# Criteria for Human Exposure to Humidity in Occupied Buildings

E.M. Sterling A. Arundel T.D. Sterling, Ph.D. ASHRAE Member



#### ABSTRACT

The determination of an acceptable range of humidity is complicated by the conflicting effects of an increase or decrease in humidity levels on the speed of chemical interactions and growth of biological organisms and pathogens that may affect human health and comfort. Ideally, ventilative characteristics of offices and dwellings should strive for levels of humidity that not only are perceived as comfortable but also minimize the growth of organisms and the speed of chemical processes that will cause discomfort and illness once they are present in sufficient quantity. A review of the relevant health literature suggests that the optimal conditions to minimize risks to human health occur in the narrow range between 40% and 60% relative humidity at normal room temperatures. Although this range is much narrower than the current ASHRAE standard, reducing the range of acceptable humidity would help alleviate many of the health and comfort problems in buildings, especially those that appear to plague modern, sealed office structures.

## INTRODUCTION

Some level of humidity is necessary to achieve conditions conducive to human health and comfort. Like temperature, but unlike most other indoor contaminants, levels of humidity that are either too high or too low may detract from human health and comfort. Low relative humidity causes dryness of the skin and mucous membranes, which may lead to chapping and irritation of the throat and other sensitive areas (Lubart 1962). High relative humidity prevents effective evaporative cooling of the body during exposure to high temperatures and may lead to heat exhaustion or heat stroke and possible death (Cole 1983).

In addition to the direct effects of either too little or too much humidity on building occupants, humidity has another, complex but nevertheless decisive, effect on health through interactions with biological pathogens and noxious chemical substances often found in the air of buildings and dispersed or enhanced through ventilating, humidifying, and air-conditioning equipment. Many of these organisms and the products of a variety of chemical reactions cause discomfort and even illness. The rate of growth of these organisms and, in turn, the speed of chemical reactions, are very much determined by combinations of temperature and humidity. Ideally, ventilative characteristics of offices and dwellings should strive for levels of humidity (and temperature) that not only are perceived to be comfortable but also minimize the growth of organisms and the formation of chemicals that will cause discomfort and illness once they are present in sufficient quantity.

E.M. Sterling, Director of Building Research, Theodor D. Sterling Ltd., 70-1507 W. 12th ave., Vancouver, BC, V6J 2E2; A.Arundel, Research Associate, and T.D. Sterling, Professor, Faculty of Interdisciplinary Studies, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, BC, Canada.

THIS PREPRINT FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY. FOR INCLUSION IN ASHRAE TRANSACTIONS 1985, V. 91, Pt. 1. Not to be reprinted in whole or in part without written permission of the American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers, Inc., 1791 Tullie Circle, NE, Atlanta, GA 30329. Opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations expressed in this paper are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of ASHRAE.

Here we review the health literature of relevant biological and chemical interactions in order to define an optimal range of humidity where overall health risks may be minimized. Humidity effects (within a normal indoor temperature range of 19°C to 27°C) are reviewed for three groups of factors.

1) Biological contaminants including bacteria, viruses, fungi, and

mites.

Pathogens causing respiratory problems including respiratory infections, allergic rhinitis and aschur, and hypersensitivity 2) Pathogens causing respiratory pneumonitis.

3) Chemical interactions including ozone production.

# BIOLOGICAL CONTAMINANTS

The relative humidity of the air as well as surface condensation provides a favorable medium for survival and growth of biological contaminants such as bacteria, viruses, fungi, and mites.

Bacteria. Bacteria almost always contaminate humidifying and air conditioning equipment, specifically, cool mist vaporizers and evaporative humidifiers (Crowley 1978; Rosenzweig 1970). Cool mist humidifiers were found to humidifiers (Crowley 1978; Rosenzweig 1970). Cool mist humidifiers were found to produce aerosols contaminated with Staphylococcus aureus (Airoldt and Litsky 1972), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (Cartwright and Hargrave 1970), Enterobacter species (Covelli et al. 1973), and Acinobacter species (Smith and Massinari 1977). Evaporative humidifiers are frequently contaminated but produce less aerosol (Bamert and Roth 1974; Burge et al. 1980; Covelli et al. 1973). Legionella species have frequently been found in the air-conditioning and humidifying equipment of public buildings (Imperato 1981). Sekla et al. (1982) found the bacteria in a humidifier in Manitoba.

