5 M. Jensen, Some lessons learned in building aerodynamics research, Proc. Int. Res. Seminar on Wind Effects on Buildings and Structures, Ottawa, 1967, University of Toronto Press.

6 G. Eiffel, Projet d'une tour enfer de 300 m de hauteur, Mem. Soc. Ing. Civ. I, (1885) 345—370.

7 G. Eiffel, Travaux scientifiques executés a la tour de trois cents mètres de 1889—1900, Maretheux, 1900.

8 L.R. Wootton, The drag of towers and guide masts, Int. Assoc. for Shell Structures, Working Group on Guide Masts, Prague, Oct. 1971, Atkins Res. and Dev., Epsom, 1971.

8a T.E. Stanton, Experiments on wind pressure, Min. Proc. Inst. Civ. Eng., 171 (1907—8) 1, 175.

9 A. Bailey, Wind pressures on buildings, Inst. Civ. Eng. Selected Engineering Papers, No. 139 (1933).

10 A. Bailey and N.D.G. Vincent, Wind pressure on buildings, including effects of adjacent buildings, J. Inst. Civ. Eng., 20 (1943) 243—275.

11 D.C. Coyle, Measuring the behaviour of tall buildings, Eng. News-Rec., (1931) 310—313.

12 H. Spurr, Wind Bracing, McGraw-Hill, New York, p. 111.

13 J.C. Rathbun, Wind forces on a tall building, Trans. Am. Soc. Civ. Eng., Paper 2056, 105 (1940) 1—41.

14 H.L. Dryden and G.C. Hill, Wind pressure on a model of the Empire State Building, J. Res. Natl. Bur. Stand., 10 (1933) 493—523.

15 K. Arnstein and W. Klemperer, Wind pressure on the Akron airship dock, J. Aeronaut. Sci., 3 (1936) 88.

16 H.L. Dryden and G.C. Hill, Wind pressure on circular cylinders and chimneys, J. Res. Natl. Bur. Stand., 5 (1930) 653—693.

17 T. von Karman, The Wind and Beyond, Little Brown, 1967.

18 F.B. Farquharson, Structures subject to oscillation, Proc. Am. Soc. Civ. Eng., Paper 1712, July 1958.

19 G.S. Vincent, Golden Gate Bridge vibration studies, Proc. J. Str. Div., Am. Soc. Civ. Eng., Paper 1817, 1958.

20 C. Scruton, An investigation of the oscillations of suspension bridges in the wind, 4th Congress Proc., Int. Assoc. Bridge and Structural Eng., 1952, pp. 37—55.

21 C. Scruton, Aerodynamic buffetting of bridges, The Engineer, 199 (1955) 654—667.

22 M. Jensen and I. Holand, Drag and Fluctuating Lift Force, on a Cylinder in Natural Wind, Danish Technical Press, Copenhagen, 1965.

23 A.G. Davenport, The application of statistical concepts to the wind loading of structures, Proc. Inst. Civ. Eng., 87 (1961) 194.

24 P. Colin and R. D'Have, Exécution in tunnel aerodynamique d'essais sur maquettes de batiments en rapport avec les mesures faites sur constructions réelles, Proc. Symp. Wind Effects on Buildings and Structures, Natl. Phys. Lab., Teddington, H.M.S.O., 1963.

25 A.G. Davenport, The buffetting of structures by gusts, Proc. Symp. Wind Effects on Buildings and Structures, Natl. Phys. Lab., Teddington, H.M.S.O., 1963.

26 H. van Koten, Wind measurements of high buildings in the Netherlands, Proc. Int. Res. Seminar on Wind Effects on Buildings and Structures, Ottawa, 1967, University of Toronto Press.

27 C. Newberry, K.J. Eaton and J.R. Mayne, The nature of gust loading on tall buildings, Proc. Int. Res. Seminar on Wind Effects on Buildings and Structures, Ottawa, 1967, University of Toronto Press.

28 P.N. Joubert, L.K. Stevens, M.C. Good, E.R. Hoffman and A.E. Perry, The drag of bluff bodies immersed in the boundary layer, Proc. Int. Res. Seminar on Wind Effects on Buildings and Structures, Ottawa, 1967, University of Toronto Press, 1968.

29 B.J. Vickery and A.G. Davenport, A comparison of theoretical and experimental determination of the response of elastic structures to turbulent flow, Proc. Int. Res. Seminar on Wind Effects on Buildings and Structures, Ottawa, 1967, University of Toronto Press, 1968.

COMPARISON OF MODEL/FULL-SCALE WIND PRESSURES ON A HIGH-RISE BUILDING*

W.A. DALGLIESH

Building Structures Section, Division of Building Research, National Research Council of Canada, Ottawa K1A OR6 (Canada)

Summary

Recent experience with wind-induced glass breakage in high-rise office towers has demonstrated the difficulty of pin-pointing potential problem areas on a building and hence the need for a better understanding of the magnitude and nature of cladding loads.

