perfect mixing of the gas, incoming air does not drive out the old air before it, like a piston. In practice, it has
been shown that even with good mixing of the external air with the contaminated internal air, one 'air change' in fact only replaces 63 percent of the room air. As mixing is not homogeneous, this rate will tend to be lower or higher, but the extent of this is dependant on the degree of mixing.

In practice, the concentration of tracer is measured over a period of time, either by continuous monitoring, or by taking spot samples at regular intervals. The rate of decay can then be plotted, as shown in figure 2-2a, and the exponential curve of best fit is allocated so as to obtain the ventilation rate. The major problem with the rate of decay method, is obtaining a near uniform concentration of the tracer in the early stages, before the measurement period commences. In a small space, this can be achieved with sufficient accuracy by dispersing the gas into the path of a stream of circulating air, as generated by a fan unit.

2-3. Use of the rate of decay method:

With this method for measuring the ventilation rate, certain simplifications can be made to equation (2-1).

Firstly, the concentration of the gas that is present in the external air (or any other air entering the space under consideration) is negligible, hence the value of tracer gas is zero.

Secondly, none of the tracer is absorbed within the room and there is no source of generation of contaminant within the space, hence, $G$ is also zero. This second approximation is not in practice the actual situation, because some proportion of tracer gas is soluble in water. However, the equation simplifies to:

By integration, this becomes:

$$
C=C_{i n i t} \cdot e^{-(v t / V)}
$$

Where: $C_{\text {init }}=$ original concentration of the tracer By taking the logarithm of this equation, we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\operatorname{Ln} C}{C_{\text {init }}}=\frac{-v \cdot t}{V}=-I \cdot t \tag{2-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where: $I=$ rate of air change per unit time By plotting the change in concentration in its
logarithmic form versus time in hours, a straight line of decay is produced, as shown in figure $2-2 b$. The slope of this straight 1 ine is the air change rate per unit time, I. The steeper the slope of the line (or line of best fit), the faster the air change rate, and vice

## versa.



Figure 2-2: Rate of decay of tracer gas with respect to time.

## CHAPTER 3

the measurement of natural ventilation rates

AND INTERNAL AIR MOVEMENT

THE MULTIZONE THEORY

# THE MEASUREMENT OF NATURAL VENTILATION AND INTERNAL AIR <br> MOVEMENT - THE MULTIZONE THEORY: 

Estimates of air infiltrations in buildings based on the tracer gas measurements have usually assumed the building to be a single perfect mixing chamber in which incoming air is instantaneously and uniformly diffused to all parts of the interior. In fact, some parts of the building exchange air with other parts only very slowly so that actual mixing is far from instantaneous. The theory of the multizone model (Sinden) illustrates this statement.

3-1. The theory of the Multizone Model:


[^0]-1) $V_{i}=$ Volume of zone $i$
$C_{i}(t)=$ Contaminant concentration in zone $i$
$Q_{i} \quad=$ Contaminant supply rate in zone $i$

Assumptions: The air in each zone is fully mixed.
Air is transferred between zones instant neously.

Take a balance of the contaminant concentration in zone $j$, at time $t:$

$$
V_{i} \cdot \dot{C}_{j}(t)=Q_{j}+\sum_{i=0}^{n} F_{i j} \cdot C_{i}(t)-\sum_{k=0}^{n} F_{j k} \cdot C_{j}(t)
$$

Note: $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{j} j}=0$. Also, since $\mathrm{C}_{j}(\mathrm{t})$ is a constant in the second summation:

$$
V_{j} \cdot \dot{C}_{j}(t)=Q_{j}+\sum_{i=0}^{n} F_{i j} \cdot C_{i}(t)-C_{j}(t) \sum_{k=0}^{n} F_{j k}
$$

The total flow into any zone must balance that out of the zone (conservation), and so, for zone $j$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{n} F_{i j}=\sum_{k=0}^{n} F_{j k}=S_{j} \tag{3-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

To solve the set of equations, it is easier to simplify by choosing specific cases first.

## 3-11. Case 1 : Zero contaminant injection:

This corresponds to all versions of the tracer gas decay experiment.
$Q_{j}=0$, for all $j$.

The equation becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{j} \cdot \dot{C}_{j}(t)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} F_{i j} \cdot C_{i}(t)-C_{i}(t) \cdot S_{j} \tag{3-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{0} \cdot C_{0}(t)=-S_{0} \cdot C_{0}(t)+F_{10} \cdot C_{1}(t)+F_{20} \cdot C_{2}(t)+\ldots+F_{n 0} \cdot C_{n}(t) \\
& V_{1} \cdot C_{1}(t)=F_{01} \cdot C_{0}(t)-S_{1} \cdot C_{1}(t)+F_{21} \cdot C_{2}(t)+\ldots+F_{n 1} \cdot C_{n}(t) \\
& V_{2} \cdot \dot{C}_{2}(t)=F_{02} \cdot C_{0}(t)+F_{12} \cdot C_{1}(t)-S_{2} \cdot C_{2}(t)+\ldots+F_{n 2} \cdot C_{n}(t) \\
& V_{n} \cdot \dot{C}_{n}(t)=F_{0 n} \cdot C_{0}(t)+F_{1 n} \cdot C_{1}(t)+F_{2 n} \cdot C_{2}(t)+\ldots-S_{n} \cdot C_{n}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

In matrix form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V \cdot \underline{C}(t)=F \cdot \underline{C}(t) \tag{3-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where: $\underline{C}(t)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} C(t) \quad \underline{C}(t)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} \dot{C}(t)$

$$
\mathrm{V}=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
\mathrm{v}_{0} & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & \mathrm{v}_{1} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \mathrm{v}_{2} & \ldots & 0 \\
. & . & . & \cdots & 0 \\
. & . & . & . \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdot \\
. & \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
F=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
-S_{0} & F_{10} & F_{20} & \ldots & F_{n 0} \\
F_{01} & -S_{1} & F_{21} & \cdots & F_{n 1} \\
F_{02} & F_{12} & -S_{2} & \cdots & F_{n 2} \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdots \cdots & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\
F_{0 n} & F_{1 n} & F_{2 n} & \cdots & -S_{n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Note that:
(i) Matrix $V$ is a diagonal matrix with nonnegative elements;
(ii) In matrix $F$, all row sums and all column sums are zero, because of the conservation equation.

The solution to equations (3-3) may be written in the form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{0}(t) & =X_{0} \cdot e^{\lambda t} \\
C_{1}(t) & =X_{1} \cdot e^{\lambda t} \\
& \cdot \\
C_{n}(t) & \cdot \\
& X_{n} \cdot e^{\lambda t}
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting this solution back into the equations gives:
$V_{0} \cdot \lambda \cdot X_{0} \cdot e^{\lambda t}=-S_{0} \cdot X_{0} \cdot e^{\lambda t}+F_{10} \cdot X_{1} \cdot e^{\lambda t}+\ldots+F_{n 0} \cdot X_{n} \cdot e^{\lambda t}$
$V_{1} \cdot \lambda \cdot X_{1} \cdot e^{\lambda t}=F_{01} \cdot X_{0} \cdot e^{\lambda t}-S_{1} \cdot X_{1} \cdot e^{\lambda t}+\ldots+F_{n_{1}} \cdot X_{n} \cdot e^{\lambda t}$
$V_{n} \cdot \lambda \cdot X_{n} \cdot e^{\lambda t}=F_{0 n} \cdot X_{0} \cdot e^{\lambda t}+F_{1 n} \cdot X_{1} \cdot e^{\lambda t}+\ldots-S_{n} \cdot X_{n} \cdot e^{\lambda t}$

Cancelling $e^{\lambda t}$, and rearranging:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\left(S_{0}+V_{0} \cdot \lambda\right) X_{0}+F_{10} \cdot X_{1}+\ldots \ldots+F_{n 0} \cdot X_{n}=0 \\
& F_{01} \cdot X_{0}-\left(S_{1}+V_{1} \cdot \lambda\right) X_{1}+\ldots .+F_{n 1} \cdot X_{n}=0 \quad \ldots(3-4) \\
& \vdots \\
& \vdots \\
& F_{0 n} \cdot X_{0}+F_{1 n} \cdot X_{1}+\ldots-\left(S_{n}+V_{n} \cdot \lambda\right) X_{n}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

This is a set of $n$ linear equations and $n$ unknowns:
$X_{0}, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$.
Note that it is a set of homogeneous equations, that is, the R.H.S is zero. This means that:
(i) There is no unique solution, and all solutions can only be determined to a ratio (that is to within an arbitrary constant).
(ii) One solution is: $X_{0}=X_{1}=\ldots \ldots=X_{n}=1$, provided that $\lambda=0$

This can be seen by substituting these values in the equations, and remembering from equation (3-1) that:

$$
S_{j}=\sum_{i=0}^{n} F_{i j}
$$

(iii)Other solutions will exist if the determinant of the coefficients of $X$ is zero.

The determinant is zero if:

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
-\left(S_{0}+V_{0} \cdot \lambda\right) & F_{10} & \cdots & F_{n 0}  \tag{3-5}\\
F_{01} & -\left(S_{1}+V_{1} \cdot \lambda\right) & \ldots & F_{n 1} \\
\ldots \ldots & \ldots \ldots & \ldots & . \\
\ldots \ldots & \ldots \ldots & \ldots & . \\
F_{0 n} & F_{1 n} & \ldots & -\left(S_{n}+V_{n} \cdot \lambda\right)
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

If this determinant is expanded, we obtain a polynomial in $\lambda$, of power $n+1$. There are therefore, $n+1$ possible values of $\lambda$, and for a value of $\lambda$, there must be a set of values for $X_{0}, X_{1}, \ldots . ., X_{n}$.

We have already found one value of $\lambda$, and one set of X's, namely:

$$
\lambda=0, \quad x_{0}=x_{1}=\ldots \ldots=x_{n}=1 \quad(\text { or } \underline{x}=1)
$$

The $\lambda$ 's are called the eigenvalues of the coefficient matrix, and the sets of $X$ 's are called the eigenvectors. To find all the eigenvalues and their corresponding eigenvectors, first obtain the eigenvalues from equation (3-5), and then substitute each eigenvalue back into equations (3-4), and obtain the eigenvector. It is convenient to label the eigenvalues and their
eigenvectors as:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\lambda_{0}, & \underline{X}_{0} \\
\lambda_{1}, & \underline{X}_{1} \\
\lambda_{k}, & \underline{X}_{k} \\
\lambda_{n}, & \underline{X}_{n}
\end{array}
$$

Where:

$$
\underline{X}_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
X_{0} \\
X_{0} \\
X_{0} \\
X_{0} \\
X_{0} \\
X_{0 n}
\end{array}\right] \quad ; \quad \underline{X}_{k}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
X_{: 1} \\
X_{: 2} \\
X_{k} \\
X_{3} \\
X_{k}
\end{array}\right]
$$

We now have alternative solutions to equation (3-3) which if using vector notation, can be expressed in the general form:

$$
\underline{C}(t)=\underline{X}_{0} \cdot e^{\lambda_{0} t}, \underline{C}(t)=\underline{X}_{1} \cdot e^{\lambda_{1} t} \quad \text { etc. }
$$

Other solutions may be formed by linear combinations. In other words, multiply the first solution by a constant $a_{0}$, the second by $a_{1}$, up to $a_{n}$, and add the results, which, if using vector notation, can be expressed in the general form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{C}(t)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k} \cdot \underline{X}_{k} \cdot e^{\lambda_{k} t} \tag{3-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (3-6) is the general solution to equation
(3-3), in which $\lambda_{k}$ and $X_{k}$ are the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the matrix. ( $F-\lambda, V$ ), and $a_{k}$ are a set of constants. The values of $a_{k}$ are found by substituting initial conditions in equation (3-6). Ther substituting (3-6) into (3-3) gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \cdot \underline{V} \cdot X=F \cdot \underline{X} \quad O R \quad O=(F-\lambda \cdot V) \cdot \underline{X} \tag{3-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Properties of the eigenvalues and their eigenvectors:

The format of the matrices $V$ and $F$ causes the eigenvalues and eigenvectors to have certain features.
(a) One eigenvalue is zero and the eigenvector has equal components.

$$
\lambda_{0}=0 \quad ; \quad \underline{X}_{0}=(1,1, \ldots \ldots, 1)
$$

(b) The other eigenvalues and eigenvectors may be real or complex. However, complex eigenvalues and eigenvectors always occur in conjugate pairs.

Suppose $\quad \lambda=\alpha+i \beta$ and $\underline{X}=\underline{\gamma}+i \underline{\delta}$

Where $\alpha$ and $\underline{\gamma}$ are the real parts, and $\beta$ and $\delta$ are the imaginary parts, and $i^{2}=-1$. Substituting in equation
(3-7) gives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\alpha+i \beta) V(\underline{\gamma}+i \underline{\delta})=F(\underline{\gamma}+i \underline{\delta}) \\
& V[\alpha \underline{\gamma}-\beta \underline{\delta}+i(\alpha \underline{\gamma}+\beta \underline{\delta})]=F(\underline{\gamma}+i \underline{\delta}) \\
& \text { Real part } \quad: \quad V(\alpha \underline{\gamma}-\beta \underline{\delta})=F \underline{\gamma} \\
& \text { Imaginary part: } \quad V(\alpha \underline{\gamma}+\beta \underline{\delta})=F \underline{\delta}
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting the complex conjugates ( $\lambda=\alpha-i \beta)$, and ( $\underline{X}=\underline{\gamma}-i \underline{\delta}$ ), produces exactly the same result.