Several species of bacteria including Escherichia aerogenes, and Mycoplasma gallisepticum prefer relative humidity below 40% (Hambleton 1970; Wright et al. 1968). Other species of bacteria including Serratia marcescens and E. coli prefer relative humidity above 40% (Cox 1966). Mycoplasma laidlawii prefers relative humidity at either the high or low end of the scale, above 75%, and below 25% (Wright et al. 1968).

The combination of bacteria that prefer high relative humidity, those that prefer low relative humidity, and those that prefer either low or high humidity produces a mid-range of humidity between 30% and 60% in which bacterial populations are minimized.

Viruses. Vaccinia virus (cowpox), Venezuelan equine encephalitis virus (Harper 1961), influenza virus (Hemmes et al. 1960; Harper 1961; Songer 1967), para influenza virus (Johansson 1967), and other myxoviruses (including measles) survive better in merosols of low relative humidity (less than 50%). Polio virus (Hemmes et al. 1960; Songer 1967) and herpes virus (Songer 1967) remain viable longer in relative humidity exceeding 50%. Adeno virus, the cause of some acute respiratory infections, prefers relative humidity between 70% and 80%.

The combination of viruses that prefer high relative humidity and those that prefer low relative humidity produces a mid-range of humidity between 50% and 70% in which the viral population is minimized.

Funci. One of the most important humidity-related indoor problems results from the proliferation of fungi in the interior of homes. Any wet organic material may support the growth of fungi. Damp walls, leather, cotton, paper, fireproofing materials, insulation, furniture stuffing, carpets, and food have all been shown to be sources of fungal contamination (NAS 1981),

<sup>\*</sup> This review is based on a criteria document on humidity and its effect on building occupants prepared for the Environmental Health Directorate, Health and Welfare Canada (Theodor D. Sterling Ltd. 1984).

A study by Solomon (1976) demonstrated a positive association between the prevalence of indoor fungi in the air of 50 homes and relative humidity. In addition, Penicillium species were found in the air of 92% of the homes, Cladosporium in 81.2%, Rhodotorula in 75.9%, and Aspergillus in 31.3%. (See also Ackerman et al. 1969; Benson et al. 1972; Flensborg and Sansoe-Jensen 1950; and Sterling et al. 1982).

Cool mist humidifiers have been found to be potential sources of airborne fungal contamination (Burge et al. 1980) by Micropolyspora species (Banaszak et al. 1974; Fink et al. 1971), Alternaria, Penicillium, Mucor, and Aspergillus (Airoldt and Litsky 1972), and Hormodendrum, Ustilago, Rhodotorula, and Crytococcus (Hodges et al. 1974).

The maximum growth of fungus occurs above 95% relative humidity and almost ceases below 80% (Moore-Landecker 1972).

Mites. Murray and Zuk (1979) demonstrated a strong seasonal pattern between the abundance of house dust mites, <u>Dermatophagoides</u>, and the relative humidity. No mites were found in winter if the relative humidity was below 50%. In other studies, live mites were found throughout the year, though there was a reduction in their number during the winter (Van Bronswijk 1973; Arlian et al. 1978). Korsgaard (1983) in a study of 50 Danish apartments found that lower relative humidity (below 38%) in winter reduced mite populations throughout the year.

Indoor mite populations appear to be directly related to relative humidity, with a strong increasing trend above 60% relative humidity.

## AIRBORNE PATHOGENS CAUSING RESPIRATORY PROBLEMS

The interaction between relative humidity and biological contaminants that are either naturally or artificially dispersed through ventilating and air conditioning equipment (often with humidification) primarily affects the incidence of respiratory infections and allergies.

Respiratory Infections. The incidence of acute respiratory illness among North Americans is estimated to be one infection per person per year, with a greater incidence and severity among children and the elderly (NCHS 1975; Hinkle and Murray 1981). Several epidemiological studies have found the incidence of respiratory infections to be lower among the occupants of buildings with mid-range humidity levels compared to the occupants of buildings with low humidity levels.

Gelperin (1973), Green (1975, 1979, 1982), Ritzel (1966), Sale (1972), and Serati and Wuthrich (1969) found a statistically significant reduction in respiratory infections, or absenteeism, among occupants of humidified buildings. One study (Green 1975) found a nonsignificant reduction in absenteeism among children attending a humidified school. Guberan (1978) and Sataloff and Menduke (1963) found an increase in absenteeism among people exposed to humidification (though the results were also not statistically significant). Therefore, the evidence tends to support the conclusion that the incidence of respiratory infections is reduced by an increase in humidity from low to mid-range (40-50%) levels.