Results of surface wind pressure measurements made simultaneously at 32 points on a 57-storey office tower in Toronto are reported. In addition to readings taken at ½-second intervals during high winds, mean and root-mean-square pressures were recorded for a 5-minute interval once each hour, and pressure coefficients referred to the free stream dynamic pressure at 286 m were computed for comparison with wind tunnel test information which was used in the design of the cladding.

Introduction

Recent occurrences of wind-induced glass breakage in high-rise office towers [1, 2, 3] have emphasized the need for a better understanding of the magnitude and nature of cladding loads and for increased ability in pin-pointing potential problem areas on a building. Wind tunnel studies on scale models are an important source of cladding design information but it is equally important to acquire measurements from full-scale buildings to verify the general applicability of the wind tunnel data to practical design situations.

In this paper, results of surface wind pressure measurements made simultaneously at 32 points on a 57-storey office tower in Toronto are reported. Readings were taken at ½-second intervals during high winds and, in addition, mean and root-mean-square (r.m.s.) pressures were recorded for a 5-minute interval once each hour. Pressure coefficients referred to the free stream dynamic pressure at 286 m have been computed for comparison with wind tunnel test information which was used in the design of the cladding.

Wind load design for cladding and structure

Wind pressures on cladding are generally different from those experienced by the structure, particularly in high-rise buildings. The differences arise because the wind-induced pressures on building surfaces are both non-uniform in space and unsteady with time and because the size and dynamic properties of cladding panels differ from those of the structural frame. On the one hand, pressures that produce a load on a structure are averaged over a much larger area than those on a single window or spandrel panel; on the other hand, there can be dynamic amplification of those fluctuations in the flow that correspond approximately to the natural frequencies of the building. Although occasionally rapid fluctuations can be generated, *e.g.*, by vortex shedding around some small obstruction to flow, in general the bulk of the turbulent energy in wind occurs at frequencies that are even lower than the lowest natural frequencies of the structure as a whole. Except in rare cases, therefore, dynamic response is not likely to have a significant effect on cladding, but for tall slender buildings it is usually important in determining wind loading on a structure.

Calculating wind pressures for cladding design

Wind action on structures and cladding, although actually dynamic in nature, is reduced for design purposes to a problem in statics by multiplying a time-averaged reference wind pressure by a "gust effect" factor. In its simplest form, the gust effect factor is a constant value considered appropriate for a large range of conditions. In the National Building Code of Canada, for example, values of 2.0 for structure and 2.5 for cladding are used in conjunction with a reference wind pressure averaged over 1 hour [4].

A more sophisticated procedure is required by the Code for tall slender buildings; the commentary on wind loads contained in Supplement No. 4 [5] to the Code outlines a detailed procedure for calculating a gust effect factor for a structure. It takes account of the turbulence characteristics of approaching winds as well as the size and dynamic properties of a structure.

Although the detailed procedure gives reasonable guidance for the dynamic effect of wind on a structure as a whole, it was not expected that it would be applied to cladding except in certain specific situations. Wind pressure fluctuations on cladding result from fluctuations, not only in wind speed, but also in wind direction. Both variations are implicit in the term "wind turbulence", but the gust effect factor calculated by the detailed method deals explicitly only with fluctuations in speed. Fluctuations in wind direction appear to have a greater influence on local pressures than on the effective loading of the structure as a whole and, as a result, detailed information for cladding design is more difficult to obtain.


Fig. 1. Commerce Court Tower from the south; (south-west relative to building axi description).

Wind pressure measurements on buildings

Wind pressure design information for a specific building is often deri from wind tunnel tests. Both cladding and structure can be dealt with i way. If the structure, its immediate environment, and the characteristic

the approaching flow are correctly modelled, the experimental approach should give dependable results. Wind pressure measurements on full-scale buildings can provide essential information about the conditions to be modelled as well as a check on the applicability of wind tunnel data to practical design situations.

Members of the Division of Building Research began making field measurements on high-rise buildings in 1964. After studying two buildings in Montreal, one 34 storeys [6, 7] and the other 46 storeys [8], they instrumented a 57-storey office tower, the Commerce Court building, in Toronto. This building, 36 m by 70 m by 239 m in height, is at the time of writing the tallest office building in Canada and the second tallest in the world outside of the United States (Fig. 1). The wind load design was based on model tests performed in the turbulent boundary layer wind tunnel at the University of Western Ontario [9], and so with the co-operation of the architectural, structural and wind tunnel consultants, a comparison can be made between model and full-scale pressure coefficients for specific points on the building surfaces.

