THE OCCURRENCE OF COMMON COLD AND THE NUMBER OF PERSONS IN THE OFFICE ROOM

Jouni J.K. Jaakkola Department of Environmental Hygiene and Toxicology, National Public Health Institute, and Laboratory of Heating, Ventilating and Air Conditioning, Helsinki University of Technology Otakaari 4A, SF-02150 Espoo, Finland

Olli P. Heinonen, Department of Public Health, University of Helsinki

ricit.

Olli Seppänen, Laboratory of Heating, Ventilating and Air Conditioning, Helsinki University of Technology

The effect of the presence of one or more room mates on the occurrence of common cold was studied in a modern, mechanically ventilated, 8 story office building with 2150 workers, located in the center of Helsinki. The occurrence of respiratory infections and relevant individual and environmental data was collected in a self-administered questionnaire (response rate 71.0%). The study population, one person from each room in floors 3 to 8, consisted of 893 workers, 439 males (49.2%) and 454 females (50.8%). In the logistic regression analysis the adjusted odds ratio (OR) for more than two episodes of common cold during the past 12 months in subjects with one or more room mates vs. no room mates was 1.35 (95% CI 1.00-1.82). Also subjects with young children (OR 1.46, 1.05-2.04) and with a history of hay fever (OR 2.07 1.47-2.92) had a higher risk for common cold. Females (OR 1.25, 0.95-1.66) and subjects under 40 years of age (OR 1.15, 0.86-1.55) had slightly, but nonsignificantly increased risk while the risk in the smokers did not differ essentially from the nonsmokers (OR 1.05, 0.76-1.42). The results suggest that the presence of other persons in the office room increases the risk for common cold possibly due to indoor air transmission.

#4399

INTRODUCTION

There is controversy on the principal mode of transmission of the common cold. Some common cold viruses are transmitted primarily by direct and/or large droplet contact and others probably primarily airborne (3). Some other viruses have been shown to spread in the indoor air. Measles epidemics have been transmitted in the air. Viable virus can even pass from one space to another through ventilation system (1).

155

Statistical Methods

The outcome was operationalized to be the frequency of common cold during the past 12 months, which was inquired (structured 0, 1, 2, 3 or more, very frequently) using self-diagnosis without verifying or specifying the infectious agent. The exposure was estimated indirectly by assuming that subjects with one or more roommates are exposed, in the average, more to viable viruses via indoor air than those in a single room. The study population was divided into those considered to be exposed (index group) and nonexposed (reference group).

First the potential determinants of the outcome were compared in the index and reference group in order to elaborate the comparability of the two groups. The frequency of the episode of common cold during the past 12 months were then compared in the index and reference group. Finally, the adjusted odds ratio for having experienced more than two episodes of common cold in index vs. reference group was calculated in the logistic regression analysis. The following confounders were dichtomized and included in the model: gender (female = 1, male = 0), allergic rhinitis (1 = past or current allergic rhinitis, 0 = no), smoking (1 = current, 0 = ex or never) and children under the age of 8 at home (yes = 1, no = 1). Exposure to environmental tobacco smoke in the office was recorded, but it was not meaningful in the model due to lack of exposure among subjects in the single rooms (reference group).

RESULTS

Indoor Air

The relative humidity during the study, in February, was very low (10-20%) which reflects the cold winter season. The ventilation rates were in the average great (mean 26 L/s/person) but varied considerably (SD 10 L/s/person, range 7-70 L/s/person). The mean room temperature was high and the variation large (mean 23.3°C, SD 0.9°C, range 21-26°C).

The concentrations of measured indoor air pollutants were far below the values known to cause adverse health effects. The mean carbon dioxide concentration was 420 ppm and did not exceed 950 ppm. The concentration of formaldehyde was 47 ug/m³ in a room with typical furniture, textiles and ventilation rate. The maximum concentrations of organic gases were: ethanol 98 ug/m³ (mean 73 ug/m³), hexasone 10 ug/m³ (9 ug/m³), acetone 33 ug/m³ (32 ug/m³) and toluene 165 ug/m³ (107 ug/m³). The maximum concentration of radon (160 Bg/m³) was measured when ventilation was stopped after office hours, the mean concentration was 87 Bg/m³. The concentrations of positive and negative ions followed the concentrations of radon and ranged from 150 to 3200 No/m³.