The cause of the reduction in respiratory infections is not known but is probably due to an increase in the settling rate of aerosols at higher humidity and/or a decrease in the survival of bacteria and viruses. For example, Sale (1972) found that the number of bacterial colonies growing on plates exposed to air in schools decreased from an average of 2,029.4 colonies per plate to 256.8 after an increase in humidity from 31.4% to 51.4%. Other investigators believe that low humidity increases susceptibility to respiratory infections by drying the mucous membranes of the nose and throat. Mucus is thought to prevent infection by preventing contaminated aerosols from reaching the lungs (Goromosov 1968; Lubart 1962).

There appears to be a decreasing trend in respiratory infections as the humidity increases from 0 to 50%. Little information exists for relative humidities above 50%.

Allergic Rhinitis and Asthma. Both allergic rhinitis and asthma are sometimes considered to be different manifestations of the same disease (Pedersen and Rung-Weeke 1983). The prevalence of both diseases in the population may be as high as 20% (Broder et al. 1962; Dodge and Burrows 1980; NIH 1976).

Fungi and insects such as house dust mites have been found to cause both allergic asthma and allergic rainitis (Fink et al. 1971a; Fink et al. 1976; NIH 1976). The growth of these organisms is aided by high relative humidity. Fungi associated with allergic rhinitis and asthma are of the genera Alternaria, Cladosporium, Aspergillus, Mucor, Rhizopus, and Merulius (Gravesen 1979). Several fungal and bacterial species may also cause hypersensitivity pneumonitis, an allergic response of the lung in non-atopic individuals (Pepys 1977).

Physicians have long recognized the beneficial effect of high relative humidity in cases of asthma and allergies and have encouraged the use of vaporizers. The beneficial effect of humidification on allergies is believed to be partly attributable to the reduction of airborne dust at high humidity levels and also to a direct reduction in asthmatic lung obstruction (Sale 1971). Conversely, higher humidity levels may increase the number of organisms such as fungi and house mites that may cause an asthma attack. Solomon (1974), in a study of the indoor environment of asthmatics, found that cool mist humidifiers installed on the advice of the physician were frequently contaminated by the fungi Rhodotorula, Penicillium, Aspergillus, and Oospora. This contamination could exacerbate the very symptoms humidification was expected to relieve.

Certain individuals are at risk for undesirable health effects caused by exposure to either high (above 60%) or low (below 30%) relative humidity. Exposure to low relative humidity increases the health problems of asthmatics (Strauss et al. 1978), individuals with allergies, newborns (Robertshaw 1981), and the elderly, who are more susceptible to respiratory infections- (Berkow 1982; Robertshaw 1981). Individuals with allergies are also at increased risk during exposure to high relative humidity. Individuals with poorly functioning thermal regulatory systems, such as the elderly and people with cardiovascular diseases, are at increased risk during exposure to a combination of high temperature and high relative humidity (Burch and Hyman 1957).

An optimum zone appears to exist between 40% and 60% relative humidity where asthmatic reactions can be minimized.

## CHEMICAL INTERACTIONS

Several chemicals found indoors interact with water vapor to form respiratory and dermal irritants. Effects of high relative humidity on chemical substances include: increased off-gassing of formaldehyde from building and furnishing materials (Gupta et al. 1982; Anderson et al. 1976; IEC Beak 1983; NAS 1981); combination with sulphur dioxide to form aerosols, salts, and acids, including sulphuric acid and sulphate salts (Alaire et al. 1972; Amdur 1974; Small 1983; Sheppard et al. 1981); and increased irritative effects of odor, particles, and vapors such as acrolein (Small 1983).

There is an increasing trend in chemical interactions above 30% relative humidity; however, the majority of problems occur above 50%.

Ozone Production. Low relative humidity enhances the formation of ozone indoors (Farrell et al. 1979; Mueller et al. 1973; Waldbott 1973). Ozone produces an irritating effect on the mucous membrane of the eyes, nose, throat, and respiratory tract. In addition, it is well known as a general catalyst for chemical interactions resulting in a large variety of irritants and toxic

substances commonly referred to as "smog" (Altshuller 1978). Indoor smog, enhanced by ozone, could well be responsible for a large proportion of the symptoms commonly associated with tight building syndrome or building illness occurring in office and commercial buildings (Sterling and Sterling 1983). Very high ozone levels, in combination with poorly ventilated combustion appliances, could result in similar occurrences of indoor smog in residences.