Instrumentation

The following are the essentials of the instrumentation used for estimating mean and r.m.s. pressure coefficients of the Commerce Court building:

(a) 12 holes on each of 4 levels drilled through sill units of 12 windows (2 on each of the narrow walls and 4 on the long walls);

(b) 32 (of a possible 48) differential pressure transducers with a range of ± 960 pascals;

(c) a common internal reference pressure, that of the recording room on the 33rd floor;

(d) a three-cup anemometer and vane (U—2A, Canadian Meteorological Service) mounted on a radio mast 286 m above street level; and

(e) a computer-controlled data acquisition system recording digitally on magnetic tape.

Sampling and recording

Full-scale pressure coefficients were computed from 5-minute mean and r.m.s. pressure differences recorded hourly (with some unavoidable gaps) over the period from January 1973 to January 1974. During each hour for which records were available, mean and r.m.s. values for each sensor for that 5-minute period having the highest average wind speed, as well as for the 5 minutes at the end of the hour, were recorded. The sampling rate for each sensor was 0.5 seconds or a total of 600 samples per 5-minute period.

Mean pressure coefficients

Mean pressure coefficients were obtained from the 5-minute averages in two stages. First, the total wind effect on the pressure difference between the internal reference and the external surface tap was separated from the effects of temperature and mechanical equipment operation by linear regression analysis using the reference dynamic wind pressure at the 286-m level (also averaged over 5 minutes) as the independent variable. Second, the total wind effect was separated into an external and an internal pressure coefficient by arbitrarily setting the external pressure coefficient of one external tap, located in the wake region, equal to the average value for that tap as determined in the wind tunnel experiments. The tap chosen was located as close as possible to the centre of the east, south, west or north wall (depending on wind direction) at approximately two-thirds the height of the building.

Fluctuating pressure coefficients

The r.m.s. pressure coefficients presented less difficulty in that the external wind effect was assumed to be the only significant cause of pressure fluctuation over the 5-minute sampling period. Large fluctuating components are typically present in pressure records from taps located either on the windward wall, where the mean external pressure coefficient is positive, or on a side wall with the wind glancing along the surface, where the mean external pressure coefficient is negative. In the wake regions, however, the fluctuating component is


Fig. 2. Characteristic surface pressure fluctuations in different regions of flow.

DESIGN WIND PRESSURE $= \bar{q} (C_{mean} + g \cdot C_{rms})$

$\bar{q} =$ MEAN VELOCITY PRESSURE

Fig. 3. Portion of actual record of surface pressure illustrating terms used in wind load design.

usually much less important, and the mean external pressure coefficient, although negative, is not as large on the side wall (Fig. 2). The relation between the mean and r.m.s. pressure coefficients, and the peak pressure that the designer must estimate can be demonstrated by a portion of an actual pressure record (Fig. 3). The breakdown of the procedure for predicting local peak pressures (C_{peak}) into the determination of two pressure coefficients (C_{mean} and C_{rms}) and a peak factor is a convenient way to summarize results of observations, both in model and field experiments.

Comparison between tests on models and actual buildings

Model and field full-scale mean pressure coefficients and the r.m.s.m. pressure coefficients (root mean square about the mean) are compared in Figs. 4—13. A definition sketch shows the Commerce Court building in plan and indicates which of the eight instrumented tap locations on one of 4 levels is represented in the graphs of r.m.s. pressure coefficient (above) and mean pressure coefficient (below) plotted against wind direction. The open circles are the model data as provided at the design stage and the solid lines join estimates derived from actual observations of pressure differences on the building during 1973. The shaded areas indicate the standard deviation of the full-scale estimates (Figs. 4—11).


Fig. 4. West wall tap 3.6 m from S.W. corner, 50th floor.

Fig. 5. West wall tap 20.6 m from N.W. corner, 50th floor.

The influence of variations in wind direction on the magnitude of local peak pressures can be readily appreciated from the graphs, which typically indicate large r.m.s. pressure coefficients in conjunction with steep slopes in the graph of mean pressure coefficient *versus* wind direction. This usually occurs when the wind is nearly parallel to the building surface on which the tap is located, *e.g.*, just west of north for sensors 309 and 409 on the west wall (Figs. 5 and 6). For sensors 312 and 712 on the north wall, rapid changes occur for wind directions just north of east (Figs. 7 and 8).

The shelter provided to the west by other tall buildings is apparent from the results for sensors 303, 309 and 409 on the west wall, where the mean pressure coefficient for westerly winds is nearly zero. Where examples are given of sensors vertically in line, but from different levels, it is also possible to observe the reduction in wind pressure as the ground level is approached (Figs. 10 and 11). Finally, the over-all agreement between model and full-scale can be indicated in terms of base shear and moment in the west-to-east and the south-to-north directions respectively (Figs. 12 and 13).