Note that, since the first eigenvalue $\lambda_{0}$ is real, then if there are an even number of zones there must be at least one more real $\lambda$ and $X$.
(c) All eigenvalues, except $\lambda_{0}=0$, must have negative real parts. This must be so, because as time progresses, the concentrations must approach a steady value. If any of the $\lambda$ 's were positive, then the concentration in at least one zone would become infinite A mathematical proof is given by Sinden.

3-12. Case 2, One zone representing the outside:

Let zone zero represent the outside air, and let it be assumed that there is no contaminant present in the
outside air. Then:

```
    Vo = "infinite"
and
CO}(t)=\mp@subsup{C}{0}{}(t)=
```

Also, for the case of contaminant decay, the concentra_ tions in all other zones must tend to zero, i.e.
$C_{i}$ (infinite) $=0$, and hence, $a_{0}=0$. Thus the equation for $C_{0}(t)$ can be deleted as being redundant, and all terms involving $C_{0}(t)$ disappear. The equations thus become:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{1} \cdot C_{1}(t)=-S_{1} \cdot C_{1}(t)+F_{21} \cdot C_{2}(t)+\ldots+F_{n 1} \cdot C_{n}(t) \\
& V_{2} \cdot \dot{C}_{2}(t)=F_{12} \cdot C_{1}(t)-S_{2} \cdot C_{2}(t)+\ldots+F_{n 2} \cdot C_{n}(t) \\
& V_{n} \cdot \dot{C}_{n}(t)=F_{1 n} \cdot C_{1}(t)+F_{2 n} \cdot C_{2}(t)+\ldots-S_{n} \cdot C_{n}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { OR: } \quad V \cdot \underline{\dot{C}}(t)=F \cdot \underline{C}(t)
$$

Where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{C}(t)=\left[\begin{array}{l}
C_{1}(t) \\
C_{2}(t) \\
C_{3}(t) \\
\\
C_{n}(t)
\end{array}\right] \quad \therefore \quad \dot{C}(t)=\left[\begin{array}{l}
C_{1}(t) \\
C_{2}(t) \\
C_{3}(t) \\
\\
C_{n}(t)
\end{array}\right] \\
& V=\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
V_{1} & 0 & 0 & \ldots & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & v_{2} & 0 & \ldots & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & v_{3} & \ldots & \ldots & 0 \\
. & . & . & \ldots & \cdots & \cdot \\
. & . & . & \ldots & \cdots & \dot{C}_{n} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & \cdots & v_{n}
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$F=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}-S_{1} & F_{21} & F_{31} & \ldots & F_{n 1} \\ F_{12} & -S_{2} & F_{32} & \cdots \cdots & F_{n 2} \\ F_{13} & F_{23} & -S_{3} & \ldots \cdots & F_{n 3} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdots \cdots & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdots \cdots & \cdot \\ F_{1 n} & F_{2 n} & F_{3 n} & \cdots \cdots & -S_{n}\end{array}\right]$
And the solution is:

$$
\underline{C}(t)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} \cdot \underline{X} k \cdot e^{\lambda_{k} t}
$$

Note that $\lambda_{0}=0$, and $\underline{X}_{0}=(1,1, \ldots, 1)$ no longer appear in the solution because $a_{0}=0$. The largest eigenvalue to appear in the solution (called the dominant eigenvalue) is thus negative.

3-2. Three zone building connected to outside:


The total number of eigenvalues of the coefficient matrix is always equal to the number of zones. However, some or all of the eigenvalues may have the same value. When this happens, it is no longer possible to obtain a solution by the eigenvalue method. The implications of this can be worked out for two or three zone cases. The eigenvalues will be the roots of a cubic equation:

$$
\lambda^{2}+\mathrm{a} \cdot \lambda^{3}+\mathrm{b} \cdot \lambda+\mathrm{c}=0
$$

Which is obtained from:

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
-\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}+\mathrm{V}_{1} \cdot \lambda\right) & \mathrm{F}_{21} & \mathrm{~F}_{31} \\
\mathrm{~F}_{12} & -\left(\mathrm{S}_{2}+\mathrm{V}_{2} \cdot \lambda\right) & \mathrm{F}_{32} \\
\mathrm{~F}_{13} & \mathrm{~F}_{23} & -\left(\mathrm{S}_{3}+\mathrm{V}_{3} \cdot \lambda\right)
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

Expanding the determinant and substituting $r_{i}=S_{i} / V_{i}$, $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i} j}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i} j} / \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{j}}$, it can be shown that:
$a=r_{1}+r_{2}+r_{3}$
$b=r_{1} r_{2}+r_{2} r_{3}+r_{3} r_{1}-f_{12} f_{21}-f_{23} f_{32}-f_{13} f_{31}$ $c=r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}-r_{1} f_{23} f_{32}-r_{2} f_{13} f_{31}-r_{3} f_{12} f_{21}-f_{12} f_{23} f_{31}-f_{13} f_{32} f_{21}$ From elementary algebra, by substituting $\lambda=x-(a / 3)$, and thus changing the variable to $x$, the cubic equation becomes:

$$
x^{3}+p \cdot x+q=0
$$

Where: $p=b-\frac{a}{3}^{2}$ and $q=c-\frac{a \cdot b}{3}+\frac{2}{27}^{3}$

The cubic equation has repeated roots when:

$$
4 \cdot p^{3}+27 \cdot q^{2}=0
$$

Where:
$p=-\left\{f_{12} f_{21}+f_{23} f_{32}+f_{31} f_{13}+\frac{1}{6}\left[\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(r_{2}-r_{3}\right)^{2}+\left(r_{3}-r_{1}\right)^{2}\right]\right\}$

Since all $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i} j}$ and all $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}}$ are real non-negative numbers, the $p$ is either negative or null, and hence it is possible to find real values of $q$ which satisfy the condition for repeated roots. Thus, there must be some combinations of $r_{i}$ and $f_{i j}$ which give repeated roots. A particular case occurs when $p=q=0$. In this case, by substitution, we have:

$$
b=a^{2} / 3 \quad \text { and } \quad c=a^{3} / 27
$$

Substituting for $b$ and $c$ in the equation for $\lambda$ shows that in this case all three roots are equal and are given by:

$$
\lambda=-a / 3
$$

Obviously, $p=0$ when $r_{1}=r_{2}=r_{3}$ and $f_{21}=f_{32}=f_{31}=0$. The proof of this statement is given in chapter 4-1(i.e. Application of the redundant case to a three zone building connected to outside).

Repeated eigenvalues can occur in buildings with larger numbers of zones than two or three zone cases. The condition $r_{1}=r_{2}=r_{3}=\ldots \ldots=r_{n}$ with some $f_{i j}=0$ is likely to cause this to happen. The physical significance of a repeated eigenvalue in the two or three zone cases is that one zone in the system does not receive a flow from any other zone, except zone 0 which is the outside.

## 3-22. The non-redundant case:

As for the redundant case, the eigenvalues will be the roots of a cubic equation:

$$
\lambda^{3}+a \cdot \lambda^{2}+b \cdot \lambda+c=0
$$

Which is obtained from:

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
-\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}+\mathrm{V}_{1} \cdot \lambda\right) & \mathrm{F}_{21} & \mathrm{~F}_{31} \\
\mathrm{~F}_{12} & -\left(\mathrm{S}_{2}+\mathrm{V}_{2} \cdot \lambda\right) & \mathrm{F}_{32} \\
\mathrm{~F}_{13} & \mathrm{~F}_{23} & -\left(\mathrm{S}_{3}+\mathrm{V}_{3} \cdot \lambda\right)
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

Giving:
$-\left(S_{1}+V_{1} \lambda\right)\left|\begin{array}{cc}-\left(S_{2}+V_{2} \lambda\right) & F_{32} \\ F_{23} & -\left(S_{3}+V_{3} \lambda\right)\end{array}\right|-F_{21}\left|\begin{array}{cc}F_{12} & F_{32} \\ F_{13} & -\left(S_{3}+V_{3} \lambda\right)\end{array}\right|$

$$
+F_{31}\left|\begin{array}{cc}
F_{12} & -\left(S_{2}+V_{2} \lambda\right) \\
F_{13} & F_{23}
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

Which can then be writen as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\left(S_{1}+V_{1} \lambda\right)\left[\left(S_{2}+V_{2} \lambda\right)\left(S_{3}+V_{3} \lambda\right)-F_{32} F_{23}\right] & +F_{21}\left[F_{12}\left(S_{3}+V_{3} \lambda\right)+F_{32} F_{13}\right] \\
& +F_{31}\left[F_{12} F_{23}+\left(S_{2}+V_{2} \lambda\right) F_{13}\right]=0
\end{aligned}
$$

The solution of this equation, as mentioned above, is a cubic equation of the form:

$$
\lambda^{3}+a \cdot \lambda^{2}+b \cdot \lambda+c=0 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots(3-2 a)
$$

In order to find the roots of this cubic equation, the iteration method is used, hence equation (3-2a) becomes:

$$
\lambda=\sqrt[3]{-\left(a \cdot \lambda^{2}+b \cdot \lambda+c\right)} \cdots \cdots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots(3-2 b)
$$

The first step consists in replacing $\lambda$ in the cube root by an arbitrary value which should be as close to the expected solution as possible. By doing so, a new value of $\lambda$ will be found and, in turn, replaced in the cube root. This operation must be repeated untill two following values of $\lambda$ are found to be the same, or very close to each other, according to the degree of precision required.

Once a value of $\lambda$ has been found, equation (3-2a) can be factorised in order to obtain a quadratic equation for which the roots are easily found.

As mentioned in the previous paragraph, complex solutions are very likely to occur.

From there, to find the eigenvectors, substitute each eigenvalue in turn in equation (3-4), giving:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
-\left(S_{1}+V_{1} \lambda\right) X_{1}+F_{21} X_{2}+F_{31} X_{3}=0 \\
F_{12} X_{1}-\left(S_{2}+V_{2} \lambda\right) X_{2}+F_{32} X_{3}=0 \\
F_{13} X_{1}+F_{23} X_{2}-\left(S_{3}+V_{3} \lambda\right) X_{3}=0
\end{array}
$$

In matrix form, we would have:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-\left(S_{1}+V_{1} \lambda\right) & F_{21} & F_{31} \\
F_{12} & -\left(S_{2}+V_{2} \lambda\right) & F_{32} \\
F_{13} & F_{23} & -\left(S_{3}+V_{3} \lambda\right)
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
X_{2} \\
X_{2} \\
X_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

From which we can calculate the eigenvectors for each value of $\lambda$.

Once the eigenvectors are found, replace the values obtained in equation (3-8):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{1}(t)=a_{1} \cdot x_{11} \cdot e^{\lambda_{1} t}+a_{2} \cdot x_{21} \cdot e^{\lambda_{2} t}+a_{3} \cdot x_{31} \cdot e^{\lambda_{3} t} \\
& C_{2}(t)=a_{1} \cdot x_{12} \cdot e^{\lambda_{1} t}+a_{2} \cdot x_{22} \cdot e^{\lambda_{3} t}+a_{3} \cdot x_{32} \cdot e^{\lambda_{2} t} \\
& C_{3}(t)=a_{1} \cdot x_{13} \cdot e^{\lambda_{3} t}+a_{2} \cdot x_{23} \cdot e^{2}+a_{3} \cdot x_{33} \cdot e^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

from which we obtain the coefficients $a_{i}$, after having substituted $C_{i}(t)$ by its boundary conditions, in the equations.

Once the values of the coefficients are obtained,
substitute the different values for $a_{i}, F_{i j}$, and $V_{i}$ in equation (3-3) in order to find $C_{i}(t)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{1} \cdot \dot{C}_{1}(t)=-\left(S_{1}+V_{1} \lambda\right) C_{1}(t)+F_{21} C_{2}(t)+F_{31} C_{3}(t) \\
& V_{2} \cdot \dot{C}_{2}(t)=F_{12} C_{1}(t)-\left(S_{2}+V_{2} \lambda\right) C_{2}(t)+F_{32} C_{3}(t) \\
& V_{3} \cdot \dot{C}_{3}(t)=F_{13} C_{1}(t)+F_{2}{ }_{3} C_{2}(t)-\left(S_{3}+V_{3} \lambda\right) C_{3}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

CHAPTER 4

FINDING THE CONCENTRATIONS FROM KNOWN FLOWS

> It has been decided to work on a three rather than a two zone building, because the two zone model does not give complex eigenvalues, unlike the three zone case. On another hand, the three zone model is quite sufficient for the purpose of this research.