## DISCUSSION

Unlike most gaseous and particulate contaminants that are primarily affected by indoor and outdoor sources and sinks, relative humidity is also a function of air temperature. In addition to the effect of temperature, the selection of the most desirable range of humidity is complicated by the conflicting effects of an increase or decrease in humidity levels. For example, increasing humidity may reduce the incidence of common respiratory infections and provide relief for asthmatics. On the other hand, an increase in humidity may increase the prevalence of microorganisms that cause allergies. Criteria for indoor exposure must balance both effects.

The ideal humidity guideline should specify a relative humidity range that minimizes deleterious effects on human health and comfort as well as reduces, as much as possible, the speed of chemical reactions or the growth of biological contaminants (which will impact human health and comfort).

Figure 1 graphically summarizes the apparent association between relative humidity ranges and factors that affect health of occupants at normal room temperatures. The figure is constructed as a bar graph relating relative humidity levels from 0% to 100% (shown along the horizontal axis) to (1) biological organisms (bacteria, viruses, fungi, and mites), (2) pathogens causing respiratory problems (respiratory infections, asthma, and allergies), and (3) chemical interactions and ozone production. The decreasing width of the bars represents decreasing effects.

The bacterial population increases below 30% and above 60% relative humidity. The viral population increases at relative humidity below 50% and above 70%. Fungi do not cause a problem at low humidity; however, growth becomes apparent at 60%, increases between 80% and 90%, and shows a dramatic rise above 90%. Mites require humidity for survival. Growth in the mite population responds directly to humidity levels in excess of 50%.

Respiratory infections increase at relative humidity below 40%; however, there is little information on effects of humidity in excess of 50%. The incidence of allergic rhinitis due to exposure to allergens increases at relative humidities above 60% and the severity of asthmatic reactions increases at relative humidities below 40%.

Most chemical interactions increase as the relative humidity rises above 30% though ozone production is inversely proportional to the relative humidity.

The evidence suggests that the optimal conditions to enhance human health by minimizing the growth of biological organisms and the speed of chemical interactions occur in the narrow range between 40% and 60% relative humidity at normal room temperature. That narrow range is represented by the optimum zone in the shaded region of the graph. Although keeping indoor humidity levels within this region will minimize health problems, there is probably no level of humidity at which some biological or chemical factor that affects health negatively does not flourish. (Note that for many factors, most prominently chemical interactions, effects are still shown within the optimum zone.)

ASHRAE standards have long provided guidance for engineers on control of humidity to achieve comfortable conditions. Until 1981 (ASHRAE 1966), the acceptable range of allowable humidity was between 20% and 60%. However, in 1981 the upper limit of that range was expanded to 90% to permit greater energy conservation (ASHRAE 1981). But conditions that impact health and comfort

through the growth and accumulation of noxious organisms and chemicals suggest a reduction of the existing range of acceptable relative humidity to the region between 40% and 60%. Although this range is much narrower than the current ASHRAE standard (and would, if adopted, increase building energy use), it would also help minimize many of the health and comfort problems in buildings, especially those that appear to plague the modern, sealed office structure.