Fig. 6. West wall tap 20.6 m from N.W. corner, 41st floor.

Fig. 7. North wall tap 10.7 m from N.E. corner, 50th floor.

Fig. 8. North wall tap 10.7 m from N.E. corner, 25th floor.

Fig. 9. East wall tap 20.6 m from N.E. corner, 50th floor.

Fig. 10. South wall tap 10.7 m from S.E. corner, 50th floor.

Fig. 11. South wall tap 10.7 m from S.E. corner, 34th floor.

Fig. 12. Base shear coefficients as the weighted sums of individual pressure coefficients.

Fig. 13. Overturning moment coefficients as the weighted sums of individual pressure coefficients multiplied by distance to base.

Discussion and conclusion

Although, at this early stage in the project, only a preliminary assessment can be offered, the results so far indicate satisfactory agreement between model and full-scale measurements of mean pressures. The agreement between model and full-scale mean pressure coefficients is within the limits of the experimental error in full-scale observations, but it is too early to say the same for the r.m.s. pressure coefficients. One reason for this is that winds from the south have not been frequent enough or strong enough to provide sufficiently reliable r.m.s. data. Fluctuations in wind direction are an important factor in determining local peak pressures and suctions, particularly near the leading edges of walls almost parallel to the wind. The full-scale observations will be continued for another two or three years and additional wind tunnel tests may be carried out.

References

1 Eng. News-Rec., 189 (15) (1972) 46—47.
2 Ibid., 190 (7) (1973) 14.
3 Ibid., 192 (9) (1974) 13.
4 National Building Code of Canada, 1970 edn., subsection 4.1.6.
5 Canadian Structural Design Manual 1970, Supplement No. 4 to the National Building Code of Canada.
6 W.A. Dalgliesh, W. Wright and W.R. Schriever, Wind pressure measurements on a full-scale high-rise office building, Proc. Int. Res. Seminar on Wind Effects on Buildings and Structures, Ottawa, 1967, Vol. 1, pp. 167—200.
7 W.A. Dalgliesh, Experiences with wind pressure measurements on a full-scale building, Proc. Technical Meeting concerning Wind Loads on Buildings and Structures, Natl. Bur. Stand., Building Sci. Ser. 30, Gaithersburg, Maryland, Jan., 1969, pp. 61—71; issued Nov. 1970.
8 N.M. Standen, W.A. Dalgliesh and R.J. Templin, A wind tunnel and full-scale study of turbulent wind pressures on a tall building, Proc. 3rd. Int. Conf. on Wind Effects on Buildings and Structures, 6—11 Sept., 1971, Tokyo, Part II, pp. 199—209.
9 A.G. Davenport, M. Hogan and N. Isyumov, A study of wind effects on the Commerce Court Tower, Res. Rep. BLWT—7—69, Faculty of Eng. Sci., University of Western Ontario, London, Canada, November 1969.

THE MEASUREMENT OF WIND PRESSURES ON TWO-STOREY HOUSES AT AYLESBURY*

K.J. EATON and J.R. MAYNE

Building Research Establishment, Building Research Station, Garston, Watford, Herts. WD2 7JR (Gt. Britain)

(Received August 2, 1974)

Summary

An account is given of the measurement of wind pressures on a two-storey housing estate on the outskirts of Aylesbury, 65 km north-west of London. The estate immedi adjoins an open country fetch extending uninterruptedly for about 15 km to the south west. The site and installation are described, including the variable geometry building erected on open ground adjoining the estate.

Wind pressures were recorded at a total of 44 positions on seven houses in the estate and at 72 positions on the experimental building, transducers being mounted on both walls and roofs. Load cells were also installed in the experimental building to record se rately total overall loads and total roof loads. Measurements of velocity were made usi cup anemometers mounted at 3 m, 5 m, and 10 m, on a fixed mast, suitably sited in th vicinity of the experimental building. In addition, anemometers mounted on a 20 m p table mast were used to investigate the upstream velocity profiles, and also the flow pa terns within the urban area.

The experimental building housed all the recording equipment which comprised multichannel FM magnetic tape recorders. Records were taken in analogue form and v subsequently digitised in order to calculate mean, rms and extreme values, probability distributions, autocorrelations, power spectra and cross-correlations. Measurements ha been made for a variety of wind speeds and directions, and also for several roof pitche the experimental building between 5° and 45°. Preliminary results are presented and di

1. Introduction

The majority of full-scale wind pressure measurements have been made tall buildings and on specialised structures such as chimneys, cooling towe and bridges. One such programme of measurement on tall buildings in Cer London was carried out by the Building Research Station between 1962 a 1969 and has been reported [1—7]. At the conclusion of the tall-building work, it was decided to begin a new project to measure wind pressures on low-rise buildings. There were several reasons for this decision. Firstly, the