This chapter is the application of the method stated in chapter 3.0 , to a three zone building connected to the outside.

Data was created by means of computer programmes both for the redundant and the non-redundant cases. These data tables give the results which would be obtained if an ideal tracer gas decay experiment was carried out. Tracerdecay may be expressed in terms of time-constants $t_{c}=-\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}}$
Normal experimental durations are typically 2 hours maximum, and $\lambda_{1}$ is usually between -1 and -4 , i.e. $t_{c}$ is thus from 0.25 to 1 hour.

Theoretically, decay curves contain no useful information after approximately $2 t_{c}$, i.e. after 0.5 to 2 hours.

Assuming sampling at 1 minute intervals, typical number of data points per zone is 30 to 120 .

Thus, it is reasonable to assume 55 data points over a time interval of $2.75 t_{\mathrm{c}}$. Therefore, data points occur at intervals of $2.75 t_{c} / 55$, or $t_{c} / 20$.

4-1. Three zone redundant case:

The volumes chosen in this case are ratios rather than real values, and their choice is arbitrary and could be modified. Once the volumes are specified, the values for the flows have to be attributed so as to obtain:

$$
r_{1}=r_{2}=r_{3}=r
$$

Which as demonstrated in chapter $3-21$, is the condition to meet for redundancy.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{F}_{32}=\mathrm{F}_{21}=\mathrm{F}_{31}=0 \\
& \mathrm{~S}_{1}=3=1+1+1=3 \\
& \mathrm{~S}_{2}=5+1=4+2=6 \\
& \mathrm{~S}_{3}=4+4+1=9=9 \\
& r_{1}=3 / 1=3 ; r_{2}=6 / 2=3 ; r_{3}=9 / 3=3 \\
& \text { or: } r_{1}=r_{2}=r_{3}=r=3
\end{aligned}
$$

Rewrite the equations as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{1}(t)=-\frac{S_{1}}{V_{1}} \cdot C_{1}(t)+\frac{F_{21}}{V_{1}} \cdot C_{2}(t)+\frac{F_{31}}{V_{1}} \cdot C_{3}(t) \\
& \dot{C}_{2}(t)=\frac{F_{12}}{V_{2}} \cdot C_{1}(t)-\frac{S_{2}}{V_{2}} \cdot C_{2}(t)+\frac{F_{32}}{V_{2}} \cdot C_{3}(t) \\
& \dot{C}_{3}(t)=\frac{F_{13}}{V_{3}} \cdot C_{1}(t)+\frac{F_{23}}{V_{3}} \cdot C_{2}(t)-\frac{S_{3}}{V_{3}} \cdot C_{3}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting $r=S / V$ and eliminating terms which are zero:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{C}_{1}(t)=-r \cdot C_{1}(t) \\
& \dot{C}_{2}(t)=\frac{F_{12}}{V_{2}} \cdot C_{1}(t)-r \cdot C_{2}(t) \\
& \dot{C}_{3}(t)=\frac{F_{13}}{V_{3}} \cdot C_{1}(t)+\frac{F_{23}}{V_{3}} \cdot C_{2}(t)-r \cdot C_{3}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\operatorname{Try}: \quad C_{1}(t)=a_{1} \cdot e^{\lambda t}$

$$
\dot{c}_{1}(t)=a_{1} \cdot \lambda \cdot e^{\lambda t}=\lambda \cdot c_{1}(t)
$$

Therefore:

$$
\lambda \cdot c_{1}(t)=-r \cdot c_{1}(t) \text { and } \lambda=-r
$$

Substitute in the equation for $C_{2}(t)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{C}_{2}(t)=\frac{F_{12}}{V_{2}} \cdot a_{1} \cdot e^{\lambda t}+\lambda \cdot c_{2}(t) \\
& \dot{c}_{2}(t)-\lambda \cdot c_{2}(t)=\frac{F_{12}}{V_{2}} \cdot a_{1} \cdot e^{\lambda t}
\end{aligned}
$$

As before, multiply by $e^{-\lambda t}$, and integrate to give:

$$
c_{2}(t)=\frac{F_{12}}{V_{2}} \cdot a_{1} \cdot t \cdot e^{\lambda t}+a_{2} \cdot e^{\lambda t}
$$

Substitute in the equation for $C_{3}(t)$ :

$$
\dot{C}_{3}(t)-\lambda \cdot C_{3}(t)=\left[\left(\frac{F_{13}}{V_{3}} \cdot a_{1}+\frac{F_{23}}{V_{3}} \cdot a_{2}\right)+\frac{F_{23}}{V_{3}} \cdot \frac{F_{12}}{V_{2}} \cdot a_{1} \cdot t\right] e^{\lambda t}
$$

## $-\lambda t$

As before, multiply by e and integrate to give:

$$
e^{-\lambda t} \cdot \dot{C}_{3}(t)-\lambda \cdot e^{-\lambda t} \cdot C_{3}(t)=\left(\frac{F_{13}}{V_{3}} \cdot a_{1}+\frac{F_{23}}{V_{3}} \cdot a_{2}\right)+\frac{F_{23}}{V_{3}} \cdot \frac{F_{12}}{V_{2}} \cdot a_{1} \cdot t
$$

$$
e^{-\lambda t} \cdot C_{3}(t)=\left(\frac{F_{13}}{V_{3}} \cdot a_{1}+\frac{F_{23}}{V_{3}} \cdot a_{2}\right) t+\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{F_{23}}{V_{3}} \cdot \frac{F_{12}}{V_{2}} \cdot a_{1} \cdot t^{2}+a_{3}
$$

From which:

$$
C_{3}(t)=e^{\lambda t}\left[a_{3}+t\left(\frac{F_{13}}{V_{3}} \cdot a_{1}+\frac{F_{23}}{V_{3}} \cdot a_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{F_{23}}{V_{3}} \cdot \frac{F_{12}}{V_{2}} \cdot a_{1} \cdot t^{2}\right]
$$

Substituting values:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{1}(t)=a_{1} \cdot e^{-3 t} \\
& C_{2}(t)=a_{2} \cdot e^{-3 t}+\frac{a_{1}}{2} \cdot t \cdot e^{-3 t} \\
& C_{3}(t)=a_{3} \cdot e^{-3 t}+\frac{\left(\frac{a_{1}}{3}+\frac{4 a_{2}}{3}\right) t \cdot e^{-3 t}+\frac{a_{1}}{3} \cdot t^{2} \cdot e^{-3 t}}{}
\end{aligned}
$$

For initial conditions $C_{1}(0)=1, C_{2}(0)=0, C_{3}(0)=0$, we have $a_{1}=3$, $a_{2}=a_{3}=0$, and:

1

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{1}(t)=e^{-3 t} \\
& C_{2}(t)=\frac{1}{2} \cdot t \cdot e^{-3 t} \\
& C_{3}(t)=\frac{1}{3} \cdot t \cdot e^{-3 t}+\frac{1}{3} \cdot t^{2} \cdot e^{-3 t}
\end{aligned}
$$

From which we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{C}_{1}(t)=-3 \cdot e^{-3 t} \\
& \dot{C}_{2}(t)=-1 \cdot 5 \cdot(1-3 t) \cdot e^{-3 t} \\
& \dot{C}_{3}(t)=\left[\left(-t^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{3} t+\frac{1}{3}\right] \cdot e^{-3 t}
\end{aligned}
$$

As mentionned in the introduction to this chapter, in order to facilitate the calculations of the concentrations and their differentials, but, most of all, to create data (Table 4-1.) which gives the results of an ideal tracer decay experiment. The computer programme is given in appendix 2.

Also, to illustrate this data, the decay curves for each of the three zones over a time interval of $2.75 t_{c}$ (Graph 4-1.) were drawn by means of a computer programme also given in appendix 2.

Table 4-1. "DATA FOR THE REDUNDANT CASE"
$\mathrm{J} \quad \mathrm{C}(1, \mathrm{~J}) \quad \mathrm{DC}(1, \mathrm{~J}) \quad \mathrm{C}(2, \mathrm{~J}) \quad \mathrm{DC}(2, \mathrm{~J}) \quad \mathrm{C}(3, \mathrm{~J}) \quad \mathrm{DC}(3, \mathrm{~J})$

| 01 | 0.951229 | $-2.853688$ | 0.007927 | 0.451834 | 0.005373 | 0.311528 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 02 | 0.904837 | $-2.714512$ | 0.015081 | 0.407177 | 0.010389 | 0.290553 |
| 03 | 0.860708 | $-2.582124$ | 0.021518 | 0.365801 | 0.015062 | 0.270406 |
| 04 | 0.818731 | $-2.456192$ | 0.027291 | 0.327492 | 0.019407 | 0.251077 |
| 05 | 0.778801 | $-2.336402$ | 0.032450 | 0.292050 | 0.023436 | 0.232559 |
| 06 | 0.740818 | -2.222455 | 0.037041 | 0.259286 | 0.027163 | 0.214837 |
| 07 | 0.704688 | -2.114064 | 0.041107 | 0.229024 | 0.030602 | 0.197900 |
| 08 | 0.670320 | -2.010960 | 0.044688 | 0.201096 | 0.033764 | 0.181731 |
| 09 | 0.637628 | -1.9.12884 | 0.047822 | 0.175348 | 0.036664 | 0.166315 |
| 10 | 0.606531 | -1.819592 | 0.050544 | 0.151633 | 0.039312 | 0.151633 |
| 11 | 0.576950 | -1.730849 | 0.052887 | 0.129814 | 0.041722 | 0.137667 |
| 12 | 0.548812 | -1.646435 | 0.054881 | 0.109762 | 0.043905 | 0.124397 |
| 13 | 0.522046 | $-1.566137$ | 0.056555 | 0.091358 | 0.045872 | 0.111805 |
| 14 | 0.496585 | $-1.489756$ | 0.057935 | 0.074488 | 0.047635 | 0.099869 |
| 15 | 0.472367 | -1.417100 | 0.059046 | 0.059046 | 0.049205 | 0.088569 |
| 16 | 0.449329 | $-1.347987$ | 0.059911 | 0.044933 | 0.050591 | 0.077884 |
| 17 | 0.427415 | -1.282245 | 0.060550 | 0.032056 | 0.051804 | 0.067793 |
| 18 | 0.406570 | -1.219709 | 0.060985 | 0.020328 | 0.052854 | 0.058275 |
| 19 | 0.386741 | -1.160223 | 0.061234 | 0.009669 | 0.053750 | 0.049309 |

$J \quad C(1, J) \quad D C(1, J) \quad C(2, J) \quad D C(2, J) \quad C(3, J) \quad D C(3, J)$

| 20 | 0.367879 | -1.103638 | 0.061313 | 0.000000 | 0.054501 | 0.040875 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21 | 0.349938 | -1.049813 | 0.061239 | -0.008748 | 0.055115 | 0.032952 |
| 22 | 0.332871 | -0.998613 | 0.061026 | -0.016644 | 0.055602 | 0.025520 |
| 23 | 0.316637 | -0.949910 | 0.060689 | -0.023748 | 0.055968 | 0.018558 |
| 24 | 0.301194 | -0.903583 | 0.060239 | -0.030119 | 0.056223 | 0.012048 |
| 25 | 0.286505 | -0.859514 | 0.059688 | -0.035813 | 0.056372 | 0.005969 |
| 26 | 0.272532 | -0.817595 | 0.059049 | -0.040880 | 0.056424 | 0.000303 |
| 27 | 0.259240 | -0.777721 | 0.058329 | -0.045367 | 0.056385 | -0.004969 |
| 28 | 0.246597 | -0.739791 | 0.057539 | -0.049319 | 0.056261 | -0.009864 |
| 29 | 0.234570 | -0.703711 | 0.056688 | -0.052778 | 0.056058 | -0.014400 |
| 30 | 0.223130 | -0.669390 | 0.055783 | -0.055783 | 0.055783 | -0.018594 |
| 31 | 0.212248 | -0.636744 | 0.054831 | -0.058368 | 0.055440 | -0.022463 |
| 32 | 0.201897 | -0.605690 | 0.053839 | -0.060569 | 0.055035 | -0.026022 |
| 33 | 0.192050 | -0.576150 | 0.052814 | -0.062416 | 0.054574 | -0.029288 |
| 34 | 0.182684 | -0.548051 | 0.051760 | -0.063939 | 0.054061 | -0.032274 |
| 35 | 0.173774 | -0.521322 | 0.050684 | -0.065165 | 0.053500 | -0.034996 |
| 36 | 0.165299 | -0.495897 | 0.049590 | -0.066120 | 0.052896 | -0.037468 |
| 37 | 0.157237 | -0.471711 | 0.048481 | -0.066826 | 0.052252 | -0.039702 |
| 38 | 0.149569 | -0.448706 | 0.047363 | -0.067306 | 0.051573 | -0.041713 |
| 39 | 0.142274 | -0.426822 | 0.046239 | -0.067580 | 0.050863 | -0.043512 |
| 40 | 0.135335 | -0.406006 | 0.045112 | -0.067668 | 0.050124 | -0.045112 |