## REFERENCES

- Ackerman, H.W.; Schmidt, B. and Lank, V. 1969. "Mycological studies of the outdoor and indoor air in Berlin." Mykosen, 12: pp. 309-320.
- Airoldt, T. and Litsky, W. 1972. "Factors contributing to the microbial contamination of cold-water humidifiers." Am. J. Med. Technol., 38: pp. 491-495.
- Alaire, Y.; Ulrich, C.E. and Busey, W.M. 1972. "Long-term continuous exposures to SO2 in cynomolgus monkey." Arch. Environ. Health, 24: pp. 115-127.
- Altshuller, A.P. 1978. "Assessment of the contribution of chemical species photochemical smog." <u>J.A.P.C.A.</u>, 28: pp. 594-598.
- Amdur, M.O. 1974. "The long road from Donora." Am. Ind. Hyg. Assn. J., pp. 589-597, October.
- Andersen, I.; Lundqvist, G.R. and Molhave, L. 1976. "The effect of air humidity and sulpher dioxide on formaldehyde emissions from a construction material (chipboard)." Holzforschung und Holzeerwertung, 28(5): pp. 120-121.
- Arlian, L.G.; Brandt, R.L. and Bernstein, R. 1978. "Occurrence of house dust mites, <u>Dermatophagoides</u> spp. during the heating season." <u>J. Med. Entomol.</u>, 15: pp. 35-42.
- ASHRAE. 1966. ASHRAE Standard ANSI/ASHRAE 55-1966, "Thermal environmental conditions for human occupancy." American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air Conditioning Engineers, Atlanta.
- ASHRAE. 1981. ASHRAE Standard ANSI/ASHRAE 55-1981, "Thermal environmental conditions for human occupancy." American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air Conditioning Engineers, Atlanta.
- Bamert, P. and Roth, F. 1974. "Bacterial transmission caused by air humidifiers." Schweiz. Med. Wochenschr., 104(50): pp. 1856-1859.
- Banaszak, E.2; Sarboriak, J.J.; Fink, J. and Scanlon, G. 1974. "Epidemiologic studies relating thermophilic fungi and hypersensitivity lung syndromes." Am. Rev. Resp. Dis., 110: pp. 585-591.
- Benson, F.B.; Henderson, J.J. and Caldwell. D.E. 1972. Indoor-outdoor air pollution relationships, a literature review. U.S. E.P.A. Publication #AP-112. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Govt. Printing Office.
- Berkow, R. (ed) 1982. Merck Manual, 14th ed. Sharp and Dome Research Laboratories.
- Broder, I.; Barlow, P.P. and Horton, R.J.M. 1962. "The epidemiology of asthma and hayfever in a total community, Tecumseh, Michigan." J. Allergy, 33: pp. 513-523.
- Burch, G.E. and Hyman, A. 1957. "Influence of a hot and humid environment upon cardiac output and work in normal man and in patients with chronic conqestive heart failure at rest." Am. Heart J., 53: p. 665.

- Burge, H.A.; Solomon, W.R. and Boise, J.R. 1980. "Microbial prevalence in domestic humidifiers." Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 39(4): pp. 840-844.
- Cartwright, R.Y. and Hargreave, P.R. 1970. "Pseudomonas in ventilators." Lancet, 1: p. 40.
- Cole, R.J. 1983. Energy conscious design, the factors influencing the thermal performance and energy requirements of buildings. School of Architecture, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, B.C.
- Covelli, H.D.; Kleeman, J.; Martin, J.E.; Landau, W.L. and Hughes, R.L. 1973. "Bacterial emission from both vapor and aerosol humidifiers." Am. Rev. Resp. Dis., 108: pp. 698-701.
- Cox, C.S. 1966. "The survival of <u>Escherichia coli</u> atomized into air and nitrogen from distilled water and from solutions of protecting agents, as a function of relative humidity." <u>J. Gen. Microbiol.</u>, 43: pp. 383-399.
- Crowley, T.P. 1978. "Contaminated humidifiers." J.A.M.A.., 240: p. 348.
- Dodge, R.R. and Burrows. B. 1980. "The prevalence and incidence of asthma-like symptoms in a general population sample." Am. Rev. Resp. Dis., 122: pp. 567-575.
  - Farrell, B.P.; Kerr, H.D.; Kulle, T.J. 1979. "Adaptation in human subjects to the effects of inhaled ozone after repeated exposure." Am. Rev. Resp. Dis., 119: p. 725.
  - Fink, J.N.; Resnick, A.J. and Salvaggio, J. 1971. "Presence of thermophilic actinomycetes in residential heating systems." Appl. Microbiol. 22: pp. 730-731.
  - Fink, J.N.; Banaszak, E.F.; Thiede, W.H. and Barboriak, J.J. 1971a.
    "Interstitial pneumonitis due to hypersensitivity to an organism contaminating a heating system." Ann. Intern. Med., 74: pp. 80-85.
  - Fink, J.N.; Banaszak, E.F.; Barboriak, J.J.; Hensley, G.T.; Kurup, V.P.; Scanlon, G.; Schlueter, B.P.; Sosman, A.J.; Thiede, W.H. and Unger, G.F. 1976. "Interstitial lung disease due to contamination of forced air systems." Ann. Intern. Med., 84: pp. 406-413.
  - Flensborg, E.W. and Samsoe-Jensen, T. 1950. "Studies in mould allergy: 3. mould spore counts in Copenhagen." Acts Allergol., 3: pp. 49-65.
  - Gelperin, A. 1973. "Humidification and upper respiratory infection incidence." Heating, Piping and Air Conditioning, 45: p. 3.
  - Goromosov, M.S. 1968. The physiological basis of health standards for dwellings. Geneva: World Health Organization.
- Gravesen, S. 1979. "Fungi as a cause of allergic disease." Allergy, 34: pp. 135-154.
- Green, G.H. 1975. "The effect of indoor relative humidity on absenteeism and colds in schools." ASHRAE Journal, pp. 57-62.
- Green, G.H. 1979. "The effect of indoor relative humidity on colds." ASHRAE Transactions, 85: pp. 747-757.
- Green, G.H. 1982. "The positive and negative effects of building humidification." ASHRAE Transactions, 88(1): pp. 1049-1061.
- Guberan, E.; Dang, V.B. and Sweetnam, P.M. 1978. "L'humidification de l'air des locaux previent-elle les maladies repiratoires pendant l'hiver?." Schwiez Med. Wschr., 108(22): pp. 827-831.