Occurrence of Common Cold

In the study population 133 workers (14.9%) did not have any episodes of common cold during the past 12 months, 153 (17.1%) reported one, 268 (30.0%) two, 304 (34.1%) three or more and 35 (3.9%) very frequently (Table 2.). The crude comparison demonstrated more episodes of common cold in those with one or more room mates (index group) both in males and in females.



index and refe	volta to sata na	SOTAT 'S W	9	というわれたの	the editor was dream
	114 B 44 08 199 1				
Episodes of	odi in Ma	les di elte	I TATE OF	Fema	ales
common cold	F MEVE . 11	MODION: ANY	1-1120000	100 300	3-15 5 1 S 1885
santing manager 1	Index No	Referenc	ce Ta I	ndex 🗠	Reference
2012 (A) 9 4 10 1	to er n 🔤 😽	n	1.2.1 OF n	8	n 8 68
	1 a 164 a 17	10 No.		the state of the	124-2163
No One		5 6/ 18.9	4	9.2	33 13.9
Two	10. 19.			5 10.2	38 10.0
Three or more					
Three or more	20 31.0	0 104 29.3		40.3	0 30.5
Very frequently	y	beruss	6	9 - 4 - Z	9 3.0
	The second have the	10 28/2 A.	Lother P	- Geret	FC ENERGY
denter i dan mer	the entertaine				er sonarrae
Workers with o	ne or more ro				
times greater					
common cold e	nisodes (over	2 enisode		red to	those in
single room ()	Table 3.). Al	so subject	s with v	ound ch	ildren wer
significantly	more likely t	o catch a	cold than	those	without (0
1.56 1.05-2.0	4). Presence	or histo	ory of	hay fer	ver also
significant de	terminant of	common col	1d (OR 2.	07 1.47	7-2.92). Th
risk was sligh	tly, but not	significan	tly incr	eased an	mong female
and smokers.	Last more to	17		010 010	100000000000
Table 3. Adjus (95% CI) for (during the pas	experiencing n t 12 months.	ios (OR) a more than	nd 95 % two episo	confider odes of	nce interva common col
(95% CI) for (sted odds rat: experiencing r t 12 months.	ios (OR) a more than 	nd 95 % of two episo 95% CI	confider des of	nce interva common col
(95% CI) for e during the pas	sted odds rat: experiencing r t 12 months.	ios (OR) a more than OR	nd 95 % two episo	confider odes of	nce interva common col
(95% CI) for e during the pas	sted odds rat: experiencing r t 12 months.	ios (OR) a more than 	nd 95 % otwo episo 95% CI	confider des of	nce interva common col
(95% CI) for (during the pas Determinant	sted odds rat: experiencing r t 12 months.	ios (OR) a more than 	nd 95 % two episo 95% CI	confider odes of	nce interva common col
(95% CI) for (during the pas Determinant Room mates	sted odds rat: experiencing r t 12 months.	ios (OR) a more than OR	nd 95 % two episo 95% CI	confider odes of	nce interva common col
(95% CI) for e during the pas Determinant Room mates No One or more	sted odds rat: experiencing r t 12 months.	ios (OR) a more than OR 1.00 1.35	nd 95 % two episo 95% CI	l.82	nce interva common col
(95% CI) for a during the pas Determinant Room mates No One or more Young children	sted odds rat: experiencing r t 12 months.	ios (OR) a more than OR 1.00 1.35	nd 95 % two episo 95% CI	confider odes of	nce interva common col
(95% CI) for e during the pas Determinant Room mates No One or more Young children No	sted odds rat: experiencing r t 12 months.	ios (OR) a more than OR 1.00 1.35 1.00	nd 95 % for epison 95% CI	1.82	nce interva common col
(95% CI) for a during the pas Determinant Room mates No One or more Young children	sted odds rat: experiencing r t 12 months.	ios (OR) a more than OR 1.00 1.35	nd 95 % two episo 95% CI	1.82	nce interva common col
(95% CI) for e during the pas Determinant Room mates No One or more Young children No One or more	sted odds rat: experiencing r t 12 months.	ios (OR) a more than OR 1.00 1.35 1.00 1.46	nd 95 % for epison 95% CI	1.82	nce interva common col
(95% CI) for e during the pas Determinant Room mates No One or more Young children No One or more Age	sted odds rat: experiencing r t 12 months.	ios (OR) a more than OR 1.00 1.35 1.00 1.46	nd 95 % for epison 95% CI	1.82	nce interva common col