| $J$ | $C(1, J)$ | $D C(1, J)$ | $C(2, J)$ | $D C(2, J)$ | $C(3, J)$ | $D C(3, J)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 41 | 0.128735 | -0.386205 | 0.043984 | -0.067586 | 0.049360 | -0.046523 |
| 42 | 0.122456 | -0.367369 | 0.042860 | -0.067351 | 0.048574 | -0.047758 |
| 43 | 0.116484 | -0.349452 | 0.041740 | -0.066978 | 0.047769 | -0.048826 |
| 44 | 0.110803 | -0.332409 | 0.040628 | -0.066482 | 0.046948 | -0.049738 |
| 45 | 0.105399 | -0.316198 | 0.039525 | -0.065875 | 0.046112 | -0.050504 |
| 46 | 0.100259 | -0.300777 | 0.038433 | -0.065168 | 0.056265 | -0.051132 |
| 47 | 0.095369 | -0.286107 | 0.037353 | -0.064374 | 0.044408 | -0.051632 |
| 48 | 0.090718 | -0.272154 | 0.036287 | -0.063503 | 0.043645 | -0.052012 |
| 49 | 0.086294 | -0.258881 | 0.035237 | -0.062563 | 0.042675 | -0.052280 |
| 50 | 0.082085 | -0.246255 | 0.034202 | -0.061564 | 0.041803 | -0.052443 |
| 51 | 0.078082 | -0.234245 | 0.033185 | -0.060513 | 0.040928 | -0.052510 |
| 52 | 0.074274 | -0.222821 | 0.032185 | -0.059419 | 0.040053 | -0.052487 |
| 53 | 0.070651 | -0.211954 | 0.031204 | -0.058287 | 0.039179 | -0.052380 |
| 54 | 0.067206 | -0.201617 | 0.030242 | -0.057125 | 0.038307 | -0.052196 |
| 55 | 0.063928 | -0.191784 | 0.029300 | -0.055937 | 0.037439 | -0.051941 |

GRAPH 4. CONCENTRATION DECAY CURVES


As for the redundant case, the volumes of the different zones are expressed as ratios, and their choice is arbitrary.

$S_{1}=3+1+1=1+1+3=5$
$S_{2}=5+1+2=4+3+1=8$
$S_{3}=4+3+3=7+1+2=10$
$r_{1}=\frac{S_{1}}{V_{1}}=\frac{5}{1}=5$
$r_{2}=\frac{S_{2}}{V_{2}}=\frac{8}{2}=4$
$r_{3}=\frac{S_{3}}{V_{3}}=\frac{10}{3}$

## Calculation of the eigenvalues:

Rewrite the equations as:

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
-\left(S_{1}+V_{1} \cdot \lambda\right) & F_{21} & F_{33} \\
F_{12} & -\left(S_{2}+V_{2} \cdot \lambda\right) & F_{32} \\
F_{13} & F_{23} & -\left(S_{3}+V_{3} \cdot \lambda\right)
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

Giving:
$-\left(S_{1}+V_{1} \lambda\right)\left[\left(S_{2}+V_{2} \lambda\right)\left(S_{3}+V_{3} \lambda\right)-F_{32} F_{23}\right]+F_{21}\left[F_{12}\left(S_{3}+V_{3} \lambda\right)+F_{32} F_{13}\right]$

$$
+F_{3}:\left[F_{12} F_{23}+\left(S_{2}+V_{2} \lambda\right) F_{13}\right]=0
$$

When substituting the different values in the equation, we obtain:

$$
-(5+\lambda)[(8+2 \lambda)(10+3 \lambda)-6]+[(10+3 \lambda)+6]+[3+3(8+2 \lambda)]=0
$$

Giving:

$$
6 \lambda^{3}+74 \lambda^{2}+285 \lambda+327=0
$$

Using the iteration method, we have:

$$
\lambda=\sqrt[3]{-\frac{1}{6}\left(327+285 \lambda+74 \lambda^{2}\right)}
$$

The arbitrary value chosen for $\lambda$, is zero. After a few iterations, the value of the first eigenvalue was found to be:

$$
\lambda_{1}=-2.086572257
$$

As specified in chapter $3-22, \lambda_{1}$ may be factorised in order to obtain a quadratic equation for which the roots
are easily found. The roots of this quadratic equation will correspond to the two remaining eigenvalues.

After factorisation, we obtain:
$(\lambda+2.086572257)\left(6 \lambda^{2}+61.48056646 \lambda+156.7163557\right)=0$ Giving:

$$
\lambda+2.086572257=0
$$

or, $6 \lambda^{2}+61.48056646 \lambda+156.7163557=0$
Hence:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{1}=-2.086572257 \\
& \lambda_{2}=-4.763331203 \\
& \lambda_{3}=-5.483429873
\end{aligned}
$$

## Calculation of the eigenvectors:

As mentionned in chapter $3-22$, substitute $\lambda$ in equation (3-4):

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-(5+\lambda) & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -(8+2 \lambda) & 2 \\
3 & 3 & -(10+3 \lambda)
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

or:

$$
\begin{aligned}
-(5+\lambda) x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3} & =0 \\
x_{1}-(8+2 \lambda) x_{2}+2 x_{3} & =0 \\
3 x_{1}+3 x_{2}-(10+3 \lambda) x_{3} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

The solution to this set of linear equations is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}=1 \\
& x_{2}=(11+2 \lambda) /(10+2 \lambda) \\
& x_{3}=\left(2 \lambda^{2}+18 \lambda+39\right) /(10+2 \lambda)
\end{aligned}
$$

or, in a more generalised form:

$$
\underline{x}=\left[1 ;(11+2 \lambda) /(10+2 \lambda) ;\left(2 \lambda^{2}+18 \lambda+39\right) /(10+2 \lambda)\right]
$$

## Calculation of the coefficients $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$ :

Substitute the eigenvectors in equation (3-6):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{1}(t)=a_{1} \cdot x_{11} \cdot e^{\lambda_{1} t}+a_{2} \cdot x_{21} \cdot e^{\lambda_{2} t}+a_{3} \cdot x_{31} \cdot e^{\lambda_{3} t} \\
& C_{2}(t)=a_{1} \cdot x_{12} \cdot e^{\lambda_{1} t}+a_{2} \cdot x_{22} \cdot e^{\lambda_{2} t}+a_{3} \cdot x_{32} \cdot e^{\lambda_{3} t} \\
& C_{3}(t)=a_{1} \cdot x_{13} \cdot e^{\lambda_{1} t}+a_{2} \cdot x_{23} \cdot e^{\lambda_{2} t}+a_{3} \cdot x_{33} \cdot e^{\lambda_{3} t}
\end{aligned}
$$

Giving:

$$
C_{1}(t)=a_{1} \cdot e^{\lambda_{1} t}+a_{2} \cdot e^{\lambda_{2} t}+a_{3} \cdot e^{\lambda_{3} t}
$$

$$
C_{2}(t)=a_{1}\left(\frac{11+2 \lambda}{(10+2 \lambda)} \cdot e^{\lambda_{1} t}+a_{2} \frac{(11+2 \lambda}{(10+2 \lambda)} \cdot e^{\lambda_{2} t}+a_{3}\left(\frac{11+2 \lambda)}{(10+2 \lambda)} \cdot e^{\lambda_{3} t}\right.\right.
$$

$$
c_{3}(t)=a_{1} \frac{\left(2 \lambda^{2}+18 \lambda+39\right)}{(10+2 \lambda)} e^{\lambda_{1} t}+a_{2} \frac{\left(2 \lambda^{2}+18 \lambda+39\right)}{(10+2 \lambda)} e^{\lambda_{2} t}
$$

$$
+a_{3} \frac{\left(2 \lambda^{2}+18 \lambda+39\right)}{(10+2 \lambda)} e^{\lambda_{3} t}
$$

Applying the boundary conditions, we can write:
$C_{1}(0)=a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}=1$
$C_{2}(0)=a_{1} \frac{(11+2 \lambda)}{(10+2 \lambda)}+a_{2} \frac{(11+2 \lambda)}{(10+2 \lambda)}+a_{3} \frac{(11+2 \lambda)}{(10+2 \lambda)}$
$C_{3}(0)=a_{1} \frac{\left(2 \lambda^{2}+18 \lambda+39\right)}{(10+2 \lambda)}+a_{2} \frac{\left(2 \lambda^{2}+18 \lambda+39\right)}{(10+2 \lambda)}+a_{3} \frac{\left(2 \lambda^{2}+18 \lambda+39\right)}{(10+2 \lambda)}$

When substituting the eigenvalues in these equations, we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}=1 \\
& 1.171619153 a_{1}+3.112657039 a_{2}-0.034276175 a_{3}=0 \\
& 1.741808591 a_{1}-2.875988244 a_{2}-0.449153697 a_{3}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

from which:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1}=0.152386767 \\
& a_{2}=-0.047502221 \\
& a_{3}=0.895115441
\end{aligned}
$$

The equations for the concentrations thus become:
$C_{1}(t)=0.152386767 e^{\lambda_{1} t}-0.047502221 e^{\lambda_{2} t}+0.895115441 e^{\lambda_{3} t}$
$C_{2}(t)=0.178539254 e^{\lambda_{1} t}-0.147858122 e^{\lambda_{2} t}-0.030681133 e^{\lambda_{3} t}$ $C_{2}(t)=0.265428579 e^{\lambda_{1} t}-0.136615829 e^{\lambda_{2} t}-0.40204441 e^{\lambda_{3} t}$

From which we can write:
$\dot{C}_{1}(t)=-0.317966002 e^{\lambda_{1} t}-0.046962846 e^{\lambda_{2} t}-4.908302748 e^{\lambda_{3} t}$ $\dot{C}_{2}(t)=-0.372535053 e^{\lambda_{1} t}+0.431065548 e^{\lambda_{2} t}+0.168237842 e^{\lambda_{3} t}$ $\dot{C}_{3}(t)=-0.553835909 e^{\lambda_{1} t}-0.650746439 e^{\lambda_{2} t}-0.475713725 e^{\lambda_{3} t}$

As for the redundant case, data (Table 4-2.) was created by means of a computer programme given in appendix 2 . This data corresponds to the results of an ideal tracer decay experiment carried out on a time interval of $2.75 \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{c}}$.

To illustrate these results, the decay curves (Graph 4-2.) were plotted using a computer programme given in appendix 2.

Table 4-2. "DATA FOR THE NON-REDUNDANT CASE"
$J \quad C(1, J) \quad D C(1, J) \quad C(2, J) \quad D C(2, J) \quad C(3, J) \quad D C(3, J)$

| 01 | 0.887475 | $-4.404534$ | 0.011020 | 0.421481 | 0.021822 | 0.825753 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 02 | 0.788331 | $-3.881608$ | 0.020278 | 0.352822 | 0.039770 | 0.676042 |
| 03 | 0.700939 | $-3.422306$ | 0.027998 | 0.292868 | 0.054392 | 0.547631 |
| 04 | 0.623870 | -3.018812 | 0.034376 | 0.240596 | 0.066163 | 0.437701 |
| 05 | 0.555871 | -2.664272 | 0.039583 | 0.195100 | 0.075497 | 0.343797 |
|  | . |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06 | 0.495841 | $-2.352680$ | 0.043774 | 0.155577 | 0.082751 | 0.263778 |
| 07 | 0.442816 | -2.078766 | 0.047081 | 0.121318 | 0.088235 | 0.195782 |
| 08 | 0.395951 | -1.837913 | 0.049625 | 0.091693 | 0.092217 | 0.138186 |
| 09 | 0.354502 | $-1.626072$ | 0.051508 | 0.066147 | 0.094929 | 0.089580 |
| 10 | 0.317817 | $-1.439692$ | 0.052824 | 0.044187 | 0.096572 | 0.048734 |
| 11 | 0.285326 | -1.275659 | 0.053651 | 0.025377 | 0.097318 | 0.014583 |
| 12 | 0.256524 | $-1.131243$ | 0.054062 | 0.009332 | 0.097317 | -0.013804 |
| 13 | 0.230973 | -1.004050 | 0.054118 | -0.004288 | 0.096696 | -0.037230 |
| 14 | 0.208284 | -0.891980 | 0.053873 | -0.015784 | 0.095567 | -0.056398 |
| 15 | 0.188118 | -0.793192 | 0.053376 | -0.025423 | 0.094022 | -0.071914 |
| 16 | 0.170177 | -0.706071 | 0.052668 | -0.033438 | 0.092145 | -0.084306 |
| 17 | 0.154198 | -0.629199 | 0.051785 | -0.040037 | 0.090003 | -0.094029 |
| 18 | 0.139950 | -0.561335 | 0.050759 | -0.045404 | 0.087657 | -0.101480 |
| 19 | 0.127232 | . 501388 | 0.049617 | 04969 | . 08515 | -0.1070 |