- Gupta, K.C.; Ulsamer, A.G. and Preuss, P.W. 1982. "Formaldehyde in indoor air: sources and toxicity." <u>Environment International</u>, 8: pp. 349-358.
- Hambleton, P. 1970. "The sensitivity of gram-negative bacteria recovered from aerosols, to lysozymes and other hydrolytic enzymes." <u>J. Gen. Microbiol.</u>, 61: pp. 197-204.
- Harper, G.J. 1961. "Airborne microorganisms: survival tests with four viruses." J. Hyg. (Camb) 59: pp. 479-486.
- Hemmes, J.H.; Winkler, K.C. and Kool, S.M. 1960. "Virus survival as a seasonal factor in influenza and poliomyelitis." Nature (Lond) 188: pp. 430-431.
- Hinkle, L.E. and Murray, S.H. 1981. "The importance of the quality of indoor air." Bull. N.Y. Acad. Med., 57: p. 827.
- Hodges, G.R.; Pink, J.N. and Schleuter, D.P. 1974. "Hypersensitivity pneumonitis caused by a contaminated cool-mist vaporizer." Ann. Intern. Med., 80: pp. 501-504.
- IEC Beak Consultants Ltd. 1983. "Indoor air quality, cambridge sealed homes." A report for Ontario Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing, IEC Beak, Mississauga, Ontario, October.
- Imperato, P.J. 1981. "Legionellogis and the indoor environment." <u>Bull. N.Y.</u> <u>Acad. Med.</u>, 57: pp. 922-935.
- Johansson, S.G.O. 1967. "Raised levels of a new immunoglobulin class (Ig.N.D.)
  in asthma." Lancet, 2: p. 251.
- Korsgaard, J. 1983. "Housedust mites and absolute indoor humidity." Allergy, 38:
   pp. 85-92.
- Lubart, J. 1962. "Common cold and humidity imbalance." N.Y. State J. Med., 62: pp. 817-819.
- Moore-Landecker, E. 1972. "Fundamentals of Fungi." Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice Hall.
- Mueller, F.X.; Loeb, L. and Maper, W.H. 1973. "Decomposition rates of ozone in living areas." Environ. Sci. Technol., 7: p. 342.
- Murray, A.B. and Zuk, P. 1979. "The seasonal variation in a population of housedust mites in a North American city." J. Allergy Clin. Immunol., 64: pp. 266-269.
- NAS. 1981. "Indoor pollutants." Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- NCHS. 1975. "Acute conditions: Incidence and associated disability." July 1973-July 1974, Vital and Health Statistics Services 10, #102, U.S. DHEW Pub. (HRA), 76-1529. National Center for Health Statistics, U.S. Dept. of Health, Education and Welfare.
- NIH. 1976. "Report of the task force on asthma and the other allergic diseases." National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institute of Health.
- Pedersen, P.A. and Rung-Weeke, E. 1983. "Asthma and allergic rhinitis in the same patients." Allergy, 38: pp. 25-29.
- Pepys, J. 1977. "Clinical therapeutic significance of patterns of allergic reactions of the lungs to extrinsic agents." Am. Rev. Resp. Dis., 1: p. 116.
- Ritzel. 1966. "Ozialmediz insche erhebungen zur pathogenese und prophyare von erkaltungskankheiten." Z. Praventirmed., 11: pp. 9-16.