(95% CI) for e during the pas Determinant Room mates No One or more Young children No One or more Age 40-	sted odds rat: experiencing r t 12 months.	ios (OR) a more than OR 1.00 1.35 1.00 1.46 1.00	nd 95 % two episo 95% CI 1.00 - 1.05 -	1.82 2.04	nce interva common col
(95% CI) for e during the pas Determinant Room mates No One or more Young children No One or more Age	sted odds rat: experiencing r t 12 months.	ios (OR) a more than OR 1.00 1.35 1.00 1.46	nd 95 % for epison 95% CI	1.82 2.04	nce interva common col
(95% CI) for e during the pas Determinant Room mates NO One or more Young children NO One or more Age 40- < 40	sted odds rat: experiencing r t 12 months.	ios (OR) as more than OR 1.00 1.35 1.00 1.46 1.00 1.15	nd 95 % two episo 95% CI 1.00 - 1.05 -	1.82 2.04	nce interva common col
(95% CI) for a during the pas Determinant Room mates No One or more Young children No One or more Age 40- < 40 Gender	sted odds rat: experiencing r t 12 months.	ios (OR) an more than OR 1.00 1.35 1.00 1.46 1.00 1.15	nd 95 % two episo 95% CI 1.00 - 1.05 -	1.82 2.04	nce interva common col
(95% CI) for e during the pas Determinant Room mates No One or more Young children No One or more Age 40- < 40 Gender Male	sted odds rat: experiencing r t 12 months.	ios (OR) as more than OR 1.00 1.35 1.00 1.46 1.00 1.15 1.00	nd 95 % f two episo 95% CI 1.00 - 1.05 - 0.86 -	2.04 1.55	nce interva common col
(95% CI) for (during the pas Determinant Room mates No One or more Young children No One or more Age 40- < 40 Gender	sted odds rat: experiencing r t 12 months.	ios (OR) an more than OR 1.00 1.35 1.00 1.46 1.00 1.15	nd 95 % two episo 95% CI 1.00 - 1.05 -	1.82 2.04	nce interva common col
(95% CI) for e during the pas Determinant Room mates No One or more Young children No One or more Age 40- < 40 Gender Male Female	sted odds rat: experiencing r t 12 months.	ios (OR) as more than OR 1.00 1.35 1.00 1.46 1.00 1.15 1.00	nd 95 % f two episo 95% CI 1.00 - 1.05 - 0.86 -	2.04 1.55 1.66	nce interva common col
(95% CI) for e during the pas Determinant Room mates No One or more Young children No One or more Age 40- < 40 Gender Male Female Allergic rhini	sted odds rat: experiencing r t 12 months.	ios (OR) and more than a more	nd 95 % f two episo 95% CI 1.00 - 1.05 - 0.86 -	2.04 1.55 1.66	nce interva common col
(95% CI) for e during the pas Determinant Room mates No One or more Young children No One or more Age 40- < 40 Gender Male Female Allergic rhini No	sted odds rat: experiencing r t 12 months.	ios (OR) and more than a more	nd 95 % f two episo 95% CI 1.00 - 1.05 - 0.86 -	2.04 1.55 1.66	nce interva common col
(95% CI) for e during the pas Determinant Room mates No One or more Young children No One or more Age 40- < 40 Gender Male Female Allergic rhini	sted odds rat: experiencing r t 12 months.	ios (OR) and more than a more	nd 95 % two episo 95% CI 1.00 - 1.05 - 0.86 - 0.95 -	2.04 1.55 1.66	nce interva common col
(95% CI) for (during the pas Determinant Room mates No One or more Young children No One or more Age 40- < 40 Gender Male Female Allergic rhini No Yes	sted odds rat: experiencing r t 12 months.	ios (OR) and more than a more	nd 95 % two episo 95% CI 1.00 - 1.05 - 0.86 - 0.95 -	2.04 1.55 1.66	nce interva common col
(95% CI) for e during the pas Determinant Room mates No One or more Young children No One or more Age 40- < 40 Gender Male Female Allergic rhini No Yes Smoking	sted odds rat: experiencing r t 12 months.	ios (OR) and more than a more	nd 95 % two episo 95% CI 1.00 - 1.05 - 0.86 - 0.95 -	2.04 1.55 1.66 2.92	nce interva common col
(95% CI) for (during the pas Determinant Room mates No One or more Young children No One or more Age 40- < 40 Gender Male Female Allergic rhini No Yes	sted odds rat: experiencing r t 12 months.	ios (OR) and more than a more	nd 95 % two episo 95% CI 1.00 - 1.05 - 0.86 - 0.95 -	2.04 1.55 1.66 2.92	nce interva common col