$J \quad C(1, J) \quad D C(1, J) \quad C(2, J) \quad D C(2, J) \quad C(3, J) \quad D C(3, J)$

| 20 | 0.115865 | -0.448400 | 0.048384 | -0.053063 | 0.082542 | -0.110889 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 21 | 0.105693 | -0.401534 | 0.047081 | -0.055624 | 0.079852 | -0.113399 |
| 22 | 0.096579 | -0.360053 | 0.045724 | -0.057491 | 0.077116 | -0.114751 |
| 23 | 0.088400 | -0.323309 | 0.044330 | -0.058761 | 0.074360 | -0.115137 |
| 24 | 0.081051 | -0.290736 | 0.042912 | -0.059519 | 0.071605 | -0.114719 |
| 25 | 0.074437 | -0.261836 | 0.041481 | -0.059839 | 0.068867 | -0.113640 |
| 26 | 0.068476 | -0.236171 | 0.040047 | -0.059788 | 0.066163 | -0.112019 |
| 27 | 0.063096 | -0.213357 | 0.038618 | -0.059423 | 0.063502 | -0.109961 |
| 28 | 0.058231 | -0.193057 | 0.037201 | -0.058795 | 0.060896 | -0.107553 |
| 29 | 0.053825 | -0.174975 | 0.035802 | -0.057947 | 0.058350 | -0.104872 |
| 30 | 0.049829 | -0.158850 | 0.034426 | -0.056917 | 0.055871 | -0.101982 |
| 31 | 0.046199 | -0.144454 | 0.033076 | -0.055740 | 0.053464 | -0.098938 |
| 32 | 0.042894 | -0.131585 | 0.031755 | -0.054444 | 0.051130 | -0.095785 |
| 33 | 0.039882 | -0.120067 | 0.030467 | -0.053054 | 0.048874 | -0.092563 |
| 34 | 0.037130 | -0.109744 | 0.029213 | -0.051593 | 0.046695 | -0.089305 |
| 35 | 0.034614 | -0.100479 | 0.027995 | -0.050080 | 0.044594 | -0.086037 |
| 36 | 0.032307 | -0.092152 | 0.026813 | -0.048529 | 0.042571 | -0.082783 |
| 38 | 0.030191 | -0.084657 | 0.025669 | -0.046956 | 0.040626 | -0.079560 |


|  | $\mathrm{J}(1, \mathrm{~J})$ | $\mathrm{DC}(1, \mathrm{~J})$ | $\mathrm{C}(2, \mathrm{~J})$ | $\mathrm{DC}(2, \mathrm{~J})$ | $C(3, \mathrm{~J})$ | $\mathrm{DC}(3, \mathrm{~J})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 41 | 0.023271 | -0.061285 | 0.021472 | -0.040652 | 0.033599 | -0.067253 |
| 42 | 0.021858 | -0.056751 | 0.020516 | -0.039113 | 0.032022 | -0.064366 |
| 43 | 0.020548 | -0.052630 | 0.019597 | -0.037601 | 0.030513 | -0.061566 |
| 44 | 0.019332 | -0.048878 | 0.018714 | -0.036118 | 0.029071 | -0.058856 |
| 45 | 0.018203 | -0.045457 | 0.017866 | -0.034670 | 0.027692 | -0.056238 |
| 46 | 0.017152 | -0.042331 | 0.017052 | -0.033257 | 0.026375 | -0.053712 |
| 47 | 0.016172 | -0.039471 | 0.016272 | -0.031883 | 0.025117 | -0.051280 |
| 48 | 0.015258 | -0.036850 | 0.015524 | -0.030549 | 0.023916 | -0.048940 |
| 49 | 0.014404 | -0.034443 | 0.014807 | -0.029256 | 0.022771 | -0.046691 |
| 50 | 0.013606 | -0.032230 | 0.014121 | -0.028004 | 0.021678 | -0.044533 |
| 51 | 0.012858 | -0.030191 | 0.013465 | -0.026794 | 0.020636 | -0.042463 |
| 52 | 0.012158 | -0.028309 | 0.012837 | -0.025626 | 0.019642 | -0.040480 |
| 53 | 0.011500 | -0.026570 | 0.012236 | -0.024500 | 0.018695 | -0.038580 |
| 54 | 0.010883 | -0.024961 | 0.011662 | -0.023415 | 0.017793 | -0.036763 |
| 55 | 0.010303 | -0.023469 | 0.011114 | -0.022371 | 0.016933 | -0.035025 |
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CHAPTER 5

FINDING THE FLOWS FROM KNOWN CONCENTRATIONS

5-0. FINDING THE FLOWS FROM KNOWN CONCENTRATIONS:

This chapter discusses the inverse problem actually encountered in practice: how to deduce the values of the flows $F_{i j}$ from the observed concentrations $C_{j}(t)$, i.e. how to obtain all the interzone flows.

5-1. Statement of equations used:

The previous chapters discussed the calculations of the concentrations from known flows.

To find the flows from the observed concentrations, the same differential equation as 3-2 in section 3-11 will be used, considering zero contaminant injection:

$$
V_{j} \cdot \dot{C}_{j}(t)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} F_{i j} \cdot C_{i}(t)-C_{j}(t) \cdot S_{j}
$$

However, in each system of $n$ chambers communicating with each other and with the outside, there are $n(n+1)$ flows Fij (regarding the outside as a zone of infinite volume, there are $n+1$ chambers in all, and each receives a flow from the $n$ others). The $n(n+1)$ flows are, however, not independent because they must satisfy the $n$ conservation
equations:

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{n} F_{i}=\sum_{i=0}^{n} F_{i j}
$$

for $j=1, \ldots, n$
Note: $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{j} j}=0$

There are not $n+1$ of these because the conservation equation for the $(n+1) s t$ zone (the outside), is already implied by the first $n$ equations.

To determine the $F_{i j}$, then, we need exactly $n^{2}$ further equations.

In the cases discussed previously, i.e, three zone buildings (Sections 4-1 \& 4-2), we thus need nine equations.

5-2. Selection of the nine equations:
${ }^{3}$ Examination of the decay curves (Graphs 4-1\&4-2) shows that the concentrations decay at approximately the same rate after about $2 t_{c}$, thus it would be pointless to use the concentrations beyond this point, because the equations would be linear, but not independent of each other.

It was therefore decided to select the equations in the first two time constants of the experiment, $2 t_{c}$.

The accuracy in the final results, i.e. Fif, will depend on the way the equations are spaced; many arrangements are possible.

The concentrations being available within $2 t_{c}$, it was decided to select a set of nine equations evenly spaced within this period of time. This is case no 1 . From the data tables 4-1 \& 4-2, the following evenly spaced time intervals were selected: $6,10,14,18,22,26,30,34,38$.

However, within the first time constant, the gradients of the decay curves $5-1 \& 5-2$ change rapidly, therefore, another set of equation was selected within this period of time, $t_{c}$. This is case no 2. The following equally spaced time intervals were selected from the data tables 4-1 \& 4-2: $2,4,6,8,10,12,14,16,18$.

Finally, a third case was studied, using a set of nine equations selected between $t_{c}$ and $2 t_{c}$ in Tables $4-1 \& 4-2$. This set of equations was chosen at the following time intervals: $22,24,26,28,30,32,34,36,38$.
-3. Error analysis:
For each case, the number of decimals was reduced after
each calculation, and the effect of reducing the number
of decimal places was then analysed.

5-31. Condition number of the matrix:

A calculation in which small relative changes in the input quantities produces large relative changes in the output is said to be "Ill-Conditioned", and the number which measures the degree of ill-conditioning is called the "Condition Number, K". Any non-singular matrix $A$ possesses a condition number which is large when $A \underline{x}=B$ is ill-conditioned and of order unity for a very well-conditioned system.

The condition number of a matrix may be expressed as follows:

$$
\mathrm{K}=\|\hat{A}\| \cdot\left\|\mathrm{A}^{-1}\right\|
$$

Where $\|A\|$ and $\left\|A^{-1}\right\|$ are suitable norms of the respective matrices.

The norm of a square matrix $A$ is a nonnegative number
denoted by $\|A\|$ which satisfies:
(i) $\|A\|>0$ if $A=0$, and $\|A\|=0$ if $A=0$
(ii) $\|C A\|=|C|$. $\|A\|$ for any scalar $C$
(iii) $\|A+B\|<\|A\|+\|B\|$
(iv) $\|A B\|<\|A\|$. $\|B\|$

The norms selected for the error analysis in this case, are the row and the column sum norms, where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Row sum norm, }\|A\|_{1}=\max _{j} \sum_{i}\left|a_{i j}\right| \\
& \text { Column sum norm, }\|A\|_{\infty}=\max _{i} \sum_{i}\left|a_{i j}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Note: Kr is the condition number related to the row sum norm.

Kc is the condition number related to the column sum norm.

5-32. R.M.S. error in the final flows:

The condition number is a measure of the sensitivity of the matrix (that is the sensitivity of the nine equations forming the matrix) to rounding and/or small relative changes in the equations. It is not a measure of the errors in the final flows associated with rounding.

However, as we are concerned with the effect of rounding errors, it was decided to determine the root mean square (R.M.S.) error in the final flow rates.

The R.M.S. error is related to the original flow rates used to determined the concentrations, and may be defined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { R.M.S. Error }=\sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|e_{i}\right|} \\
& \text { Where: } n=\text { number of flows }(n=12) \\
& e_{i}=F_{i}-F_{i}, \\
& F_{i}=\text { original flow rate } \\
& F_{i},=f l o w ~ r a t e ~ d e t e r m i n e d ~ f r o m ~ t h e ~ \\
&
\end{aligned}
$$

## 5-4. Results:

The flows were all determined by means of a computer programme given in appendix 3.

Case no 1 uses nine equations selected within $2 t_{c}$, that is at the following time intervals in table 4-1 \& 4-2: $6,10,14,18,22,26,30,34,38$.

Case no 2 uses nine equations evenly distributed within $t_{c}$, i.e. at time intervals $2,4,6,8,10,12,14,16,18$. Case no 3 uses nine equations equally spaced between $t_{c}$ and $2 t_{c}$, at time intervals $22,24,26,28,30,32,34,36,38$.

Table 5-1. REDUNDANT CASE

| No of Decim. | $F(0,1)$ | F(0,2) | $F(0,3)$ | $F(1,0)$ | $F(1,2)$ | F(1,3) | $F(2,0)$ | F 2,1 ) | F 2,3 ) | F(3,0) | F $(3,1)$ | F(3,2) | kr |  | R.M.S. error ( ${ }^{(2)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

* $3.0000005 .0000004 .0000001 .0000001 .0000001 .000000 \quad 2.0000000 .0000004 .000000 \begin{array}{llllllll}9.000000 & 0.000000 & 0.000000 & 1^{+} & 1^{*} & 0.00\end{array}$


## CASE No 1

| 6 | 2.999983 | 5.000041 | 4.000233 | 0.999926 | 1.000023 | 1.000057 | 2.001116 | 0.000258 | 3.999184 | 8.999184 | -0.000235 | 0.000494 | 584 | 865 | 0.05 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 | 3.000000 | 5.000150 | 3.997730 | 0.999993 | 1.000309 | 0.999699 | 2.005865 | 0.000000 | 4.006530 | 8.992023 | 0.000000 | 0.011936 | 585 | 866 | 0.49 |
| 4 | 2.998962 | 4.993014 | 3.986430 | 1.001335 | 0.999179 | 0.998536 | 1.945654 | -0.043844 | 4.059757 | 9.031416 | 0.044033 | -0.030726 | 587 | 869 | 3.23 |
| 3 | 2.964683 | 4.884840 | 3.935601 | 1.012646 | 0.979177 | 1.007846 | 1.521399 | -0.119675 | 3.8218843 | 9.251086 | 0.154652 | -0.640454 | 509 | 750 | 25.68 |
| 2 | 2.765690 | 4.363636 | 2.744681 | 0.937534 | 1.090909 | 0.925532 | 5.224003 | -1.828452 | 2.968085 | 3.712471 | 2.016736 | 0.909091 | 662 | 1074 | 203.53 |

## CASE No 2

| 6 | 3.000084 | 4.999690 | 3.999464 | 0.999947 | 1.000010 | 1.000045 | 2.004969 | 0.000386 | 3.995792 | 8.994321 | -0.000468 | 0.001448 | 1040 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 5 | 2.999223 | 4.997554 | 3.997961 | 0.999687 | 1.000122 | 1.000185 | 2.028391 | -0.002357 | 3.986026 | 8.966760 | 0.003029 | 0.014384 | 0.038 |
| 4 | 3.0121965 | 4.988187 | 4.005173 | 1.002484 | 0.999468 | 0.998112 | 1.734080 | 0.061081 | 4.192660 | 9.269411 | -0.073632 | 0.000166 | 1075 |
|  | 2276 | 12.58 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 3.000425 | 5.140467 | 3.901025 | 0.984187 | 1.002837 | 1.013731 | 2.102426 | 0.135963 | 3.272461 | 8.955303 | -0.135632 | -0.632454 | 1001 |