- Robertshaw, D. "Man in extreme environments, Problems of the newborn and elderly." In <u>Bioengineering</u>, thermal physiology and comfort, K. Cena. ed. New York: Elsevier Scientific Publishing Co.
- Rosenzweig, A.L. 1970. Letter to the editor, New Engl. J. Med., p. 1056, Nov. 5.
- Sale, C.S. 1971. "Humidification during the cold weather to assist perennial allergic rhinitis patients." Ann. Allergy, 29: pp. 356-357.
- Sale, C.S. 1972. "Humidification to reduce respiratory illnesses in nursery school children." South. Med. J., p. 65.
- Sataloff, J. and Menduke, H. 1963. "Humīdity studies and respiratory infections in a public school." Clin. Pediatrics, 2(3): pp. 119-121.
- Sekla, L.; Stackiw, W.; Barker, R. and Edie, J.A. 1982. "A winter pilot project for Legionella bacilli Manitoba." Can. Dis. Wk. Rep., 8(26): pp. 331-132.
- Serati, A. and Wuthrich, M. 1969. "Luftfeuchtigkeit und Saison Krankheiten." Schweiz Med. Wschr., 99: pp. 48-50. Cited in Green, 1979.
- Sheppard, D.; Wong, W.S. and Uehora, C.F. 1981. "Lower threshold and greater bronchomotor responsiveness of asthmatic subjects to sulfur dioxide." Am. Rev. Resp. Dis., 122: p. 873.
- Small, B.M., Associates. 1983. <u>Indoor air pollution and housing technology</u>. Ottawa: Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation.
- Smith, P.W. and Massinari. 1977. "Room humidifiers as the source of Acinetobacter Infections." J.A.M.A., 237: pp. 795-797.
- Solomon, W.R. 1974. "Fungus aerosols arising from cool-mist vaporizers." J. Allergy Clin. Immunol., 54: pp. 222-228.
- Solomon, W.R. 1976. "A volumemetric study of winter fungus prevalence in the air of midwestern homes." <u>J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.</u>, 57: pp. 46-55.
- Songer, J.R. 1967. "Influence of relative humidity on survival of some airborne viruses." Appl. Microbiol., 15: pp. 35-42.
- Sterling, D.A.; Clark, C. and Bjornson, S. 1982. "The distribution of air control systems on indoor distributions of viable particles." Environment International, 8: pp. 409-414.
- Sterling, E. and Sterling, T. 1983. "The impact of different ventilation levels and fluorescent lighting types on building illness: An experimental study." <a href="Can. J. Publ. Health">Can. J. Publ. Health</a>, 74: pp. 385-392.
- Sterling, Theodor D. Ltd. 1984. Criteria for residential exposure to water vapour, Criteria Section, Environmental Health Directorate, Health and Welfare Canada, Contract #1032.
- Strauss, R.H.; McFadden, E.R: Ingram, R.H.; Deal. E.C. and Jaeger, J. 1978.
  "Influence of heat and humidity on the airway obstruction induced by exercise in asthma." J. Clin. Invest., 61: pp. 433-440.
- Van Bronswijk, J.E.M.H. 1973. "D. pteronyssinus in mattress and floor dust in a temperate climate." J. Zool. Entomol., 10: p. 63.
- Waldbott, G.L. 1973. "Health effects of environmental pollutants." Ch. 7. In Wallbott, G.L. Pulmonary Irritants. New York: C.V. Mosby Co.
- Wright, D.N.; Bailey, G.D. and Hutch, M.J. 1968. "Survival of airborne mycoplasma as affected by relative humidity." J. Bacteriol., 95: pp. 251-252.

added of

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

Review of criteria for residential exposure to water vapor was partly funded by the Criteria Section, Environmental Health Directorate, Health and Welfare Canada, Contract #1032. We are indebted to Dr. Sitwell of Health and Welfare Canada, as a persistent and detailed reviewer, the valuable research assistance of Chris Collett, David McIntyre and Judith Biggin of Theodor D. Sterling Limited; and Dr. David Sterling of the Illinois Institute of Technology.




Figure 1. Optimum relative humidity ranges for health

