181

AN INVESTIGATION OF OPERATIONAL FACTORS THAT INFLUENCE EMISSION RATES FROM GAS APPLIANCES

I. Billick and D. Johnson Gas Research Institute, Chicago, IL, U.S.A

D. Moschandreas and S. Relwani ITT Research Institute, Chicago, IL, U.S.A

# Abstract

This paper reports the result of investigation of the impact of various operational factors on trace combustion products emission rates from unvented gas appliances. The impact of the following factors on the indoor No, No<sub>2</sub> and CO emission rates were evaluated under controlled conditions in an environmental chamber: (1) the appliance type and/or design; (2) the primary aeration level; (3) the fuel input rate; (4) the time dependence of emission rates; and (5) the presence of absorbing surfaces. Results indicate that several of these factors have an impact on exposure to indoor contaminant levels similar in magnitude to the impact of the air exchange rate of indoor environments.

## Introduction

Recent studies of factors which impact on the variation of indoor pollutant concentrations, include emission rates, fuel used for combustion and duration of emissions. Little, effort has been directed toward quantifying factors that may affect the emission rates of the sources themselves, or factors other than air exchange rates which influence pollutant removal. In addition, there are indications that emission rates, may be affected by several operational factors. A series of controlled chamber experiments have been performed on 3 ranges and 3 unvented space heaters to investigate some of these additional factors.

# **Experimental**

Experiments were carried out in the IITRI 1,150 ft<sup>3</sup>, all aluminum environmental test chamber under known conditions. Air enters the chamber through a uniformly porous ceiling and flows laminarly to the floor. The chamber is equipped to provide controlled air infiltration rate, air recirculation rate, temperature, humidity, and lighting.

The chamber was equipped to measure three gaseous contaminants  $(CO, NO, and NO_2)$  continuously from three locations and if desired, intermitantly from twelve indoor locations. The latter was used to verify the spatial homogeneity of gases in the chamber. In addition, the concentrations of  $CO_2$ ,  $SO_2$ , total hydrocarbons (THC) and non-methane hydrocarbons (NMHC) were measured continuously from a location in the center of the chamber. The temperature and relative humidity of the chamber were recorded throughout each experiment. The entire experiment including daily instrument calibration, air sampling and data logging was automatically controlled by a computer. Constituent measurements were measured at every half a minute intervals and was stored both on a computer diskette and a hard copy printout.

### Results

## Appliance Type

Table 1 illustrates the emission rates estimated using a mass balance model. Results presented reflect high primary aeration rate (blue flame). These experiments show a small impact with respect to emission rates among range top burners. The type of space heater (radiant vs convective vs catalytic) affect the emission rates substantially.

# Primary Aeration Rate

Two primary aeration rates of ranges were tested: (1) high level of primary aeration (blue flame) with 42 % of stoichiometric air requirement; and (2) low level of primary aeration (yellow tipping flame) with 33 % of stoichiometric requirement. The results in Table 2 illustrate that the level of primary aeration has a pronounced impact.

#### Variable Fuel Input Rate

The emission rates for range top burners presented in Tables 1 and 2 are with maximum fuel input rate. Emission rates of NO, NO<sub>2</sub> and CO from a range top burner also were measured at four different fuel flow settings: high, medium, low and warm. The average fuel input rate for high, medium, low and warm. The average fuel input rate for high, medium, low and warm settings are 9149, 7673, 1492 and 807 Btu/hr, respectively. Emission rates for No, NO<sub>2</sub>, NOx and CO were calculated for the four fuel input rate conditions. The average emission rates and the standard deviations for each fuel input rate are shown in Table 3.

It is evident that fuel input rate has an effect on total NOx emission rate. Lower the fuel input rate, lower the NOx emission rate. This relationship does not appear to be linear. The drop in NOx emission rate from Low burner setting (1492 BTU/hr) to Warm burner setting (807 BTU/hr) is larger in magnitude compared to High burner setting (9149 BTU/hr) to Medium burner setting (7673 BTU/hr) and Medium burner setting (7673 BTU/hr) to Low burner setting (1492 BTU/hr).

#### Other Factors

nt

Additional factors investigated include the time dependence of emission rates: Preliminary results indicate no time dependence of emission rates from range top burner. The study of time variability of emission rates from gas space heaters has not yet been finalized. The presence of additional surfaces inside the chamber affects the indoor pollutant concentrations. Table 4 shows the rate of removal in addition to the infiltration rate induced by the presence of surfaces inside the chamber.

It is apparent that the presence of additional surfaces inside the chamber has a substantial impact on indoor pollutant concentrations. This impact may depend on the effective area as well as the age of the surface. It is expected that the variation on temperature and relative humidity may have an effect on the removal rates. The quantification of these effects remains to be studied.