## CASE No 3

| 6 | 3.000000 | 4.997779 | 4.000449 | 1.000578 | 0.999295 | 1.000126 | 1.989976 | 0.000000 | 3.998141 | 9.007674 | 0.000000 | -0.008958 | 1368 | 2796 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 | 3.003805 | 4.989561 | 4.003191 | 1.000587 | 0.996696 | 1.001442 | 1.984419 | -0.022492 | 3.980257 | 9.011551 | 0.017412 | -0.044073 | 1877 | 2809 |
| 4 | 3.000000 | 5.010894 | 3.925781 | 1.016445 | 1.004241 | 0.979314 | 1.680900 | 0.000000 | 4.349901 | 9.239329 | 0.000000 | 0.015666 | 1831 | 2738 |
| 3 | 2.647832 | 5.456981 | 6.547951 | 0.126904 | 1.171561 | 1.808631 | 14.765649 | 1.733903 | -7.811247 | -0.239789 | -1.274639 | 2.059763 | 3007 | 4626 |
| 580.94 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 2.133333 | 5.000000 | 4.785714 | 0.880952 | 1.000000 | 1.285714 | 3.214286 | 2.500000 | -1.714286 | 7.923810 | -1.465667 | -2.000000 | 630 | 920 |
| 202.85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

GRAPH 5-11.
CONDITION NUMBER VARIANCE



Table 5-2. NON-REDUNDANT CASE

| No of Decia. | $F(0,1)$ | $F(0,2)$ | $F(0,3)$ | $F(1,0)$ | $F(1,2)$ | $F(1,3)$ | $F(2,0)$ | $F(2,1)$ | $F(2,3)$ | $F(3,0)$ | $F(3,1)$ | $F(3,2)$ |  | Kic | R.M.S. error (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| * | 3.000000 | 5.000000 | 4.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 3.000000 | 4.000000 | 1.000000 | 3.000000 | 7.000000 | 1.000000 | 2.000000 | $1+$ | $1+$ | 0.00 |

## CASE No 1



## CASE No 2

```
3.000066 4.998133 3.999135 0.999950 1.000040 3.000012 3.993959 0.999443 3.001990 7.003426 1.000093 1.997618 1584 1968 0.23
3.0001615.004524 3.983556 0.999519 0.999938 3.000499 3.974517 0.998790 3.038135 7.014204 1.001005 2.006980 1595 1982 1.49
2.978258 5.086318 4.006190 1.001445 0.998521 2.999439}4.200093 1.046608 2.965616 6.869228 0.974539 2.127477 1570 1949 8.43
1.907179 4.961282 4.444339 1.002397 1.007447 2.981446 2.806709}3.376450 1.761893 7.503694 -0.292340 1.976324 34394241 99.68
3.656738 1.65E+15 1.941176 0.636110 1.064516 3.282353 4.94E+15 -0.676596 7.164706 -3.29E+15 2.002837 3.29E+15 676 866 \ldots....
```


## CASE No 3

[^1]GRAPH 5-21.
CONDITION NUMBER VARIANCE


GRAPH 5-22.

## R.M.S. ERRORS IN FINAL FLOWS



DISCUSSION

AND

CONCLUSIONS

6-0. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS:

Graph 5-11:
The reduction in the sensitivity of case 3 to small changes in the concentrations is not as expected. It was predicted that the condition number would increase with the reduction of decimal places. However, it $c a n$ be seen that the condition number decreases when reducing the number of decimals from 3 to 2 .

From this graph, case 1 seems to give the most precise results, as its condition number is the smallest.

The shape of the curves for cases 1 and 2 are fairly similar, even though case 2 gives a least satisfactory result.

Graph 5-12:

An unexpected behaviour of case 3 can be seen, that is the percentage error suddenly drops between 3 and 2 decimal places. The two other cases are subject to an increasing root mean square error when the number of decimal places is reduced.

Graph 5-21:
As in graph 5-11, the reduction in the sensitivity of case 3 to small changes in the concentrations is not as
expected as it was predicted that the condition number would increase with the reduction of decimal places. The same behaviour can be observed for cases 1 and 2. The graph shows that case 2 gives the best results. Graph 5-22:

All three cases give the expected results, that is, the R.M.S. error increases when the number of decimal places is reduced.

Of the three cases, case 2 is the most satisfactory, i.e. the error is least important.

A big difference can be observed between graphs 5-11 and 5-21. The non-redundant case gives worse answers than the redundant case.

The same can be observed from graphs 5-12 and 5-22. When comparing graphs $5-11$ and $5-12$, it can be seen that there is no direct correlation between the condition number and the R.M.S. error.

Examination of graphs 5-21 and 5-22 proves to give the same result.

The condition number as determined in this project is not a good measure of the errors in the calculation of the
final flows.

To determine the final flows, a set of nine linear equations must be selected within one time constant. Results given by the equations evenly distributed within $2 t_{c}$ or between $t_{\varepsilon}$ and $2 t_{\varepsilon}$ are not satisfactory.

For the particular flow rates chosen to determine the initial concentrations, the redundant case gives more precise results than the non-redundant case.

When introducing rounding errors in the concentrations and their differentials, substantial changes in the final flows can be registred. Experimental errors are expected to be larger than rounding errors and therefore, they would produce greater errors in the computed flows.


#### Abstract

The present dissertation only explores the effect of the position of the equations in the data set, and for each choice, assesses the effect of reducing the number of decimal places.


The nine equations used to determine the flow rates are selected from nine different time intervals in the data set, however, three equations can be made out of the results obtained from only one time interval. It would be useful to explore the effect of choosing only three time intervals to create the set of equations needed in order to calculate the flows.

The effect of reducing the number of decimal places in both the concentrations and their differentials has been shown, and it would be useful to observe the effect of introducing rounding errors in the concentrations alone, and not in their differentials, or vice-versa.

In the experimental phase, the tracer gas could be injected in a different zone, or in more than one zone, and the effect on the concentrations observed.

More than one tracer gas could be injected in the model, and their behaviour could be studied.

In the present report, it can be observed that the condition number related to the row or column norms does not produce any conclusion regarding the precision of the final flow rates. It would therefore be useful to try and use different norms to determine the condition number of the matrix.

Two possible norms are:

- The Euclidean norm: $\|A\|_{E}=\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|a_{i j}\right|^{2}}$
- The $1_{2}$ norm: $\|A\|_{2}=\sqrt{\text { Largest eigenvalue of } A A}$, Where $A^{\prime}$ is the transpose of $A$.

The research could be extended to a bigger multizone mode1.

TABLE 1. OUTSIDE AIR REQUIREMENTS FOR RESPIRATION.

| Activity <br> (Adult male) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Metabolic } \\ & \text { rate, M } \end{aligned}$ | Requirements <br> for respiration; <br> $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ concentration <br> of $16.3 \%$ in <br> expired air. | requirements to maintain room $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at $0.5 \%$ assuming $0.04 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in fresh air. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | W | 1itres/s | litres/s |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { seated } \\ & \text { quietly } \end{aligned}$ | 100 | 0.1 | 0.8 |
| light work | 160 to 320 | 0.2 to 0.3 | 1.3 to 2.6 |
| moderate work | 320 to 480 | 0.3 to 3.5 | 2.6 to 3.9 |
| heavy work | 480 to 650 | 0.5 to 0.7 | 0.3 to 0.5 |
| very heavy work | 650 to 800 | 0.7 to 0.9 | 5.3 to 6.4 |

* The rate of production of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in terms of metabolic rate $M$ is $40 \times 10^{-6}$ M litres/s where $M$ is in watts.

FIGURE 2-a. "Air supply rate for odour removal" school children

Occupation density - $m^{3} /$ person

FIGURE 2-b.
"Air supply rate for odour removal" adults

Occupation density - m3/person

TABLE 2. TYPICAL MOISTURE EMISSION RATES
(a) Rates fixed by the nature of the process

Occupation :
Sleeping adult
active adult

Moisture
emission rate

$$
0.04 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}
$$

$0.05 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}$
Flueless combustion :
natural gas $\quad 0.16 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}$ per kW
premium grade kerosene
liquefied petroleum gas

$$
0.10 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h} \text { per } \mathrm{kW}
$$

$$
0.13 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h} \text { per } \mathrm{kW}
$$

(b) Rates estimated, but subject to variation due to occupants' lifestyle

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Cooking } & 3.0 \mathrm{~kg} / \text { day } \\
\text { Bathing, dishwashing, etc. } & 1.0 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{day} \\
\text { Clothes washing } & 0.5 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{day} \\
\text { Clothes drying } & 5.0 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{day}
\end{array}
$$

TABLE 3. AIR SUPPLY RATES REQUIRED FOR FLUELESS APPLIANCES
Fuel Basis Air supply rate

|  |  | 1itres $/ \mathrm{s}$ per $\mathrm{kW}^{*}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Natural gas | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}<0.5 \%$ | 5.4 |
| Liquefied petroleum gas | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}<0.5 \%$ | 6.6 |
| Premium grade kerosene | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}<0.5 \%$ | 6.8 |
| Premium grade kerosene | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}<5.0$ p.p.m. | $1.8+$ |
| Premium grade kerosene | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}<1.0$ p.p.m. | $9.0+$ |

* Input rating of the appliance.
+ These figures are based upon the maximum level of sulphur, of $0.06 \%$ allowed by BS 2869. In many cases sulphur levels will be lower than this, in which case the required air supply rates may be reduced in proportion.

DIAGRAM 1. AIR REQUIREMENTS FOR PEOPLE IN A ROOM 2.7 M HIGH


NOTE. Smoking is based on $26 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$ per smoker. Small offices may contain all smokers, large offices are assumed to reflect the adult population, i.e. half smokers. Minimum space is as specified in the Offices, Shops and Railway Premises Act.

## PROGRAMME 1

## "CALCULATION OF THE CONCENTRATIONS"

 (THREE ZONE REDUNDANT CASE)"CALCULATION OF THE CONCENTRATIONS FOR A THREE ZONE REDUNDANT CASE".

```
    10 OPTION BASE 1
```

    20 REM "REDUNDANT CASE", PRGO2
    30 DIM. C \((3,55), D C(3,55)\)
    40 FOR J=1 TO 55
    \(50 \quad \mathrm{~T}=\mathrm{J} / 60\)
    \(60 \mathrm{C}(1, \mathrm{~J})=\operatorname{EXP}\left(-3^{\star} \mathrm{T}\right)\)
    \(70 \mathrm{C}(2, \mathrm{~J})=.5^{*} \mathrm{~T}^{*} \operatorname{EXP}(-3 * \mathrm{~T})\)
    \(80 \mathrm{C}(3, \mathrm{~J})=(1 / 3) * \mathrm{~T}^{*} \operatorname{EXP}(-3 * \mathrm{~T})+(1 / 3) * \mathrm{~T}^{*} \mathrm{~T} * \operatorname{EXP}\left(-3^{*} \mathrm{~T}\right)\)
    \(90 \quad \mathrm{DC}(1, \mathrm{~J})=-3 \star \operatorname{EXP}(-3 * \mathrm{~T})\)
    $100 \mathrm{DC}(2, \mathrm{~J})=.5^{\star}\left(1-3^{*} \mathrm{~T}\right) * \operatorname{EXP}(-3 * \mathrm{~T})$
$110 \mathrm{DC}(3, \mathrm{~J})=\left(\left(-\mathrm{T}^{\star} \mathrm{T}\right)-(1 / 3) \star \mathrm{T}+(1 / 3)\right){ }^{\star} \operatorname{EXP}\left(-3^{*} \mathrm{~T}\right)$
120 NEXT J
130 ASSIGN @F_1 TO "RESO2"
140 FOR J=1 TO 55
150 OUTPUT @F_1 USING "ZZ, XX, 非; J
160 OUTPUT @F_1 USING "6(MZ.DDDDDD)"; C $(1, \mathrm{~J}), \mathrm{DC}(1, \mathrm{~J})$,
$\mathrm{C}(2, \mathrm{~J}), \mathrm{DC}(2, \mathrm{~J}), \mathrm{C}(3, \mathrm{~J}), \mathrm{DC}(3, \mathrm{~J})$
170 NEXT J
180 ASSIGN @F_1 TO *
190 PRINT TAB(30);"DATA FOR THE REDUNDANT CASE"

```
200 PRINT
210 PRINT
220 PRINT TAB(14);"C(1,J)";TAB(25);"DC(1,J)";TAB(36);
    "DC(2,J)";TAB(47);"C(3,J)";TAB(69);"DC (3,J)";
230 PRINT
240 PRINT
250 FOR J=1 TO 55
260 PRINT USING "8X,ZZ,X,非;J
270 PRINT USING "6(MZ.DDDDDD,XX)";C(1,J);DC(1,J);C(2,J)
    ;DC(2,J);C(3,J);DC (3,J)
2 8 0 ~ N E X T ~ J ~
290 END
```