159

in te of ie or ex of t, is of t, is of

f

, Hi an a e

ow on ed an SD

low 5on The cal

num 73 and don ice of and

any 1왕) 35 son

ore

DISCUSSION

Workers with one or more room mates in the office were found to have a higher risk for common cold than those in single rooms. This findings support the hypothesis that some of the viruses causing common cold are transmitted via indoor air. Even a modest relative increase (35% in our study) in common cold has a major public health impact due to high frequency of the disease in the population.

It is very difficult, if not impossible, to measure the direct exposure to viable viruses. Theoretically the exposure to the viruses depends on the strenght of the source, i.e., the emission of viable viruses by the infected person, the indoor air conditions affecting the viability of the infectious agent. In our study the exposure in the office was measured by the presence of other persons in the office and workers in single rooms provided a reference group. The exposed and the reference group differed in some potential determinants of common cold and thus direct comparison of the occurrence of the two groups could be confounded. The measured potential confounders, including age, gender, occurrence of hay fever, current smoking and the number of young children were taken into account in the logistic regression analysis.

Also subjects with children under the age of 8 had a higher risk for common cold than those without. This provides further evidence on the importance of human contacts in the air transmission of common cold. However, the transmission via other routes than indoor air is also likely to be increased in subjects with young children.

The results suggest that the presence of other persons in the office room increases the risk for common cold possibly due to indoor air transmission. However, the practise of early sick leaves could possibly prevent from spreading the infections and as a whole might lead to less disease.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Mr Pauli Miettinen for assistance in programming.

REFERENCES

1. Bloch AB, Orenstein WA, Ewing WM et al. (1985) <u>Measles outbreak</u> in a pediatric practice: Airborne transmission in an office setting. Pediatrics 75: 676.

2. Brundage JF, Scott RM, Lednar WM et al. (1988) <u>Building-associated risk of acute respiratory diseases in army trainees.</u> JAMA 259:2108-2114.

3. Burge HA (1989) <u>Indoor air and infectious disease.</u> Occupational Medicine: State of the Art Reviews 4: 713-722.

4. Jaakkola JJK, Heinonen OP, Seppänen O (1987) <u>Mechanical</u> ventilation in an office building and sick building syndrome. A <u>short-term trial</u>. In Seifert B, Esdorn H, Fischer et al (eds) Indoor Air'87. Vol. 2. Institute for Water Soil and Air Hygiene, Berlin p. 454-458.