#### Discussion

The experiments performed for this study illustrate that the type of appliance, the primary aeration level, the fuel input rate on the source emission rate. The presence of commonly available surfaces have an impact on the contaminant concentrations. The impact of indoor surfaces may be of the order of magnitude of the infiltration rate. These findings should serve as a warning to modeling undertakings which must know the exact values of various input to the model parameter. It is apparent that assumptions of maximum fuel input rate, independence of appliance impact and primary aeration will lead to incorrect conclusions.

TABLE 1. EMISSION RATES FROM UNYENTED GAS APPLIANCES

	I YOLK I	HOLE I. LIASSION WHES THE CONTRACT					1
		Emission Rate, 1b/106 BTU	. 1b/10 6 BTU		Number	Fuel Input	
Unvented Gas Apoliance	ОИ	NO <sub>2</sub>	(as No <sub>2</sub> )	8	Runs	Rate BTU/hr	
Bande 1ª	0.040 ± 0.006	0.021 ± 0.002	0.082 * 0.012	0.094 ± 0.022	12	000'6	
500000	0 039 ± 0.002	0.029 ± 0.001	$0.087 \pm 0.004$	0.153 ± 0.017	12	000'6	
Range 2ª	0.035 ± 0.005	$0.021 \pm 0.004$	0.075 ± 0.012	0.566 ± 0.063	12	000.6	
Space Heater 1	0.034 ± 0.002	0.034 ± 0.003	0.086 ± 0.001	$0.039 \pm 0.004$	4	10,535	
(convective) Space Heater 2 <sup>b</sup>	0.00 ± 0.00	0.011 * 0.0001	0.011 \$ 0.0001	0.127 ± 0.019	m	656'6	
(radiant) Space Heater 3	0.00 ± 0.00	0.003 ± 0.0001	0.003 ± 0.0001	0.033 ± 0.006	e	11,122	
(catalytic)							

<sup>a</sup> Range top burners were operating at high primary aeration level (blue flame). <sup>b</sup> Space heaters has three settings (i.e., I, II, and III). Experiments were performed at setting II.

TABLE 2. EMISSION RATES FROM RANGE #2 TOP BURNERS AT TWO PRIMARY AERATION LEVELS\*

Constituents	Emission Blue Flame	Rate 1b/10 <sup>6</sup> Btu Yellow-Tipping Flame	
NO	0.039 ± 0.002	0.020 ± 0.004	
NO 2	0.029 ± 0.001	0.038 ± 0.005	
$NO_{x}^{2}$ (as $NO_{2}$ )	0.087 ± 0.004	0.075 ± 0.005	
co	$0.153 \pm 0.017$	0.966 ± 0.181	

 $<sup>\</sup>star 0\,\mathrm{ne}$  burner is illustrated here as an example. Similar results are obtained from the other two burners.

TABLE 3. EMISSION RATES FOR VARIABLE FUEL INPUT RATES\*

Range Top Burner Settings	Fuel Input Rate BTU/hr	MO Emission Rate 1bs/10 6 BTU	NO Emission Rate 1bs/10 <sup>6</sup> BTU	NO <sub>X</sub> Emission Rate lbs/10 <sup>6</sup> BTU	CO Emission Rate lbs/10 <sup>6</sup> BTU	Number Of Runs
High	9144 ± 25	0.034 \$ .001	0.017 ± 0.001	0.068 ± 0.002	0.142 ± 0.004	4
Medium	7673 ± 86	0.031 \$ .001	0.020 ± .001	100. # 790.0	0.133 ± .009	4
LOW	1492 ± 13	$0.023 \pm 0.002$	0.020 ± .001	$0.054 \pm 0.003$	$0.135 \pm 0.017$	4
Marm	807 ± 5	0.002 ± .001	0.033 ± 0.006	0.037 ± 0.006	$0.831 \pm 0.059$	4

\*Range top burner was operating at high primary aeration level (blue flame)

TABLE 4. REMOVAL RATE FACTORS FOR DIFFERENT SURFACES

Category	Removal Rate for NO (h <sup>-1</sup> )	Removal Rate for NO <sub>2</sub> (h <sup>-l</sup> )	Number Of Runs
Wood Frame	0.09 ± 0.05	0.32 ± 0.22	4
Plaster Board	0.02 ± 0.01	$0.69 \pm 0.20$	4
Man Made Fabric	$0.03 \pm 0.03$	0.93 ± 0.06	4
Ņatural Fabric	$0.05 \pm 0.02$	$0.87 \pm 0.09$	4
Carpet	$0.07 \pm 0.01$	0.86 ± 0.20	4
Linoleum	$0.06 \pm 0.03$	$0.38 \pm 0.18$	4
Wood Panel	$0.10 \pm 0.03$	$0.30 \pm 0.04$	4
Plaster (oil paint)	$0.07 \pm 0.03$	$0.50 \pm 0.16$	-4
Plaster (water paint)	$0.09 \pm 0.002$	$0.62 \pm 0.12$	4

All experiments were performed at 1.0 air change per hour.