## PROGRAMME 2

"CALCULATION OF THE CONCENTRATIONS"
(THREE ZONE NON-REDUNDANT CASE)

```
    "CALCULATIONS OF THE CONCENTRATIONS FOR THE THREE ZONE
    NON-REDUNDANT CASE"
    10 OPTION BASE 1
    20 REM NON-REDUNDANT CASE,PRGO1
    30 DIM C ( 3,55),DC(3,55),\operatorname{Lam}(3),A(3),X(3,3)
    40 DISP "ENTER VALUE FOR LAMBDA(1)";
    50 INPUT Lam(1)
    6 0 \text { DISP "ENTER VALUE FOR LAMBDA(2)";}
    70 INPUT Lam(2)
    80 DISP "ENTER VALUE FOR LAMBDA(3)";
    90 INPUT Lam(3)
100 DISP "ENTER VALUE FOR X(1,1)";
110 INPUT X (1, 1)
120 DISP "ENTER VALUE FOR X (1,2)";
130 INPUT X (1,2)
140 DISP "ENTER VALUE FOR X(1,3)";
150 INPUT X (1,3)
160 DISP "ENTER VALUE FOR X(2,1)";
170 INPUT X (2,1)
180 DISP "ENTER VALUE FOR X (2,2)";
190 INPUT X(2,2)
200 DISP "ENTER VALUE FOR X(2,3)";
```

```
210 INPUT X (2,3)
220 DISP "ENTER VALUE FOR X(3,1)";
230 INPUT X(3,1)
240 DISP "ENTER VALUE FOR X(3,2)";
250 INPUT X (3,2)
260 DISP "ENTER VALUE FOR X(3,3)";
270 INPUT X(3,3)
280 DISP "ENTER VALUE FOR A(1)";
290 INPUT A(1)
300 DISP "ENTER VALUE FOR A(2)";
310 INPUT A(2)
320 DISP "ENTER VALUE FOR A(3)";
330 INPUT A(3)
340 PRINTER IS 701
350 PRINT TAB(30);"NON-REDUNDANT CASE"
360 PRINT
370 PRINT
380 PRINT TAB(20);"EIGENVALUES :"
390 PRINT
400 PRINT TAB(20);"Lam(1)";TAB(40);"Lam(2)";TAB(60);
        "Lam(3)"
410 PRINT TAB(20);Lam(1);TAB(40);Lam(2);TAB(60);Lam(3)
420 PRINT
```

```
    430 PRINT
    440 PRINT TAB(20);"EIGENVECTORS :"
    450 PRINT
    460 PRINT TAB(20);"FOR LAMBDA(1) :"
    470 PRINT TAB(20);"X(1,1)";TAB(40);"X(1,2)";TAB(60);
        X(1,3)
480 PRINT TAB(20);X(1,1);TAB(40);X(1,2);TAB(60);X(1,3)
490 PRINT
500 PRINT TAB(20);"FOR LAMBDA(2) :"
510 PRINT TAB(20);"X(2,1)";TAB(40);"X(2,2)";TAB(60);
        "X(2,3)"
520 PRINT TAB(20);X(2,1);TAB(40);X(2,2);TAB(60);X(2,3)
530 PRINT
540 PRINT "FOR LAMBDA(3) :"
550 PRINT TAB(20);"X(3,1)";TAB(40);"X(3,2)";TAB(60);
        "X(3,3)"
560 PRINT TAB(20);X(3,1);TAB(40);X(3,2);TAB(60);X(3,3)
570 PRINT
580 PRINT
590 PRINT TAB(20);"COEFFICIENTS :"
6 0 0 ~ P R I N T
610 PRINT TAB(20);"A(1)";TAB(40);"A(2)";TAB(60);"A(3)"
620 PRINT TAB(20);A(1);TAB(40);A(2);TAB(60);A(3)
6 3 0 ~ F O R ~ J = 1 ~ T O ~ 5 5 ~
```

```
640 T=-J/(20*Lam(1))
6 5 0 ~ F O R ~ I = 1 ~ T O ~ 3 ~
660C(I,J)=A(1)*X(1,1)* EXP}(\operatorname{Lam}(1)*T)+a(2)*X(2,I)*EX
        (Lam(2)*T)+A(3)*X(3,I)* EXP(Lam(3) 8* T)
670 DC (I,J) =+Lam(1)*A(1)*X(1,I)*EXP(Lam(1)*T)+\operatorname{Lam}(2)*
        A(2)* X (2,1)* EXP(Lam(2)*T) +Lam(3)*A(3)* X (3, I)* EXP(T
        *Lam(3))
6 8 0 ~ N E X T ~ I ~
6 9 0 ~ N E X T ~ J ~
700 ASSIGN @F_1 TO "RESO1"
710 FOR J=1 TO 55
720 OUTPUT @F_1 USING "ZZ,XX,非";J
730 OUTPUT @F_1 USING "6(MZ.DDDDDD)";C(1,J),DC(1,J),
        C}(2,J),DC(2,J),C(3,J),DC(3,J
740 NEXT J
750 ASSIGN @F_1 TO *
760 PRINT
770 PRINT
780 PRINT TAB(30);"DATA FOR THE NON-REDUNDANT CASE"
790 PRINT
800 PRINT
810 PRINT TAB(14);"C(1,J)";TAB(25);"DC(1,J)";TAB(36);
        "C(2,J)";TAB(47);"DC(2,J)";TAB(58);"C(3,J)";
        TAB(69);"DC(3,J)";
820 PRINT
```

```
830 PRINT
840 FOR J=1 TO 55
850 PRINT USING " 8X,ZZ,X,非";J
860 PRINT USING "6(MZ.DDDDDD,XX)";C(1,J);DC(1,J):C(2,J)
    ;DC(2,J);C(3,J);DC (3,J)
8 7 0 ~ N E X T ~ J ~
880 PRINTER IS 1
890 END
```

PROGRAMME 3
"PLOTTING OF DECAY CURVES"
-

```
"PLOTTING OF DECAY CURVES".
    10 DIM C ( 3,55),DC (3,55)
    20 GINIT
    30 CLEAR SCREEN
    40 DISP "DO YOU WANT TO PLOT ON (S)CREEN OR
        (P)LOTTER?";
    50 INPUT PS
    60 IF PS="P" THEN GOTO 90
    70 PLOTTER IS CRT,"INTERNAL"
    80 GOTO 100
    90 PLOTTER IS 705,"HPGL"
100 GRAPHICS ON
110 X_gdu_max=100*MAX(1,RATIO)
120 Y_gdu_max=100*MAX(1,1/RATIO)
130 LORG 6
140 FOR I=-. 3 TO . 3 STEP . }
150 MOVE X_gdu_max/2.5+I,Y_gdu_max
160 LABEI. "CONCENTRATION VARIANCE"
170 NEXT I
180 PRINTER IS 705
190 GSEND "VS19;"
200 PRINTER IS 1
```

```
210 DEG
220 LDIR 90
230 CSIZE 3.5
240 MOVE 0,Y_gdu_max/2
250 LABEL "Concentration (C)"
260 LORG 4
270 LDIR 0
280 MOVE X_gdu_max/2,.07*Y_gdu_max
290 LABEL "Time interval"
300 VIEWPORT .1*X_gdu_max,.98*X_gdu_max,.15*Y_gdu_max,
        .9*Y_gdu_max
310 FRAME
320 WINDOW 0,55,0,1
330 AXES 1,.05,0,0,5,5,3
340 FOR I=0 TO 34
350 MOVE I,1,0
360 DRAK I,.987
370 NEXT I
380 FOR I=0. TO . 45 STEP . 05
390 MOVE 55,I
400 DRAW 54.6,I
4 1 0 ~ N E X T ~ I ~
420 FOR I=0 TO 35 STEP 5
```

```
    430 MOVE I,O
    440 DRAW I,1.0
    450 NEXT I
    460 FOR I=40 TO 50 STEP 5
    470 MOVE I,O
    480 DRAW I,. 5
    490 NEXT I
    500 MOVE 0,.25
    510 DRAW 55,.25
520 MOVE 0,.5
530 DRAW 55,.5
540 MOVE 0,.75
550 DRAW 35,.75
560 CLIP OFF
5 7 0 ~ L O R G ~ 2 ~
580 CSIZE 2.5
590 MOVE 41,.800
6 0 0 ~ L A B E L ~ " C o n c e n t r a t i o n ~ C ( 1 ) " ~
610 MOVE 41,.700
620 LABEL "Concentration C(2)"
630 MOVE 41,.600
640 LABEL "Concentration C(3)"
```

```
    6 5 0 ~ L O R G ~ 6
    660 FOR I=0 TO 55 STEP 5
    670 MOVE I,-.01
    680 LABEL USING "非,K";I
    6 9 0 ~ N E X T ~ I ~
    700 LORG 8
    710 FOR I=0 TO 1 STEP . }2
    720 MOVE -.5,I
    730 LABEL USING "非,Z.DD"; I
    7 4 0 ~ N E X T ~ I ~
    750 REM "READ VALUES FROM FILE"
    7 6 0 ~ D I S P ~ " E N T E R ~ F I L E ~ N A M E " ; ~
770 INPUT File_name$
780 DISP File_names
790 IF File_names="RES01" THEN GOTO 820
800 IF File_nameS="RES02" THEN GOTO 820
8 1 0 ~ G O T O ~ 7 7 0 ~
820 ASSIGN @F_1 TO File_nameS
830 CSIZE 3
840 IF File_names="RESO1" THEN GOTO 860
850 IF File_names="RES02" THEN GOTO 890
860 MOVE 49,.900
```

```
        870 LABEL "NON-REDUNDANT CASE"
        880 GOTO 910
        890 MOVE 49,.900
        900 LABEL "REDUNDANT CASE"
        910 FOR J=1 TO 55
        920 ENTER @F_1 USING "ZZ,XX,非; I
        930 ENTER @F_1 USING "6(MZ.DDDDDD)";C(1,J),DC(1,J),
        C}(2,J),DC(2,J),C(3,J),DC(3,J
    9 4 0 ~ N E X T ~ J ~
    950 ASSIGN @F_1 TO *
    960 PENUP
    970 FOR I=1 TO 3
    980 IF I=1 THEN PEN 3
    990 IF I=2 THEN PEN 2
1000 IF I = 3 THEN PEN 4
1010 MOVE 37,(.9-(I*.100))
1020 DRAW 40,(.9-(I*.100))
1030 PENUP
1040 FOR X=1 TO 55
1050 PLOT X,C(I,X)
1060 NEXT X
1070 PENUP
1080 PEN 0
```

1090 NEXT I
1100 END

```
"CALCULATION OF FLOWS FROM KNOWN CONCENTRATIONS".
```

```
    10 RE: "REVERSE PROCEDURE",PRG 03
    20 OPTION BASE 1
    30 DIM C (3,55),DC(3,55),A(9,9),B(9,1),K(9),D(9,9),
        E(9,1),F(4,4),A_inv(9,9),A_rs(9),A_invrs(9)
    40 REM "READ VALUES EROM FILE"
    50 DISP "ENTER FILE NAME";
    6 0 ~ I N P U T ~ F i l e \& n a m e S ~
    70 DISP File_nameS
    80 IF File_nameS="RESO1" THEN GOTO 110
    90 IF File_name\="RESO2" THEN GOTO 110
100 GOTO 50
110 ASSIGN @F_1 TO File_nameS
120 FOR J=1 TO 55
130 ENTER @F_1 USING "ZZ,XX,非;I
140 ENTER @F_1 USING "6(MZ.DDDDDD)";C(1,J),DC(1,J)
        C}(2,J),DC(2,J),C(3,J),DC (3,J
150 NEXT J
160 ASSIGN @F_1 TO *
170 PRINTER IS 701
180 IF File_name$="RESO1" THEN GOTO 200
190 GOTO 220
200 PRINT "REVERSE PROCEDURE USING DATA FOR NON
```

```
    REDUNDANT CASE"
2 1 0 ~ G O T O ~ 2 4 0
220 IF File_names="RESO2" THEN GOTO 230
230 PRINT "REVERSE PROCEDURE USING DATA FOR REDUNDANT
    CASE"
240 PRINTER IS 1
250 REM "SELECT TIME INTERVAL"
260 FOR I=1 TO 9
270 PRINT "ENTER CODE FOR TIME INTERVAL NUMBER";I
280 INPUT K(I)
290 NEXT I
300 PRINTER IS 701
310 PRINT
320 PRINT
330 PRINT "CODE FOR TIME INTERVAL 1:";K(1)
340 PRINT "CODE FOR TIME INTERVAL 2:";K(2)
350 PRINT "CODE FOR TIME INTERVAL 3:";K(3)
360 PRINT "CODE FOR TIME INTERVAL 4:";K(4)
370 PRINT "CODE FOR TIME INTERVAL 5:";K(5)
380 PRINT "CODE FOR TIME INTERVAL 6:";K(6)
390 PRINT "CODE FOR TIME INTERVAL 7:";K(7)
400 PRINT "CODE FOR TIME INTERVAL 8:";K(8)
410 PRINT "CODE FOR TIME INTERVAL 9:";K(9)
```

```
    420 PRINTER IS 1
    430 REM "SET UP MATRIX A"
    4 4 0 ~ F O R ~ I = 1 ~ T O ~ 3 ~
    450 A(1,I)=C(I,K(1))
    460 A(2,(I+3))=C(I,K(2))
    470 A(3,(I+6))=C(I,K(3))
    480 A(4,I)=C(I,K(4))
    490. A(5,(I+3))=C(I,K(5))
    500 A(6,(I+6))=C(I,K(6))
    510 A(7,I)=C(I,K(7))
    520 A(8,(I+3))=C(I,K(8))
    530 A(9,(I+6))=C(I,K(9))
    540 NEXT I
    550 PRINT A(*)
560 REM "CORRECT SIGNS"
570 FOR I=1 TO 7 STEP 3
580 A(I,1)=-A(I,1)
590 A((I+1),5)=-A((I+1),5)
600 A((I+2),9)=-A((I+2),9)
6 1 0 ~ N E X T ~ I ~
620 REM "SET UP MATRIX B"
630 B(1,1)=DC(1,K(1))
```

```
640 B (2,1)=DC(2,K(2))
650 B (3,1) = DC (3,K(3))
660 B(4,1)=DC(4,K(4))
670 B(5,1)=DC(5,K(5))
680 B (6,1)=DC(6,K(6))
690 B(7,1)=DC(7,K(7))
700 B(8,1)=DC(8,K(8))
710 B(9,1)=DC(9,K(9))
720 PRINT B(*)
730 REM "SELECT NUMBER OF DECIMALS FOR MATRIX A"
740 DISP "SELECT NUMBER OF DECIMALS FOR MATRIX A";
750 INPUT L
760 PRINTER IS 701
770 PRINT
780 PRINT
790 PRINT "NUMBER OF DECIMALS SELECTED FOR MATRIX A
        IS :";L
800 PRINT
810 REM "SELECT NUMBER OF DECIMALS FOR MATRIX B"
820 DISP "SELECT NUMBER OF DECIMALS FOR MATRIX B";
830 INPUT M
840 PRINT "NUMBER OF DECIMALS SELECTED FOR MATRIX B
        IS :";M
```

```
    850 PRINTER IS 1
    860 REM "TRUNCATE VALUES IN MATRICES A AND/OR B"
    870 FOR I=1 TO 9
    880 X=B(I,1)*10^M
    890 Z=INT(X)
    900 B(I, 1)=Z/10^M
    910 FOR J=1 TO 9
    920 X=A(I,J)*10^L
    930 Z=INT(X)
    940 A(I,J)=Z/10^L
    9 5 0 ~ N E X T ~ J ~
    9 6 0 ~ N E X T ~ I ~
    970 PRINT A(*)
    980 PRINT B(*)
    990 REM "CALL SUBROUTINE Ludsht"
1000 MAT D=A
1010 MAT E=B
1020 MAT A_inv=INV(A)
1030 MAT A_rs=RSUM(A)
1040 MAT A_invrs=RSUM(A_inv)
1050 Max_ra=0
1060 Sum_ra=0
```

```
    1070 Max_ca=0
    1080 Sum_ca=0
    1090 Max_ria=0
    1100 Sum_ria=0
    1110 Max_cia=0
    1120 Sum_cia=0
    1130 FOR I=1 TO 9
    1140 FOR J=1 TO 9
    1150 Sum_ra=Sum_ra+ABS(A(I,J))
    1160 Sum_ria=Sum_ria+ABS(A_inv(I,J))
    1170 Sum_ca=Sum_ca+ABS (A(I,J))
    1180 Sum_cia=Sum_cia+ABS(A_inv(I,J))
    1190 NEXT J
    1200 PRINT Sum_ra,Sum_ria,Sum_ca,Sum_cia
1210 IF Sum_ra>Max_ra THEN Max_ra=Sum_ra
1220 IF Sum_ria>Max_ria THEN Max_ria=Sum_ria
1230 IF Sum_ca>Max_ca THEN Max_ca=Sum_ca
1240 IF Sum_cia>Max_cia THEN Max_cia=Sum_cia
1250 Sum_ra=0
1260 Sum_ria=0
1270 Sum_ca=0
1280 Sum_cia=0
```

```
1290 NEXT I
1300 Condr=Max_ra*Max_ria
1310 Condc=Max_ca*Max_cia
1320 CALL Ludsht(D(*),E(*),9,1)
1330 PRINT "RESULT:"
1340 PRINT
1350 PRINT
1360 PRINT USING "6(DD.DDDDDD,XX)";E(1,1);E(2,1);E(3,1)
1370 PRINT
1380 PRINT USING "6(DD.DDDDDD,XY)";E(4,1);E(5,1);E(6,1)
1390 PRINT
1400 PRINT USING "6(DD.DDDDDD,XX)";E(7,1);E(8,1);E(9,1)
1410 S1=E(1,1)
1420 F(3,2)=E(2,1)
1430 F(4,2)=E(3,1)
1440 F(1,2)=S1-F(3,2)-F(4,2)
1450 F(2,3)=E(4,1)*2
1460 S2=E (4,1)*2
1470 F(4,3)=E(6,1)*2
1480 F(1,3)=(E(5,1)-E(4,1)-E(6,1))*2
1490 F(2,4)=E(7,1)*3
1500 F(3,4)=E(8,1)*3
```

```
1510 S3=E (9,1)*3
1520 F(1,4)=(E(9,1)-E(7,1)-E(8,1))*3
1530 F(2,1)=(E(1,1)-E(4,1)*2-E(7,1)*3)
1540 F(3,1)=(E(5,1)*2-E(2,1)-E(8,1)*3)
1550 F(4,1)=(E(9,1)*3-E(6,1)*2-E(3,1))
1560 PRINTER IS 701
1570 PRINT
1580 PRINT
1590 PRINT "FINAL RESULT:"
1600 PRINT
1610 PRINT
1620 PRINT TAB(4);"F(0,1)";TAB(16);"F(2,1)";TAB(27);
        "F(3,1)"
1630 PRINT
1640 PRINT USING "6(MZ.DDDDDD,XX)";F(1,2);F(3,2);F(4,2)
1650 PRINT
1660 PRINT
1670 PRINT TAB(4);"F(0,2)";TAB(16);"F(1,2)";TAB(27);
        "F(3,2)"
1680 PRINT
1690 PRINT USING "6(MZ.DDDDDD,XX)";F(1,3);F(2,3);F(4,3)
1700 PRINT
1710 PRINT
```

```
1720 PRINT TAB(4);"F(0,3)";TAB(16);"F(1,3)";TAB(26);
        "F(2,3)"
1730 PRINT
1740 PRINT USING "6(MZ.DDDDDD,XX)";F(1,4);F(2,4);F(3,4)
1750 PRINT
1760 PRINT
1770 PRINT TAB(4);"F(1,0)";TAB(16);"F(2,0)";TAB(27);
        "F(3,0)"
1780 PRINT
1790 PRINT USING "6(MZ.DDDDDD,XX)";F(2,1);F(3,1);F(4,1)
1800 PRINT
1810 PRINT
1820 PRINT "CONDITION NUMBER OF THE MATRIX"
1830 PRINT
1840 PRINT Condr
1850 PRINT Condc
1860 PRINTER IS 1
1870 PRINT "DO YOU WANT TO TRY A NEW TRUNCATION:Y/N?"
1880 INPUT RS
1890 IF RS="Y" THEN GOTO 730
1900 PRINT "DO YOU WANT TO TRY A NEW TIME INTERVAL:Y/N?"
1910 INPUT RS
1920 IF RS="Y" THEN GOTO 250
```

```
1930 END
1940 SUB Ludsht(A(*),B(*),N,M)
1950 Baddta=(N<=0) or ( }M<=0
1960 IF Baddta=0 THEN 2000
1970 PRINT FNLin$(2);"ERROR IN SUBPROGRAM Ludsht."
1980 PRINT "M=";M;" N=";N;FNLin$(2)
1990 PAUSE
2 0 0 0 ~ G O T O ~ 1 9 5 0
2010 OPTION BASE 1
2020 ALLOCATE Btemp(N),Xtemp(N),Ips(N)
2030 CALL Decomp(N,A(*),Ips(*))
2040 FOR J=1 TO M
2050 FOR I=1 TO N
2060 Btemp(I)=B(I,J)
2 0 7 0 ~ N E X T ~ I ~
2080 CALL Solve(N,A(*),Btemp(*),Xtemp(*),Ips(*))
2090 FOR I=1 TO N
2100 B(I,J)=Xtemp(I)
2110 NEXT I
2120 NEXT J
2130 SUBEND
2140 SUB Solve(N,Lu(*),B(*),X(*),Ips(*))
```

```
2150 Baddta=(N<=0)
2160 IF Baddta=0 THEN 2200
2170 PRINT FNLin$(2);"ERROR IN SUBPROGRAM Solve"
2180 PRINT "N=";N;FNLin$(2)
2190 PAUSE
2 2 0 0 ~ G O T O ~ 2 1 4 0
2210 OPTION BASE 1
2220 Ip=Ips(1)
2230 X(1)=B(Ip)
2240 FOR I=2 TO N
2250 Ip=Ips(I)
2260 Sum=0
2270 FOR J=1 TO I-1
2280 Sum=Sum=Lu(Ip,J)*X(J)
2 2 9 0 ~ N E X T ~ J ~
2300 X(I)=B(Ip)-Sum
2 3 1 0 ~ N E X T ~ I ~
2320 Ip=Ips(N)
2330 IF Lu(Ip,N)<>0 THEN 2370
2340 PRINT FLNin$(2);"ERROR IN SUBPROGRAM Solve."
2350 PRINT "DIVISION BY ZERO DETECTED.";FLNin$(2)
2360 PAUSE
```

```
2370 X(N)=X(N)/Lu(Ip,N)
2380 FOR I=N-1 TO 1 STEP -1
2390 Ip=Ips(I)
2400 Sum=0
2410 FOR J=I+1 TO N
2420 Sum=Sum+Lu(Ip,J)* X(J)
2430 NEXT J
2440 IF Lu(Ip,I)<>0 THEN 2480
2450 PRINT FLNinS(2);"ERROR IN SUBPROGRAM Solve."
2460 PRINT "DIVISION BY ZERO DETECTED.";FLNin$(2)
2470 PAUSE
2480 X(I)=(X(I)-Sum)/Lu(Ip,I)
2490 NEXT I
2500 SUBEND
2510 SUB Decomp(N,A(*),Lu(*),Ips(*))
2520 Baddta=(N<=0)
2530 IF Baddta=0 THEN 2580
2540 PRINT FNLin$(2);"ERROR IN SUBPROGRAM Decomp."
2550 PRINT "N=";N;FNLinS(2)
2560 PAUSE
2 5 7 0 ~ G O T O ~ 2 5 2 0
2580 OPTION BASE 1
```

```
2810 Idxpiv=I
2820 NEXT I
2830 IF Big<>0 THEN 2870
2840 PRINT FNLin$(2);"ERROR IN SUBPROGRAM Decomp."
2850 PRINT "MATRIX IS MACHINE SINGULAR.";FNLin$(2)
2860 PAUSE
2870 IF Idxpiv-K=0 THEN 2910
2880 J=Ips(K)
2890 Ips(K)=Ips(Idxpiv)
2900 Ips(Idxpiv)=J
2910 Kp=Ips(K)
2920 Pivot=Lu(Kp,K)
2930 FOR I=K+1 TO N
2940 Ip=Ips(I)
2950 Em=-Lu(Ip,K)/Pivot
2960 Lu(Ip,K)=-Em
2970 FOR J=K+1 TO N
2980 Lu(Ip,J)=Lu(Ip,J)+Em*Lu(Kp,J)
2990 NEXT J
3 0 0 0 ~ N E X T ~ I ~
3010 NEXT K
3020 Kp=Ips(N)
```

```
3030 IF Lu(Kp,N)<>0 THEN 3070
3040 PRINT FNLin$(2);"ERROR IN SUBPROGRAM Decomp."
3050 PRINT "MATRIX IS MACHINE SINGULAR.";FNLin$(2)
3060 PAUSE
3070 SUBEXIT
3080 SUBEND
3090 DEF FNLin$(X1)
3100 X=INT(X1+.5)
3110 IF X=0 THEN RETURN CHR$(13)
3120 Eol$=CHR$(13)&CHR$(10)
3130 IF X<O THEN Eol$=CHR$(10)
3140 ALLOCATE R$[X*LEN(Eo1$)]
3150 RS=""
3160 FOR I=1 TO X
3170 RS=R$&EoLS
3180 NEXT I
3190 RETURN RS
3200 FNEND !
```
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[^0]:    $n+1=$ Number of zones, zone $n=0$ representing the outside air
    $F_{i j}=F$ low rate from zone $i$ to zone $j$

[^1]:    $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}6 & 3.013134 & 5.030586 & 3.980793 & 1.003603 & 0.993949 & 3.004523 & 4.063250 & 0.966226 & 3.049022 & 6.957660 & 1.622715 & 2.053963 & 3729 \\ 2759 & 3.45\end{array}$
    
    
    

